

Minimum Edit Distance

Definition of Minimum Edit Distance



How similar are two strings?

- Spell correction
 - The user typed “graffe”
Which is closest?
 - graf
 - graft
 - grail
 - giraffe
- Computational Biology
 - Align two sequences of nucleotides

AGGCTATCACCTGACCTCCAGGCCGATGCC
TAGCTATCAGGACCGCGGTGATTGCCGAC

• Resulting alignment:

—**A**G**G**C**T**A**T**C**A****C**T**G**AC**C**T**C**A**G**G**C**C**G**A—**T**G**C**CC—
T**A****G**—**C**T**A****T**C**A**—**G**AC**C****G**—**G****G****T****C****G****A****T****T****T****G****C**CC**G****A**C
- Also for Machine Translation, Information Extraction, Speech Recognition



Edit Distance

- The minimum edit distance between two strings
- Is the minimum number of editing operations
 - Insertion
 - Deletion
 - Substitution
- Needed to transform one into the other



Minimum Edit Distance

- Two strings and their **alignment**:

I	N	T	E	*	N	T	I	O	N
*	E	X	E	C	U	T	I	O	N



Minimum Edit Distance

I N T E * N T I O N	
* E X E C U T I O N	
d s s i s	

- If each operation has cost of 1
 - Distance between these is 5
- If substitutions cost 2 (Levenshtein)
 - Distance between them is 8



Alignment in Computational Biology

- Given a sequence of bases

AGGCTATCACCTGACCTCCAGGCCGATGCC
TAGCTATCACGACCGCGGTGCGATTGCCCGAC

- An alignment:

-**A**GG**C**TAT**C**AC**C**T**G**AC**C**T**C**CA**G**G**C**CG**A**--TG**C**CC--
TAG-**C**TAT**C**AC--**G**AC**C****G**C--**G****G****T****C**GA**T****T**TG**C**CC**G**AC

- Given two sequences, align each letter to a letter or gap



Other uses of Edit Distance in NLP

- Evaluating Machine Translation and speech recognition

R Spokesman confirms senior government adviser was shot

H Spokesman said the senior adviser was shot dead

S

I

D

I

- Named Entity Extraction and Entity Coreference

- IBM Inc. announced today

- IBM profits


- Stanford President John Hennessy announced yesterday

- for Stanford University President John Hennessy



How to find the Min Edit Distance?

- Searching for a path (sequence of edits) from the start string to the final string:
 - **Initial state:** the word we're transforming
 - **Operators:** insert, delete, substitute
 - **Goal state:** the word we're trying to get to
 - **Path cost:** what we want to minimize: the number of edits





Minimum Edit as Search

- But the space of all edit sequences is huge!
 - We can't afford to navigate naïvely
 - Lots of distinct paths wind up at the same state.
 - We don't have to keep track of all of them
 - Just the shortest path to each of those revisited states.



Defining Min Edit Distance

- For two strings
 - X of length n
 - Y of length m
- We define $D(i,j)$
 - the edit distance between $X[1..i]$ and $Y[1..j]$
 - i.e., the first i characters of X and the first j characters of Y
 - The edit distance between X and Y is thus $D(n,m)$

Minimum Edit Distance

Definition of Minimum Edit Distance

Minimum Edit Distance

Computing Minimum Edit Distance



Dynamic Programming for Minimum Edit Distance

- **Dynamic programming:** A tabular computation of $D(n,m)$
- Solving problems by combining solutions to subproblems.
- Bottom-up
 - We compute $D(i,j)$ for small i,j
 - And compute larger $D(i,j)$ based on previously computed smaller values
 - i.e., compute $D(i,j)$ for all i ($0 < i < n$) and j ($0 < j < m$)



Defining Min Edit Distance (Levenshtein)

- Initialization

$$D(i, 0) = i$$

$$D(0, j) = j$$

- Recurrence Relation:

For each $i = 1 \dots M$

For each $j = 1 \dots N$

$$D(i, j) = \min \begin{cases} D(i-1, j) + 1 \\ D(i, j-1) + 1 \\ D(i-1, j-1) + 2; & \text{if } X(i) \neq Y(j) \\ 0; & \text{if } X(i) = Y(j) \end{cases}$$


- Termination:

$D(N, M)$ is distance



The Edit Distance Table


N	9									
O	8									
I	7									
T	6									
N	5									
E	4									
T	3									
N	2									
I	1									
#	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	#	E	X	E	C	U	T	I	O	N



The Edit Distance Table

N	9										
O	8										
I	7										
T	6										
N	5										
E	4										
T	3										
N	2										
I	1										
#	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	#	E	X	E	C	U	T	I	O	N	

$$D(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} D(i-1,j) + 1 \\ D(i,j-1) + 1 \\ D(i-1,j-1) + \begin{cases} 2; & \text{if } S_1(i) \neq S_2(j) \\ 0; & \text{if } S_1(i) = S_2(j) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$





