



ICPSR
Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research

Public Opinion and the War in Vietnam Study, 1966

Sidney Verba
Richard Brody

ICPSR 7295

This document was previously available in paper format only. It was converted to Portable Document Format (PDF), with no manual editing, on the date below as part of ICPSR's electronic document conversion project. The document may not be completely searchable. No additional updating of this collection has been performed (pagination, missing pages, etc.).

January 2002

I
C
P
R

Public Opinion
and the
War in Vietnam
❧
Winter 1966


PRINCIPAL
INVESTIGATORS

Richard A. Brody
Paul Ekman
Edwin B. Parker
Nelson W. Polsby
Peter H. Rossi
Paul B. Sheatsley
Sidney Verba

ASSOCIATE
INVESTIGATORS

Gordon S. Black
Norman H. Nie

ICPR EDITION
SECOND PRINTING, 1975



PUBLIC OPINION AND THE WAR IN VIETNAM
(ICPR STUDY 7295)

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

RICHARD A. BRODY, STANFORD UNIVERSITY
PAUL EKMAN, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL CENTER
EDWIN B. PARKER, STANFORD UNIVERSITY
NELSON W. POLSBY, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY
PETER H. ROSSI, NATIONAL OPINION RESEARCH CENTER
PAUL B. SHEATSLEY, NATIONAL OPINION RESEARCH CENTER
SIDNEY VERBA, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

ASSOCIATE INVESTIGATORS

GORDON S. BLACK, STANFORD UNIVERSITY
NORMAN H. NIE, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

WINTER 1966

ICPR EDITION

FIRST PRINTING, 1972

SECOND PRINTING, 1975

INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH
BOX 1248
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48106

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH. THE DATA WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY: PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS - RICHARD A. BRODY, PAUL EKMAN, EDWIN B. PARKER, NELSON W. POLSBY, PETER H. ROSSI, PAUL B. SHEATSLEY, SIDNEY VERBA AND ASSOCIATE INVESTIGATORS - GORDON S. BLACK AND NORMAN H. NIE. NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

STUDY DESCRIPTION

"PUBLIC OPINION AND THE WAR IN VIETNAM" WAS CONDUCTED IN LATE WINTER, 1966. THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS WERE RICHARD A. BRODY, PAUL EKMAN, EDWIN B. PARKER, NELSON W. POLSBY, PETER H. ROSSI, PAUL B. SHEATSLEY, AND SIDNEY VERBA. OTHERS ASSOCIATED WITH THE STUDY WERE GORDON S. BLACK AND NORMAN H. NIE. THE DATA WERE COLLECTED BY THE NATIONAL OPINION RESEARCH CENTER OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO. THE SURVEY EXAMINES AND ATTEMPTS TO CLARIFY THE ROLE PLAYED BY PUBLIC OPINION IN LIMITED WARS SUCH AS THE VIETNAM WAR. MORE SPECIFICALLY, HOWEVER, THE DATA ENABLED THE INVESTIGATORS TO EXAMINE A QUESTION OF GROWING SIGNIFICANCE: THE NATURE OF THE EMERGING RELATIONSHIP OF PUBLIC OPINION POLLING TO FOREIGN POLICY MAKING.

THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE CONTAINS ONLY CLOSED ENDED QUESTIONS WITH THE ITEMS ROUGHLY DIVIDED INTO THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES: RESPONDENT'S CONCERN FOR SPECIFIC CURRENT PROBLEMS; RESPONDENT'S VIEWS ON ADMINISTRATION POLICIES TOWARD VIETNAM; SUPPORT OF ACTIONS TO MAINTAIN THE VIETNAM WAR; CONSEQUENCES OF AND PROPOSALS TO END THE FIGHTING IN VIETNAM; RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL AWARENESS OF FACTS AND ISSUES RELATED TO THE WAR; POLITICAL PARTICIPATION; AND FINALLY, PERSONAL DATA. FOR A FULL REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF THIS INVESTIGATION, CONSULT SIDNEY VERBA, RICHARD A. BRODY, EDWIN B. PARKER, NORMAN H. NIE, NELSON W. POLSBY, PAUL EKMAN, AND GORDON S. BLACK, "PUBLIC OPINION AND THE WAR IN VIETNAM," THE AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE REVIEW, LXI (JUNE, 1967), PP. 317-333.

SAMPLING INFORMATION

THE INDIVIDUALS INTERVIEWED IN THIS SURVEY CONSTITUTE A SAMPLE OF 1497 ADULTS DRAWN BY PROBABILITY SAMPLING OF BLOCKS WITHIN EACH OF NORC'S 75 SAMPLING POINTS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES. WITHIN THESE SAMPLING UNITS, THE INTERVIEWERS WERE INSTRUCTED TO SELECT RESPONDENTS WHO WERE CORRESPONDINGLY REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NATION IN TERMS OF AGE, SEX AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS.

PROCESSING INFORMATION

NORC SUPPLIED THE RAW DATA TO THE ICPR. THESE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR INCONSISTENCIES IN THE "INAPPROPRIATE" CATEGORIES, AND WERE ALSO EXAMINED FOR THE PRESENCE OF ILLEGAL CODES (I.E., CODE VALUES APPEARING IN THE DATA FOR A SPECIFIC VARIABLE BUT ABSENT FROM THE INVESTIGATOR'S LIST OF LEGITIMATE CODE CATEGORIES FOR THE VARIABLE). NEITHER PROBLEM EXISTED. A LIMITED NUMBER OF VARIABLES WERE RECODED TO PRODUCE CONSISTENT CODING SCHEMES ACROSS COMPARABLE VARIABLES. FOR EXAMPLE, V29 (FIGHTING A GROUND WAR IN CHINA) AND V31 (FIGHTING AN ATOMIC WAR WITH CHINA) HAD CODES OF 3=FAVOR, 4=OPPOSE, AND 5=FAVOR, 6=OPPOSE, RESPECTIVELY. THESE VALUES WERE RECODED TO 1=FAVOR AND 2=OPPOSE FOR BOTH VARIABLES TO ACHIEVE COMPARABILITY ACROSS ALL VARIABLES IN THE SAME SECTION.

CODEBOOK INFORMATION

THE EXAMPLE BELOW IS A REPRODUCTION (NOT ACTUAL SIZE) OF INFORMATION APPEARING IN THE MACHINE-READABLE CODEBOOK FOR A TYPICAL VARIABLE. THE NUMBERS IN CIRCLES DO NOT APPEAR IN THE CODEBOOK BUT ARE REFERENCES TO THE DESCRIPTIONS WHICH FOLLOW THIS EXAMPLE.

.....
① VAR 0021 ① REF 0021 ② DATA SET ID='7295'
③ NAME-PRLNG WR/...TARGET ④ MD=0 OR GE 9 ⑤ -----
⑥ LOC 34 WIDTH 1 ⑦ DK 1 COL 35 ⑧ -----

⑨ 6D. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM R (DIS) APPROVES OF> BOMBING MILITARY TARGETS IN NORTH VIET NAM.
.....

⑩ -----

⑪ -----

⑫	⑬	⑭
1158	1. APPROVE	
246	2. DISAPPROVE	
89	9. DK	
4	0. NA	

.....

