# Discussion of "Mortgage Prepayment and Path-Dependent Effects of Monetary Policy" by David Berger, Konstantin Milbradt, Fabrice Tourre & Joe Vavra

Monika Piazzesi Stanford, CEPR & NBER

AEA meetings 2019

## Discussion

- refis are complicated
  - optimal exercise of American option, no closed form solutions except in stark cases, high transaction costs/behavioral problems, strong life cycle patterns, idiosyncratic shocks in income and house prices, various maturities, moving decisions and household formation, ....
- paper proposes a simple refi model
- paper documents empirical fact: prepayment rate is path-dependent
  - depends on gap between locked-in rate and current mortgage rate
  - well known in mortgage literature
    - for example, Table 2 in Schwartz and Torous 1989 based on prepayment rates in Ginnie Mae 30-year mortgage pool data 1978-1989
- model generates path-dependent refis

#### Model of refis

no housing/mortgages, transaction costs

$$\max_{C} E\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\delta t} \frac{C_{t}^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} dt\right]$$
s.t.  $dW_{t} = \left[Y_{t} - C_{t} + r\left(a_{t}\right) W_{t} - m\left(a_{t}^{*}\right) F\right] dt$ 

$$W_{t} \geq 0$$

- fixed income Y, receive only B with some constant probability
- Markov chain for finite aggregate state a, save at rate r(a)
- infinite maturity "mortgage": fixed amount F, locked-in state  $a^*$  determines  $m(a^*)$ , payment  $m(a^*)$  F < B
- how does a\* change? attention and moving arrive with constant Poisson arrival rates
  - ▶ attention: if  $m(a) < m(a^*)$ , lock in  $a^* = a$
  - ▶ moving: same F, only resets  $a^*$  in  $m(a^*)$

$$da_t^* = \left(a_t - a_{t-}^* \ \left[ \mathbf{1}_{[m(a_t) \ < \ m(a_t^*)]} \ dN_t^{( au_a)} + dN_t^{( au_m)} 
ight] 
ight)$$

# Model of refis

- consumption smoothing is key motive
- refi model is isomorphic to special income shocks:
  - recession: if rate m(a) drops below  $m(a^*)$ , get positive income shock  $F(m(a^*) m(a))$  partially hedges more adverse income shocks in recessions
  - ▶ if rate m(a) increases, no shock unless move
- can this model capture refi behavior?

### Move at constant rate

- ullet Poisson process  $N^{( au_m)}$  for moving with constant arrival rate
- American Housing Survey asks movers about their reasons for moving
- Many movers have reasons that are unrelated to economics (natural disaster, fire, death of a spouse, marriage, divorce, kids ...)
   Landvoigt, Piazzesi and Schneider 2015 AER
- Krivenko 2018 constant moving probability is important for bust move also when unemployed in recession, pushes down house prices

#### Attention arrives at constant rate

- ullet Poisson process  $N^{( au_a)}$  for attention with constant arrival rate
- low prepayment rates in the data, even with large gap between locked-in and current mortgage rate
- optimal American option exercise predicts frequent refis
- literature on mortgage-backed securities backs out high costs to refi e.g. Stanton 1995
- Schwartz & Torous 1989 find that prepayment rates increase in squared gap
  - refis more likely if rates fall drastically
  - maybe Poisson arrival probability should depend on a?

# Overall impact of rate changes?

- model is about rate-refis
- are positive income shocks  $F\left(m\left(a^*\right)-m\left(a\right)\right)$  quantitatively important?
  - impact on average m (a\*) equals probability to get a new mortgage (refi or move): small
- how much do cash-refis contribute to overall consumption effect? higher MPCs?
- does the paper provide a lower bound?
- life cycle effects: young have twice as large consumption response (Wong 2017)