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## (4) Stanford Notation for matrix A
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- Given $m \times n$ matrix $A$, with $m \gg n$.

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1, n} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m, 1} & a_{m, 2} & \cdots & a_{m, n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $A$ is tall and skinny, example values $m=10^{12}, n=\left\{10^{4}, 10^{6}\right\}$.
- A has sparse rows, each row has at most $L$ nonzeros.
- $A$ is stored across hundreds of machines and cannot be streamed through a single machine.


## (4) Stanford Computing $A^{T} A$
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- We compute $A^{T} A$.
- $A^{T} A$ is $n \times n$, considerably smaller than $A$.
- $A^{T} A$ is dense.
- Holds dot products between all pairs of columns of $A$.


## (3) Stanford Guarantees
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There is a knob $\gamma$ which can be turned to preserve similarities and singular values. Paying $O(n L \gamma)$ communication cost and $O(\gamma)$ computation cost.

- With a low setting of $\gamma$, preserve similar entries of $A^{T} A$ (via Cosine, Dice, Overlap, and Jaccard similarity).
- With a high setting of $\gamma$, preserve singular values of $A^{T} A$.


## (3) Stanford Computing All Pairs of Cosine Similarities
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- We have to find dot products between all pairs of columns of $A$
- We prove results for general matrices, but can do better for those entries with $\cos (i, j) \geq s$
- Cosine similarity: a widely used definition for "similarity" between two vectors

$$
\cos (i, j)=\frac{c_{i}^{\top} c_{j}}{\left\|c_{i}\right\|\left\|c_{j}\right\|}
$$

- $c_{i}$ is the $i^{\prime}$ th column of $A$


## (4) Stanford Example matrix
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Rows: users.
Columns: movies.


## (3)Stanford Distributed Computing Environment

- With such large datasets, we must use many machines.
- Algorithm code available in Spark and Scalding.
- Described with Maps and Reduces so that the framework takes care of distributing the computation.


## Naive Implementation

Covariance Matrices and All-pairs
similarity
Reza Zadeh

Introduction
First Pass
DIMSUM
Analysis
Experiments
Spark
More Results
(1) Given row $r_{i}$, Map with NaiveMapper (Algorithm 1)
(2) Reduce using the NaiveReducer (Algorithm 2)

## Algorithm 1 NaiveMapper $\left(r_{i}\right)$

for all pairs $\left(a_{i j}, a_{i k}\right)$ in $r_{i}$ do
Emit $\left((j, k) \rightarrow a_{i j} a_{i k}\right)$
end for

Algorithm 2 NaiveReducer $\left((i, j),\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{R}\right\rangle\right)$ output $c_{i}^{T} c_{j} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{R} v_{i}$

## (3) Stanford Analysis for First Pass
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- Very easy analysis
- 1) Shuffle size: $O\left(m L^{2}\right)$
- 2) Largest reduce-key: $O(m)$
- Both depend on $m$, the larger dimension, and are intractable for $m=10^{12}, L=100$.
- We'll bring both down via clever sampling
- Assuming column norms are known or estimates available


## Dimension Independent Matrix Square using MapReduce
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## Algorithm 3 DIMSUMMapper $\left(r_{i}\right)$

for all pairs $\left(a_{i j}, a_{i k}\right)$ in $r_{i}$ do
With probability $\min \left(1, \gamma \frac{1}{\left\|c_{j}\right\|\left\|c_{k}\right\|}\right)$
emit $\left((j, k) \rightarrow a_{i j} a_{i k}\right)$
end for

Algorithm 4 DIMSUMReducer((i,j), $\left.\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{R}\right\rangle\right)$
if $\frac{\gamma}{\left\|c_{i}\right\|\left\|c_{j}\right\|}>1$ then
output $b_{i j} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\left\|c_{i}\right\|\left\|c_{j}\right\|} \sum_{i=1}^{R} v_{i}$
else
output $b_{i j} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{R} v_{i}$
end if

## (3) Stanford Analysis for DIMSUM
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The algorithm outputs $b_{i j}$, which is a matrix of cosine similarities, call it $B$.
Four things to prove:
(1) Shuffle size: $O(n L \gamma)$
(2) Largest reduce-key: $O(\gamma)$
(3) The sampling scheme preserves similarities when $\gamma=\Omega(\log (n) / s)$
(0) The sampling scheme preserves singular values when $\gamma=\Omega\left(n / \epsilon^{2}\right)$

## (3) Stanford Shuffle size for DIMSUM
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## Theorem

For $\{0,1\}$ matrices, the expected shuffle size for DIMSUMMapper is $O(n L \gamma)$.