1. INDICATES THE VARIABLE AND REFERENCE NUMBER. A VARIABLE AND A REFERENCE NUMBER ARE ASSIGNED TO EACH ITEM IN THE STUDY. IN THE PRESENT CODEBOOK WHICH DOCUMENTS THE ARCHIVED DATASET, THESE NUMBERS ARE IDENTICAL. SHOULD THE DATA BE SUBSETTED OR REARRANGED BY AN OSIRIS PROGRAM (E.G., MMP2 TO INTERSPERSE DATA FROM ANOTHER SOURCE, OR TCOT2 TO PRODUCE AN ANALYSIS DECK), THE VARIABLE NUMBERS WOULD CHANGE TO REFLECT THE ORDER OF THE NEW DATASET, WHILE THE REFERENCE NUMBERS WOULD REMAIN UNCHANGED TO REFLECT THE VARIABLE NUMBER IN THE CODEBOOK DESCRIBING THE ARCHIVED DATASET.
2. INDICATES THE FOUR COLUMN ICPR STUDY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER UNIQUE TO THIS DATASET.
3. INDICATES THE ABBREVIATED (24 CHARACTERS MAXIMUM) VARIABLE NAME USED WITHIN THE OSIRIS SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY THE VARIABLE FOR THE USER. AN EXPANDED VERSION OF THESE NAMES CAN BE FOUND IN THE TABLE OF CONTENTS.
4. INDICATES THE DESIGNATION OF MISSING DATA. IN THIS EXAMPLE CODE VALUES EQUAL TO ZERO AND THOSE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO NINE ARE MISSING DATA (MD=0 OR GE 9). ALTERNATIVE STATEMENTS FOR OTHER VARIABLES ARE OF THE FORM "MD=0", "MD=GE 8" OR "NO MISSING DATA CODE." SOME ANALYSIS SOFTWARE PACKAGES (INCLUDING THE ICPR-SUPPORTED PACKAGE) REQUIRE THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF DATA WHICH THE USER DESIRES TO EXCLUDE FROM ANALYSIS BE DESIGNATED AS "MISSING DATA", E.G., INAPPROPRIATE, UNASCERTAINED, UNASCERTAINABLE OR AMBIGUOUS DATA CATEGORIES. ALTHOUGH THESE CATEGORIES ARE DEFINED AS MISSING DATA CATEGORIES, THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT A USER SHOULD NOT OR CANNOT USE THESE CODES IN A SUBSTANTIVE ROLE IF HE SO DESIRES.
5. A MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLE IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "MULT RESPS X", WHERE X IS THE NUMBER OF RESPONSES.
6. INDICATES THE STARTING LOCATION AND WIDTH OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE STORED ON A MAGNETIC TAPE IN THE OSIRIS FORMAT.
7. INDICATES THE LOCATION BY DECK AND COLUMN(S) OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE ON CARDS OR IN CARD IMAGE FORM (EITHER 80 OR 84 COLUMN FORMAT)
8. A VARIABLE CONTAINING DATA WITH IMPLIED DECIMALS IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE "XX DEC PLACE(S)", WHERE XX IS THE NUMBER OF DECIMAL PLACES IMPLIED (NOT PUNCHED) IN THE VARIABLE.
9. INDICATES THE FULL TEXT (QUESTION) SUPPLIED BY THE INVESTIGATOR TO DESCRIBE THE VARIABLE.
10. INDICATES AN ADDITIONAL COMMENT OR EXPLANATION APPENDED TO THE VARIABLE DESCRIPTION.

11. A VARIABLE WHICH HAS A FOOTNOTE ASSOCIATED WITH IT IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "SEE NOTE(S) X", WHERE X IS THE NUMBER OF THE FOOTNOTE REFERENCED.
12. INDICATES THE FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF EACH CODE VALUE. FREQUENCIES FOR MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLES ARE CUMULATIVE.
13. INDICATES THE CODE VALUES OCCURRING IN THE DATA FOR THIS VARIABLE.
14. INDICATES THE TEXTUAL DEFINITIONS OF THE CODES.

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
1	ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7295	1
2	INTERVIEW NUMBER	
STUDY PROCEDURE AND SAMPLING		
3	PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT	
4	SIZE OF PLACE	
5	REGION OF COUNTRY	2
6	TYPE OF STRUCTURE	3
7	DATE OF INTERVIEW	
8	LENGTH OF INTERVIEW	
CONCERN FOR CURRENT PROBLEMS		
9	NEGRO-WHITE RELATIONS	4
10	INFLATION AND THE COST OF LIVING	
11	WAR IN VIETNAM	5
12	AIR AND WATER POLLUTION	
13	CRIME AND JUVENILE DELINQUENCY	
VIEWS ON ADMINISTRATION'S POLICIES TOWARD VIETNAM		
14	THE JOHNSON ADMINISTRATION'S HANDLING OF VIETNAM	6
15	QUANTITY OF INFORMATION RELEASED BY GOVERNMENT	
16	HAS CONGRESS DECLARED WAR IN VIETNAM	
17	FULL-SCALE CONGRESSIONAL DEBATE ON VIETNAM	7
SUPPORT OF ACTIONS TO MAINTAIN PROSECUTION OF VIETNAM WAR		
18	REDUCING AID TO EDUCATION	
19	SPENDING LESS MONEY FOR THE WAR ON POVERTY	8
20	HAVING 200,000 AMERICAN TROOPS IN SOUTH VIETNAM	
21	BOMBING MILITARY TARGETS IN NORTH VIETNAM	
22	PUTTING GOVERNMENT CONTROL OVER WAGES AND PRICES	9
23	HAVING HALF A MILLION TROOPS IN SOUTH VIETNAM	
24	INCREASING TAXES AT HOME	
25	CALLING UP THE NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVES	10
26	BOMBING THE CITIES OF NORTH VIETNAM	
27	REDUCING THE MEDICARE PROGRAM	

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
	ACCEPTANCE OF CONSEQUENCES OF CONTINUED FIGHTING IN VIETNAM	
28	FIGHTING THE CHINESE ARMY IN VIETNAM	11
29	FIGHTING A GROUND WAR IN CHINA ITSELF	
30	FIGHTING AN ATOMIC WAR WITH CHINA	
31	FIGHTING AN ATOMIC WAR WITH RUSSIA	12
32	MOBILIZATION OF AMERICAN MEN IN THE ARMED FORCES	
	SUPPORT OF PROPOSALS OF END THE FIGHTING IN VIETNAM	
33	FORMING A NEW GOVERNMENT IN WHICH THE VIET CONG TOOK PART	
34	HOLDING FREE ELECTIONS IN SOUTH VIETNAM	13
35	GETTING THE UNITED NATIONS TO NEGOTIATE A TRUCE	
36	GRADUALLY WITHDRAWING OUR TROOPS	
37	SHOULD WE LIMIT FIGHTING TO THE CAPITAL AND COAST	14
	SUPPORT OF CONSEQUENCES OF AN IMMEDIATE END TO THE FIGHTING IN VIETNAM	
38	EVENTUAL CONTROL OF SOUTH VIETNAM BY THE VIET CONG	
39	EVENTUAL LOSS OF INDEPENDENCE FOR LAOS OR THAILAND	
40	WEEKLY LOSS OF SEVERAL HUNDRED AMERICAN SOLDIERS	15
	APPRAISEMENT OF AMERICAN POLICIES TOWARD COMMUNIST COUNTRIES	
41	NATURE OF OUR POLICY TOWARD RUSSIA	
42	NATURE OF OUR POLICY TOWARD CASTRO	16
43	NATURE OF OUR POLICY TOWARD COMMUNIST CHINA	
44	WOULD R FAVOR NEGOTIATING WITH THE VIET CONG	
	AWARENESS OF FACTS AND ISSUES RELATED TO THE WAR	
45	R'S ESTIMATE OF AMERICAN TROOPS IN SOUTH VIETNAM	17
46	WHAT IS THE CAPITAL OF SOUTH VIETNAM	
47	WHAT IS THE CAPITAL OF NORTH VIETNAM	
48	ACCORDING TO R, ARE WE BOMBING IN NORTH VIETNAM	18