Edit Distance

$$D(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} D(i-1,j) + 1 \\ D(i,j-1) + 1 \\ D(i-1,j-1) + \begin{cases} 2; & \text{if } S_1(i) \neq S_2(j) \\ 0; & \text{if } S_1(i) = S_2(j) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

N	9										
O	8										
I	7										
T	6										
N	5										
E	4										
T	3										
N	2										
I	1										
#	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	#	E	X	E	C	U	T	I	O	N	




The Edit Distance Table

N	9	8	9	10	11	12	11	10	9	8
O	8	7	8	9	10	11	10	9	8	9
I	7	6	7	8	9	10	9	8	9	10
T	6	5	6	7	8	9	8	9	10	11
N	5	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	10
E	4	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	9
T	3	4	5	6	7	8	7	8	9	8
N	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	7	8	7
I	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	6	7	8
#	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	#	E	X	E	C	U	T	I	O	N

Minimum Edit Distance

Computing Minimum Edit Distance



Minimum Edit Distance

Backtrace for Computing Alignments



Computing alignments

- Edit distance isn't sufficient
 - We often need to **align** each character of the two strings to each other
- We do this by keeping a “backtrace”
- Every time we enter a cell, remember where we came from
- When we reach the end,
 - Trace back the path from the upper right corner to read off the alignment



Edit Distance

$$D(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} D(i-1,j) + 1 \\ D(i,j-1) + 1 \\ D(i-1,j-1) + \begin{cases} 2; & \text{if } S_1(i) \neq S_2(j) \\ 0; & \text{if } S_1(i) = S_2(j) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

N	9										
O	8										
I	7										
T	6										
N	5										
E	4										
T	3										
N	2										
I	1										
#	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	#	E	X	E	C	U	T	I	O	N	



MinEdit with Backtrace

n	9	↓ 8	↙ ↖ ↓ 9	↙ ↖ ↓ 10	↙ ↖ ↓ 11	↙ ↖ ↓ 12	↓ 11	↓ 10	↓ 9	↙ 8	
o	8	↓ 7	↙ ↖ ↓ 8	↙ ↖ ↓ 9	↙ ↖ ↓ 10	↙ ↖ ↓ 11	↓ 10	↓ 9	↙ 8	← 9	
i	7	↓ 6	↙ ↖ ↓ 7	↙ ↖ ↓ 8	↙ ↖ ↓ 9	↙ ↖ ↓ 10	↓ 9	↙ 8	← 9	← 10	
t	6	↓ 5	↙ ↖ ↓ 6	↙ ↖ ↓ 7	↙ ↖ ↓ 8	↙ ↖ ↓ 9	↙ 8	← 9	← 10	← ↓ 11	
n	5	↓ 4	↙ ↖ ↓ 5	↙ ↖ ↓ 6	↙ ↖ ↓ 7	↙ ↖ ↓ 8	↙ ↖ ↓ 9	↙ ↖ ↓ 10	↙ ↖ ↓ 11	↙ ↓ 10	
e	4	↙ 3	← 4	↙ ← 5	← 6	← 7	← ↓ 8	↙ ↖ ↓ 9	↙ ↖ ↓ 10	↓ 9	
t	3	↙ ↖ ↓ 4	↙ ↖ ↓ 5	↙ ↖ ↓ 6	↙ ↖ ↓ 7	↙ ↖ ↓ 8	↙ 7	← ↓ 8	↙ ↖ ↓ 9	↓ 8	
n	2	↙ ↖ ↓ 3	↙ ↖ ↓ 4	↙ ↖ ↓ 5	↙ ↖ ↓ 6	↙ ↖ ↓ 7	↙ ↖ ↓ 8	↓ 7	↙ ↖ ↓ 8	↙ 7	
i	1	↙ ↖ ↓ 2	↙ ↖ ↓ 3	↙ ↖ ↓ 4	↙ ↖ ↓ 5	↙ ↖ ↓ 6	↙ ↖ ↓ 7	↙ 6	← 7	← 8	
#	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	#	e	x	e	c	u	t	i	o	n	



Adding Backtrace to Minimum Edit Distance

- Base conditions:

$$D(i, 0) = i$$

$$D(0, j) = j$$

Termination:

$D(N, M)$ is distance

- Recurrence Relation:

For each $i = 1 \dots M$


For each $j = 1 \dots N$

$$D(i, j) = \min \begin{cases} D(i-1, j) + 1 & \text{deletion} \\ D(i, j-1) + 1 & \text{insertion} \\ D(i-1, j-1) + 2; & \begin{cases} \text{if } X(i) \neq Y(j) & \text{substitution} \\ 0; & \text{if } X(i) = Y(j) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{ptr}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \text{LEFT} & \text{insertion} \\ \text{DOWN} & \text{deletion} \\ \text{DIAG} & \text{substitution} \end{cases}$$