## Proof.

The expected contribution from each pair of columns will constitute the shuffle size:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)} \operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{DIMSUMEmit}\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)\right] \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{DIMSUMEmit}\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## (3) Stanford Shuffle size for DIMSUM
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## Proof.

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \gamma \frac{\#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\#\left(c_{i}\right)} \sqrt{\#\left(c_{j}\right)}}
$$

## (4) Stanford Shuffle size for DIMSUM
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## Proof.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \gamma \frac{\#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\#\left(c_{i}\right)} \sqrt{\#\left(c_{j}\right)}} \\
\left(\text { by AM-GM) } \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\#\left(c_{i}\right)}+\frac{1}{\#\left(c_{j}\right)}\right)\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

## (4) Stanford Shuffle size for DIMSUM
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## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \gamma \frac{\#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\#\left(c_{i}\right)} \sqrt{\#\left(c_{j}\right)}} \\
& (\text { by AM-GM }) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\#\left(c_{i}\right)}+\frac{1}{\#\left(c_{j}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\#\left(c_{i}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## (4) Stanford Shuffie size for DIMSUM
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## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \gamma \frac{\#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\#\left(c_{i}\right)} \sqrt{\#\left(c_{j}\right)}} \\
(\text { by AM-GM }) & \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\#\left(c_{i}\right)}+\frac{1}{\#\left(c_{j}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\#\left(c_{i}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \#\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right) \\
& \leq \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\#\left(c_{i}\right)} L \#\left(c_{i}\right)=\gamma L n
\end{aligned}
$$

## (4) Stanford Shuffle size for DIMSUM
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- $O(n L \gamma)$ has no dependence on the dimension $m$, this is the heart of DIMSUM.
- Happens because higher magnitude columns are sampled with lower probability:

$$
\gamma \frac{1}{\left\|c_{1}\right\|\left\|c_{2}\right\|}
$$

## (3)Stanford Shuffle size for DIMSUM
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- For matrices with real entries, we can still get a bound
- Let $H$ be the smallest nonzero entry in magnitude, after all entries of $A$ have been scaled to be in $[-1,1]$
- E.g. for $\{0,1\}$ matrices, we have $H=1$
- Shuffle size is bounded by $O\left(n L \gamma / H^{2}\right)$


## (4) Stanford Largest reduce key for DIMSUM
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- Each reduce key receives at most $\gamma$ values (the oversampling parameter)
- Immediately get that reduce-key complexity is $O(\gamma)$
- Also independent of dimension $m$. Happens because high magnitude columns are sampled with lower probability.


## (3) Stanford Correctness
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- Since higher magnitude columns are sampled with lower probability, are we guaranteed to obtain correct results w.h.p.?
- Yes. By setting $\gamma$ correctly.
- Preserve similarities when $\gamma=\Omega(\log (n) / s)$
- Preserve singular values when $\gamma=\Omega\left(n / \epsilon^{2}\right)$


## (4) Stanford Correctness
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## Theorem

Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ tall and skinny $(m>n)$ matrix. If $\gamma=\Omega\left(n / \epsilon^{2}\right)$ and $D$ a diagonal matrix with entries $d_{i j}=\left\|c_{i}\right\|$, then the matrix $B$ output by DIMSUM satisfies,

$$
\frac{\left\|D B D-A^{T} A\right\|_{2}}{\left\|A^{T} A\right\|_{2}} \leq \epsilon
$$

with probability at least $1 / 2$.
Relative error guaranteed to be low with constant probability.
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- Uses Latala's theorem, bounds 2nd and 4th central moments of entries of $B$.
- Really need extra power of moments.
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## Theorem

(Latala's theorem). Let $X$ be a random matrix whose entries $x_{i j}$ are independent centered random variables with finite fourth moment. Denoting $\|X\|_{2}$ as the matrix spectral norm, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{2} \leq C\left[\max _{i}\right. & \left(\sum_{j} \mathbb{E} x_{i j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\max _{j}\left(\sum_{i} \mathbb{E} x_{i j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \left.+\left(\sum_{i, j} \mathbb{E} x_{i j}^{4}\right)^{1 / 4}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prove two things

- $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(b_{i j}-E b_{i j}\right)^{2}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}$ (easy)
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(b_{i j}-E b_{i j}\right)^{4}\right] \leq \frac{2}{\gamma^{2}}$ (not easy)


## Details in paper.

## (4) Stanford Correctness
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## Theorem

For any two columns $c_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ having $\cos \left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right) \geq s$, let $B$ be the output of DIMSUM with entries $b_{i j}=\frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{m} X_{i j k}$ with $X_{i j k}$ as the indicator for the $k$ 'th coin in the call to DIMSUMMapper. Now if $\gamma=\Omega(\alpha / s)$, then we have,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left\|c_{i}\right\|\left\|c_{j}\right\| b_{i j}>(1+\delta)\left[A^{T} A\right]_{i j}\right] \leq\left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left\|c_{i}\right\|\left\|c_{j}\right\| b_{i, j}<(1-\delta)\left[A^{T} A\right]_{i j}\right]<\exp \left(-\alpha \delta^{2} / 2\right)
$$

Relative error guaranteed to be low with high probability.