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
49	WHO ARE THE VIET CONG	
50	WAS THE SOUTH VIETNAM GOVERNMENT FREELY ELECTED	
51	ESTIMATE OF AMERICAN TROOPS KILLED IN VIETNAM	19
RESPONDENT'S CHOICE OF POLICY TOWARD VIETNAM		
52	WITHDRAW FROM VIETNAM ALLOW COMMUNIST TAKEOVER	
53	CONTINUE PRESENT SITUATION, MAJOR WAR OR WITHDRAW	
54	XMAJOR WAR OR TOTAL WITHDRAWAL	20
PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF ARMED FORCES		
55	R'S MILITARY STATUS OR ELIGIBILITY	
56	CLOSE FRIENDS OR RELATIVES IN THE ARMED FORCES	21
57	XARE THEY (IS HE) IN VIETNAM	
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		
58	HAS R WRITTEN TO PUBLIC OFFICIAL OR NEWSPAPER	
VOTING BEHAVIOR		
59	DID R VOTE FOR JOHNSON OR GOLDWATER OR NOT VOTE	22
60	R'S VIEW OF JOHNSON'S HANDLING OF VIETNAM	
PERSONAL DATA		
61	MARITAL STATUS OF RESPONDENT	
62	EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	23
63	XEDUCATION OF SPOUSE	
64	OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENT	
65	TOTAL FAMILY INCOME LAST YEAR	24
66	HOME OWNERSHIP OR RENT	25
67	PERCEIVED SOCIAL CLASS OF RESPONDENT	
68	POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORTED BY RESPONDENT	26
69	AGE OF RESPONDENT	
70	RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE OF RESPONDENT	
71	STRENGTH OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS	27

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
72	HAS RESPONDENT OR HUSBAND SERVED IN THE MILITARY	
73	XWAS RESPONDENT OR HUSBAND EVER IN COMBAT	
74	NUMBER OF CHILDREN UNDER 12 YEARS	28
75	NUMBER OF CHILDREN FROM 13-21 YEARS	
76	NUMBER OF CHILDREN OVER 21 YEARS	29
77	SEX OF RESPONDENT	
78	RACE OF RESPONDENT	
COOPERATION AND INTEREST OF RESPONDENT		
79	COOPERATIVENESS OF RESPONDENT	30
80	INTEREST OF RESPONDENT	
	QUESTIONNAIRE	31

VAR 0001 REF 0001 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER-7295 NO MISSING DATA CODES
 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7295)

VAR 0002 REF 0002 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER NO MISSING DATA CODES
 LOC 5 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 5- 8

INTERVIEW NUMBER

'1' DK 1 COL 9
 ...

VAR 0003 REF 0003 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PRIMARY SAMPLG UNIT(PSU) NO MISSING DATA CODES
 LOC 9 WIDTH 3 DK 1 COL 10-12

PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT NUMBER (PSU)

THE THREE DIGIT NUMBER DESIGNATING PSU REPRESENTS: BY THE
 FIRST DIGIT, SIZE OF PLACE, AS CODED IN REF. NO. 4; BY THE
 SECOND DIGIT, REGION OF COUNTRY, AS CODED IN REF. NO. 5; AND,
 BY THE THIRD DIGIT, LOCALITY, A DESIGNATION OF ALPHABETICAL
 ORDER WITHIN SIZE CATEGORY AND REGION.

VAR 0004 REF 0004 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-SIZE OF PLACE NO MISSING DATA CODES
 LOC 12 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 13

PSU DIGIT 1: SIZE OF PLACE

- 338 6. TEN LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS (2,000,000 OR MORE)
- 603 7. OTHER METROPOLITAN AREAS (UNDER 2,000,000)
- 246 8. COUNTIES WITH TOWN OF 10,000 OR MORE (URBAN COUNTY)
- 310 9. COUNTIES WITH NO TOWN OF 10,000 POPULATION
(RURAL COUNTY)

VAR 0005

REF 0005

DATA SET ID-'7295'

NAME-REGION OF COUNTY
LOC 13 WIDTH 1NO MISSING DATA CODES
DK 1 COL 14PSU DIGIT 2: REGION OF COUNTRY
.....THE CODING SCHEME IS DRAWN FROM THE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
REGIONAL CLASSIFICATION.

NORTHEAST

- 67 1. NEW ENGLAND: MAINE, VERMONT, NEW HAMPSHIRE,
MASSACHUSETTS, CONNECTICUT, RHODE ISLAND
- 289 2. MIDDLE ATLANTIC: NEW YORK, NEW JERSEY,
PENNSYLVANIA

NORTH CENTRAL

- 271 3. EAST NORTH CENTRAL: WISCONSIN, ILLINOIS,
INDIANA, MICHIGAN, OHIO
- 151 4. WEST NORTH CENTRAL: MINNESOTA, IOWA, MISSOURI,
NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, NEBRASKA, KANSAS

SOUTH

- 216 5. SOUTH ATLANTIC: DELAWARE, MARYLAND, WEST VIRGINIA,
VIRGINIA, NORTH CAROLINA, SOUTH CAROLINA, GEORGIA,
FLORIDA
- 57 6. EAST SOUTH CENTRAL: KENTUCKY, TENNESSEE, ALABAMA,
MISSISSIPPI
- 206 7. WEST SOUTH CENTRAL: ARKANSAS, OKLAHOMA, LOUISIANA,
TEXAS

WEST

- 53 8. MOUNTAIN: MONTANA, IDAHO, WYOMING, NEVADA, UTAH,
COLORADO, ARIZONA, NEW MEXICO
- 187 9. PACIFIC: WASHINGTON, OREGON, CALIFORNIA

(CONTINUED)

THROUGH
128. 128 MINUTES

999. NA

VAR 0009		REF 0009	DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-RACE RELNS DGR CNCRN		MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 22 WIDTH 1		DK 1 COL 23	

1. I AM GOING TO READ YOU A LIST OF PROBLEMS IN THE NEWS RECENTLY. PLEASE TELL ME WHETHER EACH ONE WORRIES YOU A GREAT DEAL, A LITTLE, OR NOT AT ALL.