The Distance Matrix



Every non-decreasing path
from $(0,0)$ to (M, N)
corresponds to
an alignment
of the two sequences

An optimal alignment is composed
of optimal subalignments



Result of Backtrace


- Two strings and their **alignment**:

I	N	T	E	*	N	T	I	O	N
*	E	X	E	C	U	T	I	O	N




Performance

- Time:
 $O(nm)$
- Space:
 $O(nm)$
- Backtrace
 $O(n+m)$



Minimum Edit Distance

Backtrace for Computing Alignments



Minimum Edit Distance

Weighted Minimum Edit Distance



Weighted Edit Distance

- Why would we add weights to the computation?
 - Spell Correction: some letters are more likely to be mistyped than others
 - Biology: certain kinds of deletions or insertions are more likely than others



Confusion matrix for spelling errors

X	Y (correct)																											
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o	p	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	x	y	z		
a	0	0	7	1	342	0	0	2	118	0	1	0	0	3	76	0	0	1	35	9	9	0	1	0	5	0		
b	0	0	9	9	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	5	11	5	0	10	0	0	2	1	0	0	8	0	0	0		
c	6	5	0	16	0	9	5	0	0	0	1	0	7	9	1	10	2	5	39	40	1	3	7	1	1	0		
d	1	10	13	0	12	0	5	5	0	0	2	3	7	3	0	1	0	43	30	22	0	0	4	0	2	0		
e	388	0	3	11	0	2	2	0	89	0	0	3	0	5	93	0	0	14	12	6	15	0	1	0	18	0		
f	0	15	0	3	1	0	5	2	0	0	0	3	4	1	0	0	0	6	4	12	0	0	2	0	0	0		
g	4	1	11	11	9	2	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	2	1	3	5	13	21	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	
h	1	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	12	14	2	3	0	3	1	11	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
i	103	0	0	0	146	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	49	0	0	0	2	1	47	0	2	1	15	0		
j	0	1	1	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
k	1	2	8	4	1	1	2	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	0
l	2	10	1	4	0	4	5	6	13	0	1	0	0	14	2	5	0	11	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
m	1	3	7	8	0	2	0	6	0	0	4	4	0	180	0	6	0	0	9	15	13	3	2	2	3	0	0	
n	2	7	6	5	3	0	1	19	1	0	4	35	78	0	0	7	0	28	5	7	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	
o	91	1	1	3	116	0	0	0	25	0	2	0	0	0	14	0	2	4	14	39	0	0	0	0	18	0		
p	0	11	1	2	0	6	5	0	2	9	0	2	7	6	15	0	0	1	3	6	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	
q	0	0	1	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
r	0	14	0	30	12	2	2	8	2	0	5	8	4	20	1	14	0	0	12	22	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	
s	11	8	27	33	35	4	0	1	0	1	0	27	0	6	1	7	0	14	0	15	0	0	5	3	20	1	0	
t	3	4	9	42	7	5	19	5	0	1	0	14	9	5	5	6	0	11	37	0	0	2	19	0	7	6	0	
u	20	0	0	0	44	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	2	43	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	0	0	
v	0	0	7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
w	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	6	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
x	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
y	0	0	2	0	15	0	1	7	15	0	0	0	2	0	6	1	0	7	36	8	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	
z	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	0	0	0	0	2	21	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	

sub[X, Y] = Substitution of X (incorrect) for Y (correct)





Weighted Min Edit Distance

- Initialization:

$$D(0, 0) = 0$$

$$D(i, 0) = D(i-1, 0) + \text{del}[x(i)]; \quad 1 < i \leq N$$

$$D(0, j) = D(0, j-1) + \text{ins}[y(j)]; \quad 1 < j \leq M$$

- Recurrence Relation:

$$D(i, j) = \min \begin{cases} D(i-1, j) + \text{del}[x(i)] \\ D(i, j-1) + \text{ins}[y(j)] \\ D(i-1, j-1) + \text{sub}[x(i), y(j)] \end{cases}$$

- Termination:

$D(N, M)$ is distance



Where did the name, dynamic programming, come from?


...The 1950s were not good years for mathematical research. [the] Secretary of Defense ...had a pathological fear and hatred of the word, research...

I decided therefore to use the word, “**programming**”.

I wanted to get across the idea that this was dynamic, this was multistage... I thought, let's ... take a word that has an absolutely precise meaning, namely **dynamic**... it's impossible to use the word, **dynamic**, in a pejorative sense. Try thinking of some combination that will possibly give it a pejorative meaning. It's impossible.

Thus, I thought dynamic programming was a good name. It was something not even a Congressman could object to.”

Richard Bellman, “Eye of the Hurricane: an autobiography” 1984.



Minimum Edit Distance

Weighted Minimum Edit Distance