## (3) Stanford Correctness
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- In the paper
- Uses standard concentration inequality for sums of indicator random variables.
- Ends up requiring that the oversampling parameter $\gamma$ be set to $\gamma=\log \left(n^{2}\right) / s=2 \log (n) / s$.
- DIMSUM helpful when there are some popular columns
- e.g. The Netflix Matrix (some columns way more popular than others)
- Power-law columns are effectively neutralized
- Forget about theoretical settings for $\gamma$
- Crank up $\gamma$ until application works
- Estimates for $\left\|c_{i}\right\|$ can be used, expectations still hold, but concentration isn't guaranteed
- If using for singular values, watch for ill-conditioned matrices


## (4) Stanford Experiments
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- Large scale production live at twitter.com



## (4) Stanford Experiments
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Figure: Y -axis ranges from 0 to 100s of terabytes

## (4) Stanford Implementation
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```
// Load and parse the data file.
val rows = sc.textFile(filename).map { line =>
        val values = line.split(' ').map(_.toDouble)
        Vectors.dense(values)
}
val mat = new RowMatrix(rows)
// Compute similar columns perfectly, with brute force.
val simsPerfect = mat.columnSimilarities()
// Compute similar columns with estimation using DIMSUM
val simsEstimate = mat.columnSimilarities(threshold)
```

Figure: Widely distributed with Spark as of version 1.2

## (4) Stanford Other Similarity Measures
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Picking out similar columns work for some other similarity measures.

| Similarity | Definition | Shuffle Size | Reduce-key size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cosine | $\frac{\#(x, y)}{\sqrt{\#(x)} \sqrt{\#(y)}}$ | $O(n L \log (n) / s)$ | $O(\log (n) / s)$ |
| Jaccard | $\frac{\#(x, y)}{\#(x)+\#(y)-\#(x, y)}$ | $O((n / s) \log (n / s))$ | $O(\log (n / s) / s)$ |
| Overlap | $\frac{\#(x, y)}{\min (\#(x), \#(y))}$ | $O(n L \log (n) / s)$ | $O(\log (n) / s)$ |
| Dice | $\frac{2 \#(x, y)}{\#(x)+\#(y)}$ | $O(n L \log (n) / s)$ | $O(\log (n) / s)$ |

Table: All sizes are independent of $m$, the dimension.

## (4)Stanford Locality Sensitive Hashing
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- MinHash from the Locality-Sensitive-Hashing family can have its vanilla implementation greatly improved by DIMSUM.
- Another set of theorems for shuffle size and correctness in DISCO paper.

stanford.edu/~rezab/papers/disco.pdf

## (4) Stanford Conclusion
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- Consider DIMSUM if you ever need to compute $A^{T} A$ for large sparse $A$
- Many more experiments and results in paper at
stanford.edu/~rezab


## (3) Stanford Combiners
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- All bounds are without combining: can only get better with combining
- For similarities, DIMSUM (without combiners) beats naive with combining outright
- For singular values, DIMSUM (without combiners) beats naive with combining if the number of machines is large (e.g. 1000)
- DIMSUM with combining empirically beats naive with combining
- Difficult to analyze combiners since they happen at many levels. Optimizations break models.
- DIMSUM with combiners is best of both.


## (A) Stanford Combiners

Covariance Matrices and All-pairs similarity

Reza Zadeh

## With $k$ machines

- DIMSUM shuffle with combiner: $O\left(\min \left(n L \gamma, k n^{2}\right)\right)$
- DIMSUM reduce-key with combiner: $O(\min (\gamma, k))$
- Naive shuffle with combiner: $O\left(k n^{2}\right)$
- Naive reduce-key with combiner: $O(k)$

DIMSUM with combiners is best of both.

## (4) Stanford Experiments
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Figure: As $\gamma=p / \epsilon$ increases, shuffle size increases and error decreases. There is no thresholding for highly similar pairs here.