1A. NEGRO-WHITE RELATIONS

474	1.	WORRIES A GREAT DEAL
636	2.	WORRIES A LITTLE
382	3.	DOESN'T WORRY AT ALL
3	9.	DK
2	0.	NA

VAR 0010		REF 0010	DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-INFLATION DEGREE CONCERN		MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 23 WIDTH 1		DK 1 COL 24	

1B. <RESPONDENT'S DEGREE OF CONCERN ABOUT> INFLATION AND THE COST OF LIVING

683	1.	WORRIES A GREAT DEAL
603	2.	WORRIES A LITTLE
207	3.	DOESN'T WORRY AT ALL
2	9.	DK
2	0.	NA

VAR 0011 REF 0011 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-VIETNAM WAR DGR CONCERN MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 24 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 25

1C. <RESPONDENT'S DEGREE OF CONCERN ABOUT THE> WAR
 IN VIET NAM

906	1.	WORRIES A GREAT DEAL
491	2.	WORRIES A LITTLE
97	3.	DOESN'T WORRY AT ALL.
	9.	DK
3	0.	NA

VAR 0012 REF 0012 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-POLLUTION DEGREE CONCERN MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 25 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 26

1D. <RESPONDENT'S DEGREE OF CONCERN ABOUT> AIR AND WATER
 POLLUTION

404	1.	WORRIES A GREAT DEAL
647	2.	WORRIES A LITTLE
415	3.	DOESN'T WORRY AT ALL
21	9.	DK
10	0.	NA

VAR 0013 REF 0013 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-CRM & DLNQNCY DGR CNCRN MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 26 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 27

1E. <RESPONDENT'S DEGREE OF CONCERN ABOUT> CRIME AND
 JUVENILE DELINQUENCY

757	1.	WORRIES A GREAT DEAL
586	2.	WORRIES A LITTLE
150	3.	DOESN'T WORRY AT ALL
	9.	DK
4	0.	NA

VAR 0014 REF 0014 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-JHNSN VNAM PLCY APRVL MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 27 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 28

2. IN GENERAL, DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE THE WAY THE
 JOHNSON ADMINISTRATION IS HANDLING THE SITUATION IN
 VIET NAM?

918	1. APPROVE
433	2. DISAPPROVE
143	9. DK
3	0. NA

VAR 0015 REF 0015 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-QNTY GOVT RLSD INFO VNAM MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 28 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 29

3. THERE HAS BEEN SOME CRITICISM LATELY THAT THE GOVERNMENT
 IS NOT KEEPING THE AMERICAN PEOPLE INFORMED ABOUT THE WAR
 IN VIET NAM. DO YOU PERSONALLY THINK THE GOVERNMENT IS
 GIVING THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TOO MUCH INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT
 IS GOING ON, ABOUT AS MUCH INFORMATION AS IT CAN GIVE, OR
 NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN VIET NAM?

74	1. TOO MUCH
911	2. AS MUCH AS CAN
475	3. NOT ENOUGH
36	9. DK
1	0. NA

VAR 0016 REF 0016 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-HAS CNCS DCLRD WAR VNAM? MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 29 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 30

4. AS FAR AS YOU KNOW, HAS THE CONGRESS DECLARED WAR IN
 VIET NAM?

64	1. YES, IT HAS
1291	2. NO, IT HASN'T

VAR 0019 REF 0019 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PRLNG WAR/LS MNY POVERTY MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 32 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 33

6B. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
 R (DIS) APPROVES OF> SPENDING LESS MONEY FOR THE WAR ON
 POVERTY.

.....

683	1.	APPROVE
758	2.	DISAPPROVE
55	9.	DK
1	0.	NA

VAR 0020 REF 0020 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PRLNG WAR/1.5 MILN SLDR MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 33 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 34

6C. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
 R (DIS) APPROVES OF> HAVING 200,000 AMERICAN TROOPS IN
 SOUTH VIET NAM.

.....

907	1.	APPROVE
484	2.	DISAPPROVE
103	9.	DK
3	0.	NA

VAR 0021 REF 0021 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PRLNG WR/BOMB MIL TARGET MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 34 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 35

6D. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
 R (DIS) APPROVES OF> BOMBING MILITARY TARGETS IN NORTH
 VIET NAM.

.....

1158	1.	APPROVE
246	2.	DISAPPROVE
89	9.	DK
4	0.	NA

VAR 0022 REF 0022 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PRLNG WR/GOVT CNTRL WAGE MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 35 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 36

6E. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
 R (DIS) APPROVES OF> PUTTING GOVERNMENT CONTROLS OVER
 WAGES AND PRICES.

.....
 613 1. APPROVE
 798 2. DISAPPROVE
 81 9. DK
 5 0. NA

VAR 0023 REF 0023 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PRLNG WR/1/2 MILN SLDR MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 36 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 37

6F. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
 R (DIS) APPROVES OF> HAVING HALF A MILLION TROOPS IN SOUTH
 VIET NAM.

.....
 671 1. APPROVE
 680 2. DISAPPROVE
 139 9. DK
 7 0. NA

VAR 0024 REF 0024 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-TO PRLNG WR/INCREASE TAX MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 37 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 38

6G. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
 R (DIS) APPROVES OF> INCREASING TAXES AT HOME.

.....
 464 1. APPROVE
 984 2. DISAPPROVE
 40 9. DK
 9 0. NA

V
A
R
I
A
B
L
E

2
4

VAR 0025 REF 0025 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-PRLNG WR/CALL UP RESERVE MD=0 OR GE 9
LOC 38 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 39

6H. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
R (DIS) APPROVES OF> CALLING UP THE NATIONAL GUARD AND
RESERVES.
.....

893	1.	APPROVE
483	2.	DISAPPROVE
114	9.	DK
7	0.	NA

VAR 0026 REF 0026 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-PRLNG WAR/BOMB NVNAM CTY MD=0 OR GE 9
LOC 39 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 40

6I. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
R (DIS) APPROVES OF> BOMBING THE CITIES OF NORTH VIET NAM.
.....

561	1.	APPROVE
825	2.	DISAPPROVE
104	9.	DK
7	0.	NA

VAR 0027 REF 0027 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-TO PRLNG WR/LSN MEDICARE MD=0 OR GE 9
LOC 40 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 41

6J. <IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM
R (DIS) APPROVES OF> REDUCING THE MEDICARE PROGRAM.
.....

424	1.	APPROVE
977	2.	DISAPPROVE
92	9.	DK
4	0.	NA

VAR 0028 REF 0028 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-CONT WAR & FIGHT CHINESE MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 41 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 42

7. WOULD YOU FAVOR OR OPPOSE CONTINUING THE FIGHTING IN VIET NAM IF IT MEANT...

7A. FIGHTING THE CHINESE ARMY IN VIET NAM?

839	1.	FAVOR
530	2.	OPPOSE
125	9.	DK
3	0.	NA

VAR 0029 REF 0029 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-CONT WAR & FGHT IN CHINA MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 42 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 43

7B. <R FAVORS/OPPOSES CONTINUED FIGHTING IN VIET NAM IF IT MEANS> FIGHTING A GROUND WAR IN CHINA ITSELF?

472	1.	FAVOR
892	2.	OPPOSE
129	9.	DK
4	0.	NA

VAR 0030 REF 0030 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-CONT WAR & ATMC WR CHINA MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 43 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 44

7C. <R FAVORS/OPPOSES CONTINUED FIGHTING IN VIET NAM IF IT MEANS> FIGHTING AN ATOMIC WAR WITH CHINA?

429	1.	FAVOR
956	2.	OPPOSE
104	9.	DK
8	0.	NA

VAR 0031 REF 0031 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-CONT WAR & ATMC WAR RUSS MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 44 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 45

7D. <R FAVORS/OPPOSES CONTINUED FIGHTING IN VIET NAM IF IT
 MEANS> FIGHTING AN ATOMIC WAR WITH RUSSIA?

325	1. FAVOR
1069	2. OPPOSE
97	9. DK
6	0. NA

VAR 0032 REF 0032 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-CONT WAR&TTL AMERN MBLZN MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 45 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 46

7E. <R FAVORS/OPPOSES CONTINUED FIGHTING IN VIET NAM IF IT
 MEANS> ALL OUT MOBILIZATION OF AMERICAN MEN IN THE ARMED
 FORCES?

599	1. FAVOR
763	2. OPPOSE
129	9. DK
6	0. NA

VAR 0033 REF 0033 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-WAR SOL-V.C GOVT PRTCN MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 46 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 47

8. A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT STEPS HAVE BEEN PROPOSED TO END
 THE PRESENT FIGHTING IN SOUTH VIET NAM. WOULD YOU APPROVE
 OR DISAPPROVE OF THE FOLLOWING ACTION TO END THE FIGHTING?

8A. FORMING A NEW GOVERNMENT IN WHICH THE VIET CONG TOOK
 SOME PART.

781	1. APPROVE
535	2. DISAPPROVE

(CONTINUED)

- 578 1. APPROVE
- 826 2. DISAPPROVE
- 84 9. DK
- 9 0. NA

VAR 0037 REF 0037 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-LIM WR TO CPTL & COAST? MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 50 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 51

9. DO YOU THINK WE SHOULD LIMIT OUR FIGHTING IN VIET NAM TO THE DEFENSE OF THE CAPITAL AND COASTAL AREAS, OR SHOULD WE TRY TO DEFEAT THE ENEMY THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY?

- 293 1. LIMIT FIGHTING
- 1029 2. THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY
- 146 9. DK
- 29 0. NA

VAR 0038 REF 0038 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-END WAR-APRV V.C CONTRL MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 51 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 52

10. IF IT MEANT EVENTUAL CONTROL OF SOUTH VIET NAM BY THE VIET CONG, WOULD YOU APPROVE OF ENDING THE FIGHTING NOW?

- 422 1. APPROVE
- 930 2. DISAPPROVE
- 139 9. DK
- 6 0. NA

VAR 0039 REF 0039 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-END WAR-NDPND LAOS LOST MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 52 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 53

11. WOULD YOU APPROVE OF ENDING THE FIGHTING IN SOUTH

(CONTINUED)

VIET NAM EVEN IF THAT MEANT THE EVENTUAL LOSS OF INDEPENDENCE OF OTHER NATIONS LIKE LAOS AND THAILAND?

199	1.	APPROVE
1146	2.	DISAPPROVE
140	9.	DK
12	0.	NA

VAR 0040 REF 0040 DATA SET ID-'7295'

NAME-CONT	WR&KILL AMERN WKLY	MD=0 OR GE 9
LOC	53 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 54

12. WOULD YOU APPROVE OF CONTINUING THE FIGHTING IF IT MEANT SEVERAL HUNDRED AMERICAN SOLDIERS WOULD BE KILLED EVERY WEEK?

570	1.	APPROVE
811	2.	DISAPPROVE
109	9.	DK
7	0.	NA

VAR 0041 REF 0041 DATA SET ID-'7295'

NAME-PLCY	TWRD RUSS TOO TOUGH	MD=0 OR GE 9
LOC	54 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 55

13. WOULD YOU SAY THAT OUR POLICY TOWARD RUSSIA HAS BEEN TOO TOUGH, JUST ABOUT RIGHT, OR TOO SOFT?

32	1.	TOO TOUGH
711	2.	JUST ABOUT RIGHT
687	3.	TOO SOFT
64	9.	DK
3	0.	NA

VAR 0042 REF 0042 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PLCY TWRD CUBA TOO TOUGH MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 55 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 56

14. HOW ABOUT OUR POLICY TOWARD CASTRO IN CUBA? HAVE WE
 BEEN TOO TOUGH, ABOUT RIGHT, OR TOO SOFT?

35	1.	TOO TOUGH
435	2.	ABOUT RIGHT
966	3.	TOO SOFT
58	9.	DK
3	0.	NA

VAR 0043 REF 0043 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PLCY TWRD RED CHINA-SOFT MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 56 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 57

15. HOW ABOUT COMMUNIST CHINA? (HAVE WE BEEN TOO TOUGH,
 ABOUT RIGHT, OR TOO SOFT?)

46	1.	TOO TOUGH
549	2.	ABOUT RIGHT
743	3.	TOO SOFT
156	9.	DK
3	0.	NA

VAR 0044 REF 0044 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-NEGOTIATE W V.C-FAVOR? MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 57 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 58

16. WOULD YOU BE IN FAVOR OF AMERICAN NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE
 VIET CONG IF THEY WERE WILLING TO NEGOTIATE?

1305	1.	FAVOR
126	2.	OPPOSE
59	9.	DK
7	0.	NA

VAR 0045

REF 0045

DATA SET ID-'7295'

NAME-EST AMERN SLDRS IN VNAM MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 58 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 59

17. IF YOU HAD TO ESTIMATE, ABOUT HOW MANY AMERICAN TROOPS
 WOULD YOU SAY ARE CURRENTLY IN SOUTH VIET NAM?

169	1.	LESS THAN 50,000
203	2.	50,000 TO LESS THAN 150,000
556	3.	150,000 TO LESS THAN 250,000
134	4.	250,000 TO LESS THAN 400,000
75	5.	400,000 OR OVER
356	9.	DK
4	0.	NA

VAR 0046

REF 0046

DATA SET ID-'7295'

NAME-CAPITAL OF SOUTH VNAM MD=0
 LOC 59 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 60

18. WHAT IS THE CAPITAL OF SOUTH VIET NAM?

701	1.	SAIGON
48	2.	OTHER
741	9.	DK
7	0.	NA

VAR 0047

REF 0047

DATA SET ID-'7295'

NAME-CAPITAL OF NORTH VNAM MD=0
 LOC 60 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 61

19. WHAT IS THE CAPITAL OF NORTH VIET NAM?

611	1.	HANOI
29	2.	OTHER
849	9.	DK
8	0.	NA

VAR 0048 REF 0048 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-IS AMER BOMBING NTH VNAM MD=0
 LOC 61 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 62

20. AS FAR AS YOU KNOW, ARE WE CURRENTLY BOMBING ANY
 TARGETS IN NORTH VIET NAM?

1020 1. YES
 432 2. NO
 45 0. NA

VAR 0049 REF 0049 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-WHO ARE THE VIET CONG? MD=0 OR GE 8
 LOC 62 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 63

21. AS YOU UNDERSTAND IT, WHO ARE THE VIET CONG--THE
 GOVERNMENT WE ARE SUPPORTING IN SOUTH VIET NAM, THE SOUTH
 VIETNAMESE COMMUNISTS, NORTH VIETNAMESE, OR WHO?

62 1. GOVERNMENT WE ARE SUPPORTING
 431 2. SOUTH VIETNAMESE COMMUNISTS
 600 3. NORTH VIETNAMESE
 158 8. OTHER
 239 9. DK
 7 0. NA

VAR 0050 REF 0050 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-STH VNAM GOVT FRLY ELCTD MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 63 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 64

22. AS YOU UNDERSTAND IT, WAS THE CURRENT SOUTH VIETNAMESE
 GOVERNMENT FREELY ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE?

320 1. YES
 643 2. NO
 528 9. DK
 6 0. NA

VAR 0051 REF 0051 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-EST AMERN SLDR KLD VNAM MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 64 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 65

23. IF YOU HAD TO ESTIMATE IT, ABOUT HOW MANY AMERICAN TROOPS WOULD YOU SAY HAVE BEEN KILLED IN VIET NAM SO FAR?

- 17 1. LESS THAN 100
- 85 2. 100 TO 499
- 130 3. 500 TO 999
- 253 4. 1,000 TO 1,499
- 343 5. 1,500 TO 2,499
- 161 6. 2,500 TO 4,999
- 146 7. 5,000 OR MORE

- 354 9. DK
- 8 0. NA

VAR 0052 REF 0052 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-LEAVE VNAM CMNST CNTRL MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 65 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 66

24. IF PRESIDENT JOHNSON WERE TO ANNOUNCE TOMORROW THAT WE WERE GOING TO WITHDRAW FROM VIET NAM AND LET THE COMMUNISTS TAKE OVER, WOULD YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE?

- 225 1. APPROVE
- 1205 2. DISAPPROVE

- 63 9. DK
- 4 0. NA

VAR 0053 REF 0053 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-PRLNG WR/TTL WITHDRAWAL MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 66 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 67

25. WE HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION ABOUT VIET NAM. SUPPOSE YOU HAD TO CHOOSE AMONG CONTINUING THE PRESENT SITUATION INDEFINITELY, FIGHTING A MAJOR WAR WITH HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF CASUALTIES, OR A WITHDRAWAL OF AMERICAN TROOPS LEADING TO AN EVENTUAL COMMUNIST TAKEOVER. WHICH WOULD YOU CHOOSE?

VARIABLE 51

VAR 0056 REF 0056 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-R'S REL/FRND IN MILITARY MD=0
LOC 69 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 70

27. DO YOU HAVE ANY CLOSE FRIENDS OR RELATIVES IN THE ARMED
FORCES?
.....

922	1. YES (ASK Q.27A)
572	2. NO
3	0. NA

VAR 0057 REF 0057 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-XR'S REL/FRND, SLDR VNAM MD=0 OR GE 9
LOC 70 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 71

27A. (IF YES TO Q.27) ARE THEY <FRIENDS OR RELATIVES> (IS
HE) IN VIET NAM?
.....

354	1. YES
560	2. NO
575	9. INAP., CODED 2 OR 0 IN Q.27 (REF. NO. 56)
8	0. NA

VAR 0058 REF 0058 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-WRITE PUBLIC OFFICIAL? MD=0
LOC 71 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 72

28. HAVE YOU EVER WRITTEN A LETTER TO A PUBLIC OFFICIAL OR
A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE CONCERNING A PUBLIC ISSUE?
.....

240	1. YES
1252	2. NO
5	0. NA

VAR 0059 REF 0059 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-R'S VOTE IN 1964 ELCTN MD=0
 LOC 72 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 73

29. IN THE LAST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, DID YOU VOTE FOR
 JOHNSON OR GOLDWATER, OR WERE YOU NOT ABLE TO VOTE?

782 1. JOHNSON
 318 2. GOLDWATER
 365 3. DIDN'T VOTE
 32 0. NA

VAR 0060 REF 0060 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-JHNSN VNAM POLICY APRVL MD=0
 LOC 73 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 74

30. IN GENERAL, DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY THE
 JOHNSON ADMINISTRATION IS HANDLING THE SITUATION IN VIET NAM?

1026 1. APPROVE
 423 2. DISAPPROVE
 48 0. NA

VAR 0061 REF 0061 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-R'S MARITAL STATUS MD=GE 9
 LOC 74 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 75

NOW JUST BEFORE WE FINISH I HAVE A FEW BACKGROUND
 QUESTIONS ABOUT YOU YOURSELF.

60. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS?

106 1. SINGLE, NEVER MARRIED
 1198 2. MARRIED
 37 3. DIVORCED
 116 4. WIDOWED
 40 5. SEPARATED
 9. NA

VAR 0071 REF 0071 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-STNGTH R'S RLGS BELIEFS MD=GE 8
 LOC 86 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 16

69. HOW STRONGLY DO YOU FEEL ABOUT YOUR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS?
 DO YOU FEEL VERY STRONGLY, STRONGLY, MODERATELY, NOT SO
 STRONGLY, OR NOT STRONGLY AT ALL?

.....

650	1. VERY STRONGLY
317	2. STRONGLY
406	3. MODERATELY
55	4. NOT SO STRONGLY
46	5. NOT SO STRONGLY AT ALL
6	8. DK
17	9. NA

VAR 0072 REF 0072 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-R/SPOUSE SRVD IN MIL MD=GE 7
 LOC 87 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 17

70. (HAVE YOU) (HAS YOUR HUSBAND) EVER SERVED IN THE
 ARMED FORCES?

.....

736	1. YES (ASK Q.70A)
707	2. NO
44	7. INAP.
1	8. DK
9	9. NA

VAR 0073 REF 0073 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-XR/SPOUSE IN COMBAT MD=GE 7
 LOC 88 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 18

70A. (IF YES TO Q.70) (WERE YOU) (WAS HE) <YOUR HUSBAND>
 EVER IN COMBAT?

.....

305	1. YES
413	2. NO

(CONTINUED)

761 7. INAP., CODED 2, 7-9 IN Q.70 (REF. NO. 72)
 14 8. DK
 4 9. NA

VAR 0074 REF 0074 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-#CHILDREN UNR TWELVE YRS MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 89 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 19

71. OMIT IF SINGLE, NEVER MARRIED.

71A. HOW MANY CHILDREN DO YOU HAVE THAT ARE 12 YEARS OLD OR LESS?

209 1. ONE
 197 2. TWO
 140 3. THREE
 68 4. FOUR
 23 5. FIVE
 15 6. SIX
 10 7. SEVEN OR MORE
 835 9. NA
 0. INAP. OR NONE

VAR 0075 REF 0075 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-#CHILDREN 13-21 YEARS MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 90 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 20

71B. <OMIT IF SINGLE> HOW ABOUT CHILDREN 13-21 YEARS OF AGE?

193 1. ONE
 126 2. TWO
 68 3. THREE
 27 4. FOUR
 10 5. FIVE
 4 6. SIX
 1 7. SEVEN OR MORE
 1 9. NA
 1067 0. INAP. OR NONE

VAR 0076 REF 0076 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-#CHILDREN OVER 21 YEARS MD=0 OR GE 9
 LOC 91 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 21

71C. <OMIT IF SINGLE. HOW MANY CHILDREN DO YOU HAVE THAT
 ARE> OVER 21 YEARS OF AGE?

168	1.	ONE
149	2.	TWO
67	3.	THREE
42	4.	FOUR
30	5.	FIVE
17	6.	SIX
20	7.	SEVEN OR MORE
2	9.	NA
1002	0.	INAP. OR NONE

VAR 0077 REF 0077 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-R'S SEX MD=GE 9
 LOC 92 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 22

C. RESPONDENT'S SEX AND QUOTA QUALIFICATION:

723	1.	MALE (21-29)
		MALE (30 OR OVER)
774	2.	FEMALE (EMPLOYED)
		FEMALE (UNEMPLOYED)
	9.	NA

VAR 0078 REF 0078 DATA SET ID-'7295'
 NAME-R'S RACE MD=GE 9
 LOC 93 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 23

D. RESPONDENT'S RACE

1276	1.	WHITE
213	2.	NEGRO
1	3.	ORIENTAL
6	4.	OTHER

(CONTINUED)

1 9. NA

VAR 0079 REF 0079 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-R'S COOPERATION MD=GE 9
LOC 94 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 24

J. COOPERATIVENESS OF RESPONDENT

1230 1. VERY COOPERATIVE
236 2. SOMEWHAT COOPERATIVE
24 3. NOT COOPERATIVE
7 9. NA

VAR 0080 REF 0080 DATA SET ID-'7295'
NAME-R'S INTEREST MD=GE 9
LOC 95 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 25

K. INTEREST OF RESPONDENT

927 1. VERY INTERESTED
492 2. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
70 3. UNINTERESTED
8 9. NA

QUESTIONNAIRE

VIETNAM SUPPLEMENT

(1-4)

1. I am going to read you a list of problems in the news recently. Please tell me whether each one worries you a great deal, a little, or not at all.

	Worries a great deal	Worries a little	Doesn't worry at all	Don't know	
A. Negro-white relations . .	1	2	3	9	8/0
B. Inflation and the cost of living	4	5	6	9	9/0
C. War in Viet Nam	1	2	3	9	10/0
D. Air and water pollution .	4	5	6	9	11/0
E. Crime and juvenile delinquency	1	2	3	9	12/0

2. In general, do you approve or disapprove the way the Johnson administration is handling the situation in Viet Nam?

Approve 1 13/0
 Disapprove 2
 Don't know 9

3. There has been some criticism lately that the government is not keeping the American people informed about the war in Viet Nam. Do you personally think the government is giving the American people too much information about what is going on, about as much information as it can give, or not enough information about what is going on in Viet Nam?

Too much 1 14/0
 As much as can 2
 Not enough 3
 Don't know 9

4. As far as you know, has the Congress declared war in Viet Nam?

Yes, it has . . . 1 15/0
 No, it hasn't . . . 2
 Don't know . . . 3

5. Do you favor or oppose a full-scale Congressional debate on the Viet Nam war at this time?

Favor . . . 1 16/0
 Oppose . . . 2
 Don't know . 9

6. There is talk these days about a number of different actions we might have to take at home and abroad if we are to continue the fighting in Viet Nam. I'd like to know whether you approve or disapprove of doing the following in order to continue fighting.

	Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	
A. Reducing aid to education	1	2	9	17/0
B. Spending less money for the War on Poverty	3	4	9	18/0
C. Having 200,000 American troops in South Viet Nam	5	6	9	19/0
D. Bombing military targets in North Viet Nam	1	2	9	20/0
E. Putting government controls over wages and prices	3	4	9	21/0
F. Having half a million troops in South Viet Nam	5	6	9	22/0
G. Increasing taxes at home	1	2	9	23/0
H. Calling up the National Guard and Reserves	3	4	9	24/0
I. Bombing the cities of North Viet Nam	5	6	9	25/0
J. Reducing the Medicare program	1	2	9	26/0

7. Would you favor or oppose continuing the fighting in Viet Nam if it meant...

	Favor	Oppose	Don't know	
A. Fighting the Chinese Army in Viet Nam?	1	2	9	27/0
B. Fighting a ground war in China itself?	3	4	9	28/0
C. Fighting an atomic war with China? . .	5	6	9	29/0
D. Fighting an atomic war with Russia? . .	1	2	9	30/0
E. All out mobilization of American men in the armed forces?	3	4	9	31/0

8. A number of different steps have been proposed to end the present fighting in South Viet Nam. Would you approve or disapprove of the following actions to end the fighting?

	Approve	Disapprove	Don't know	
A. Forming a new government in which the Viet Cong took some part .	1	2	9	32/0
B. Holding free elections in South Viet Nam even if the Viet Cong might win	3	4	9	33/0
C. Getting the United Nations or some neutral countries to negotiate a truce, with each side holding the territory it now holds . .	5	6	9	34/0
D. Gradually withdrawing our troops and letting the South Vietnamese work out their own problems . .	1	2	9	35/0

9. Do you think we should limit our fighting in Viet Nam to the defense of the capital and coastal areas, or should we try to defeat the enemy throughout the country?

Limit fighting	1	36/0
Throughout country . .	2	
Don't know	9	

10. If it meant eventual control of South Viet Nam by the Viet Cong, would you approve of ending the fighting now?

Approve 1 37/0
Disapprove 2
Don't know 9

11. Would you approve of ending the fighting in South Viet Nam even if that meant the eventual loss of independence of other nations like Laos and Thailand?

Approve 1 38/0
Disapprove 2
Don't know 9

12. Would you approve of continuing the fighting if it meant several hundred American soldiers would be killed every week?

Approve 1 39/0
Disapprove 2
Don't know 9

13. Would you say that our policy toward Russia has been too tough, just about right, or too soft?

Too tough 1 40/0
Just about right 2
Too soft 3
Don't know 9

14. How about our policy toward Castro in Cuba? Have we been too tough, about right, or too soft?

Too tough 1 41/0
About right 2
Too soft 3
Don't know 9

15. How about Communist China? (Have we been too tough, about right, or too soft?)

Too tough 1 42/0
About right 2
Too soft 3
Don't know 9

16. Would you be in favor of American negotiations with the Viet Cong if they were willing to negotiate?

In favor	1	43/0
Oppose	2	
Don't know	3	

17. If you had to estimate, about how many American troops would you say are currently in South Viet Nam? ENTER NUMBER, THEN CIRCLE APPROPRIATE CODE.

(ENTER NUMBER)

Less than 50,000	1	44/0
50,000 to less than 150,000 . .	2	
150,000 to less than 250,000. .	3	
250,000 to less than 400,000. .	4	
400,000 or over	5	
Don't know	9	

18. What is the capital of South Viet Nam?

Saigon	1	45/0
Other	2	
Don't know	9	

19. What is the capital of North Viet Nam?

Hanoi	1	46/0
Other	2	
Don't know	9	

20. As far as you know, are we currently bombing any targets in North Viet Nam?

Yes	1	47/0
No	2	

21. As you understand it, who are the Viet Cong--the government we are supporting in South Viet Nam, the South Vietnamese communists, North Vietnamese, or who?

Government we are supporting .	1	48/0
South Vietnamese communists . .	2	
North Vietnamese	3	
Other (SPECIFY) _____	4	
Don't know	9	

22. As you understand it, was the current South Vietnamese government freely elected by the people?

Yes 1 49/0
 No 2
 Don't know 9

23. If you had to estimate it, about how many American troops would you say have been killed in Viet Nam so far? ENTER NUMBER, THEN CIRCLE APPROPRIATE CODE.

 (ENTER NUMBER)

Less than 100 1 50/0
 100 to 499 2
 500 to 999 3
 1,000 to 1,499 4
 1,500 to 2,499 5
 2,500 to 4,999 6
 5,000 or more 7
 Don't know 9

24. If President Johnson were to announce tomorrow that we were going to withdraw from Viet Nam and let the Communists take over, would you approve or disapprove?

Approve 1 51/0
 Disapprove 2
 Don't know 9

25. We have one last question about Viet Nam. Suppose you had to choose among continuing the present situation indefinitely, fighting a major war with hundreds of thousands of casualties, or a withdrawal of American troops leading to an eventual Communist takeover. Which would you choose?

Present situation . . (ASK A) . . 1 52/0
 Major war 2
 Withdrawal 3
 Don't know 9

A. IF PRESENT SITUATION: Suppose we couldn't continue the present policy, how would you choose between a major war and a withdrawal of American troops?

Major war 2 53/0
 Withdrawal 3
 Don't know 9

MALES UNDER 40 ONLY:

26. Are you in the National Guard, Armed Forces Reserves, or presently eligible for the draft?

National Guard	1	54/0
Reserves	2	
Eligible for draft	3	
No, not serving or eligible . .	4	

ASK EVERYBODY:

27. Do you have any close friends or relatives in the armed forces?

Yes (ASK A)	1	55/0
No	2	

- A. IF YES: Are they (Is he) in Viet Nam?

Yes	1	56/0
No	2	

28. Have you ever written a letter to a public official or a newspaper or magazine concerning a public issue?

Yes	1	57/0
No	2	

29. In the last Presidential election, did you vote for Johnson or Goldwater, or were you not able to vote?

Johnson	1	58/0
Goldwater	2	
Didn't vote	3	

30. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way the Johnson administration is handling the situation in Viet Nam?

Approve	1	59/0
Disapprove	2	

PLEASE GO BACK TO MAIN QUESTIONNAIRE, Q. 60, AND ASK REMAINING QUESTIONS.
THEN FILL OUT PAGE 8 OF THIS SUPPLEMENT AFTER COMPLETING THE INTERVIEW.

31. Please enter information below after completing the interview.

STATE: _____

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT: _____

32. RESPONDENT'S NAME: _____

SAMPLING UNIT (S.U.) NO:

--	--	--	--

INTERVIEWER'S SIGNATURE: _____

Now just before we finish I have a few background questions about you yourself.

60. What is your marital status?

- Single, never married . 1 11/9
- Married 2
- Divorced 3
- Widowed 4
- Separated 5

61. What is the last grade or year in school . . .

	A. You completed?	B. IF "NEVER MARRIED," CODE 7 WITHOUT ASKING. Your (spouse) com- pleted?
No schooling	0 12/9	0 13/9
Grammar school (1-8 years) . . .	1	1
Some high school (9-11 years) .	2	2
Completed high school (12 years)	3	3
College, incomplete	4	4
College, graduated	5	5
Higher than college	6	6
Don't know	8	8
Never married	-	7

62. What sort of work (does/did) (main earner) usually do?

OCCUPATION: _____ 14-15/99

INDUSTRY: _____

63. Counting rents, interest, and things like that, in which one of the groups on this card (HAND RESPONDENT CARD 15) did your total family income fall, before taxes, last year?

- A. Under \$3,000 1 16/9
- B. \$3,000 - \$4,999 . . . 2
- C. \$5,000 - \$7,499 . . . 3
- D. \$7,500 - \$9,999 . . . 4
- E. \$10,000 - \$14,999 . . 5
- F. \$15,000 - \$24,999 . . 6
- G. \$25,000 and over . . . 7
- Don't know 8

64. Do you own your own home here, or do you rent ?

- Own 1 17/9
- Rent 2
- Other 3

65. Which social class do you believe yourself to be in--the lower class, working class, middle class, or upper class?

Upper	1	18/9
Middle	2	
Working	3	
Lower	4	
There are no classes . . .	5	
Don't know	8	

66. Which political party do you generally support?

Republican	1	19/9
Democratic	2	
Other (SPECIFY) _____	3	
None	4	
Independent	5	
Don't know	8	

67. How old were you on your last birthday?

20-22/999

68. What is your religious preference?

Protestant	1	23/9
Roman Catholic	2	
Jewish	3	
Other (SPECIFY) _____	4	
None	5	
Don't know	8	

69. How strongly do you feel about your religious beliefs? Do you feel very strongly, strongly, moderately, not so strongly, or not strongly at all?

Very strongly	1	24/9
Strongly	2	
Moderately	3	
Not so strongly	4	
Not strongly at all	5	
Don't know	8	

70. (Have you) (Has your husband) ever served in the armed forces?

Yes . . . (ASK A) . . .	1	25/9
No	2	
Don't know	8	

A. IF YES: (Were you) (Was he) ever in combat?

Yes	1	26/9
No	2	
Don't know	8	

71. OMIT IF SINGLE, NEVER MARRIED.

A. How many children do you have that are 12 years old or less?

None	0	27/9
One	1	
Two	2	
Three	3	
Four	4	
Five	5	
Six	6	
Seven or more	7	

B. How about children 13-21 years of age?

None	3	28/9
One	1	
Two	2	
Three	3	
Four	4	
Five	5	
Six	6	
Seven or more	7	

C. Over 21 years of age?

None	0	29/9
One	1	
Two	2	
Three	3	
Four	4	
Five	5	
Six	6	
Seven	7	

CONTINUE ON PAGE 28



72. Finally, may I have your name and telephone number in case my office wants to verify this interview?

NAME: _____

TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____ AREA CODE: _____

Thank you very much for your time and cooperation. (You have been very helpful.)

IMMEDIATELY AFTER LEAVING RESPONDENT, FILL OUT ITEMS A - L.

RESPONDENT'S ADDRESS:

Street or Rural Route

City or Town and State

A. TIME INTERVIEW ENDED: _____ AM
PM

H. TOTAL LENGTH OF INTERVIEW:
_____ Minutes 41-43

B. DATE OF INTERVIEW:
Month (ENTER NO.) 30-31/99
Day 32-33/99
Year '66 34-35/99

I. TYPE OF STRUCTURE:
Single-family house (detached) 1 44/9
Single-family house (attached) 2
Two-dwelling unit house
(detached) 3
Two-dwelling unit house
(attached) 4
Multiple-dwelling unit build-
ing with approximately
_____ DU's 5
Rooming house 6
Other (SPECIFY) _____ 7
Don't know 8

C. RESPONDENT'S SEX AND QUOTA QUALIFI-
CATION:
Male (21-29) . . . 1 36/9
Male (30 or over) . 1
Female (employed) . 2
Female (unemployed) 2

J. COOPERATIVENESS OF RESPONDENT:
Very cooperative . . 1 45/9
Somewhat cooperative 2
Not cooperative . . 3

D. RESPONDENT'S RACE:
White 1 37/9
Negro 2
Oriental 3
Other (SPECIFY) _____ 4

E. PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT (PSU):

K. INTEREST OF RESPONDENT:
Very interested . . 1 46/9
Somewhat interested 2
Uninterested . . . 3

F. P.S.U. NO: 38-40/999

L. INTERVIEWER'S SIGNATURE:

G. SAMPLING UNIT (S.U.) NO: