
Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies
Data Source Book, 1971–1989

Raymond Horstman and Jan W. van Deth

ICPSR 6744

DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDIES
DATA SOURCE BOOK, 1971-1989

(ICPSR 6744)

Principal Investigators

Raymond Horstman
University of Twente

and

Jan W. van Deth
University of Nijmegen

Second ICPSR Version
September 1997

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Horstman, Raymond, and Jan W. van Deth. DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDIES DATA SOURCE BOOK, 1971-1989 [Computer file]. 2nd ICPSR version. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Steinmetz Archive [producer], 1993. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Steinmetz Archive/Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributors], 1997.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Raymond Horstman and Jan W. van Deth

DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDIES DATA SOURCE BOOK,
1971-1989 (ICPSR 6744)

SUMMARY: The main purpose of this data collection was to provide directly accessible information on change and stability of electoral behavior and political orientation in the Netherlands. This dataset is a compilation of common core variables included in the Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies of 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986, and 1989. However, several of the election studies consist of pre-election interviews as well as post-election interviews. Hence, the criteria for selecting the waves and variables were: (1) the waves and variables included in the integrated dataset should be as representative as possible of the Dutch electorate, (2) if a variable was not available in the first wave of a study, it was taken from the second wave or the third wave, (3) all variables included at least twice in the 1981, 1982, 1986, and 1989 studies were included in the integrated dataset, and (4) if necessary, selected variables were recoded and converted to facilitate longitudinal analyses. The major areas of study focus on national problems, political efficacy, perceived stand of the main political parties on important political issues, view of religion in society, satisfaction with government, social participation, voting behavior in recent elections, left-right self-rating, left-right rating of political parties, sense of civic competence, civic political participation, legitimacy of social protest and government reaction, political distrust, and political cynicism. Respondents' views on other salient political and social issues, such as abortion, nuclear energy, differences in income, and nuclear armaments, were also elicited.

UNIVERSE: Members of the Dutch electorate at the time of the 1971-1989 parliamentary elections.

SAMPLING: A sample of 4,000 households was drawn from the Geographic Base Register, which is based to a large extent on the national mail delivery register of the Netherlands. From all eligible citizens within each household, one person was randomly selected by interviewing the person whose birthday was first. No substitution by another person was allowed in the case of refusal, no-contact, or other factors precluding an interview.

NOTE: The codebook and frequencies are provided as a Portable Document Format (PDF) file. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using the Adobe

Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided through the ICPSR Website on the Internet.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 1 data file + machine-readable documentation (PDF) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: DDEF.ICPSR/ REFORM.DATA/ REFORM.DOC/ FREQ.PR

DATA FORMAT: Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements and SPSS export file

File Structure: rectangular

Cases: 13,107

Variables: 271

Record Length: 611

Records Per Case: 1

RELATED PUBLICATIONS:

van Deth, J.W., and A.R. Horstman. DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDIES DATA SOURCE BOOK 1971-1986. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Steinmetz Archive/SWIDOC, 1989.

van der Eijk, C., G.A. Irwin, and B. Niemoller. DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDY, 1986. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Steinmetz Archive, 1988.

Daalder, Hans. "English Language Sources for the Study of Dutch Politics." WEST EUROPEAN POLITICS 12, 1 (1989), 174-177.

DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY
ELECTION STUDIES
DATA SOURCE BOOK 1971-1989

DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY
ELECTION STUDIES
DATA SOURCE BOOK 1971-1989

Raymond Horstman
Jan W. van Deth

Steinmetz Archive Codebook P1110

Steinmetz Archive / SWIDOC
Amsterdam, 1993

CIP-GEGEVENS KONINKLIJKE BIBLIOTHEEK, DEN HAAG

Horstman, Raymond

Dutch parliamentary election studies data source book 1971-1989 /

Raymond Horstman, Jan W. van Deth. -

Amsterdam : Steinmetz Archive - (Steinmetz archive codebooks P1110)

ISBN 90-71684-31-8

Trefw.: kamerverkiezingen ; Nederland ; geschiedenis ; 1971-1989

Acknowledgement of assistance

This source book provides an overview of the common core variables included in the Dutch parliamentary election studies of 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986 and 1989. All manuscripts utilizing the data documented in this source book should identify the original collectors of the data as well as the distributor of the data. Proper ways for these identifications are presented in the codebooks of the distinct parliamentary election studies. See Appendix 3 of the present source book for principal investigators and collectors of the data, study numbers, and additional file information.

The compilation and conversion of the information presented in this volume have been made possible by the Department of Public Administration of the University of Twente, the Netherlands.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about the use of archival resources, and to facilitate the exchange of information about research activities based on the holdings of the Steinmetz Archive, each user is expected to send two copies of each completed manuscript to the Steinmetz Archive.

Neither the original collectors of the data nor the Steinmetz Archive bear any responsibility for the information presented in this volume.

Contents

- Acknowledgement of assistance	v
- Contents	vii
PART I: Information and documentation	1
1. General information and background	3
2. Study description	5
3. Variable description list	11
PART II: Descriptive statistics	19
1. Voting and party identification	19
1.1 Vote intention	21
1.2 Voting behavior	24
1.3 Probability of future vote	43
1.4 Party identification	50
1.5 Party membership	55
1.6 Coalition preference	57
2. Political orientations	61
2.1 Political interest	63
2.2 Left - right placement	66
2.3 Satisfaction with government	75
2.4 Political distrust	77
2.5 Political cynicism	79
2.6 Political efficacy	81

3. Competence and participation	85
3.1 Social participation	87
3.2 Civic competence	92
3.3 Political participation	102
3.4 Legitimacy of social protest	113
4. National problems	117
4.1 Most important problems	119
4.2 Multiresponse of problems	129
4.3 Problems mentioned	131
5. Political issues	133
5.1 Abortion	135
5.2 Nuclear energy	138
5.3 Differences in incomes	141
5.4 Nuclear armaments	147
5.5 Women's emancipation	153
5.6 Euthanasia	155
5.7 Expectation of economic development	158
6. Religion in society	161
6.1 Confessional organizations	163
6.2 Confessional attitudes	167
7. Background data	169
7.1 Demographic background	171
7.2 Profession	179
7.3 Education	194
7.4 Social class	197
7.5 Religion	198
7.6 Income	201
7.7 Miscellaneous	203

PART III: Appendices	205
1. National election statistics	207
2. Notes	211
3. File information	218

PART I

Information and documentation

1. General information and background

Parliamentary elections in the Netherlands take place at irregular intervals. The constitutional term of the Second Chamber is four years, but this part of parliament is dissolved whenever some insurmountable problem or conflict arises between government and parliament. In the last three decades parliamentary elections were held in 1967, 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986 and 1989. In each of these years large scale, nation wide electoral research projects have been realized under the auspices of an inter-university workgroup comprising the political science and political-sociology departments of Dutch universities*. The 1971, 1981, 1982, and 1986 studies were conducted by the University of Amsterdam. In 1972 the Catholic University of Nijmegen was in charge of the study, and in 1977 the University of Leiden. The study of 1989 was conducted for the first time by the Dutch Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS). With the exception of the 1967 study, every data set is extensively documented and archived, and placed at other researches' disposal through the Steinmetz Archive (no restrictions). Due to the problems with the 1967 study, we will use the data from 1971 onwards.

By analyzing the data from the seven available parliamentary election studies, electoral behaviour and political orientations in the Netherlands can be studied in a systematic way from the early 1970s up to the national election of 1989. A large number of publications on Dutch politics rely on these data sets in one way or the other.** The study of longitudinal aspects of electoral behaviour in the Netherlands is facilitated by the application of a panel design in addition to the usual cross-sectional approach. However, no easily accessible information was available for students of political change at the aggregate level. Any analysis or description of shifts among the

* For a concise history of voting research in the Netherlands see C. van der Eijk and B. Niemöller, **Electoral change in the Netherlands. Empirical results and methods of measurement**, Amsterdam: CT-Press, 1983, especially pp. 19-35.

** See Hans Daalder, 'English language sources for the study of Dutch politics', **West European Politics**, 1989, 12/1, especially pp. 174-177.

electorate had to start by looking at seven distinct data files and code books. Mainly due to the ad-hoc basis of the organizational arrangements for the first studies, it even takes some time to locate identical instruments in the code books for the different data sets.

In the Summer of 1988 a research project called **Political change in the Netherlands** began at the Department of Public Administration of the University of Twente (then directed by Jacques J.A. Thomassen & Jan W. van Deth). The main objective of this project is to integrate theoretical approaches and empirical findings on Dutch electoral behaviour and political orientations in the last few decades. The first phase of the project is addressed to the construction of an overview of the main results of the Dutch parliamentary election studies. The first result of the preliminary work performed for the project was the publication of a data source book for the studies of 1971 through 1986.* The present source book is an updated and modified version of that first publication.

The main purpose of the **Dutch parliamentary election studies data source book 1971-1989** is to provide directly accessible information on change and stability of electoral behaviour and political orientations in the Netherlands. Descriptive statistics (distributions, means, and standard deviations), and simple graphs (percentages and means) are presented for almost every variable that has been included in at least two of the election studies in the 1980s. Furthermore, official statistics of national elections in the Netherlands are summarized in Appendix 1. By presenting the results of parliamentary election studies in a handsome format, this publication follows the examples of American and Danish research teams.** It is hoped that the availability of this kind of information will stimulate a more dynamic and cross-cultural approach to the study of electoral behaviour and political orientations.

* J.W.van Deth & A.R.Horstman, **Dutch parliamentary election studies data source book 1971-1986**, Amsterdam: Steinmetz Archive/SWIDOC, 1989. The integrated data set is available from Steinmetz Archive (study number P1110).

** See W.E. Miller, A.H. Miller, and E.J. Schneider, **American National Election Studies Data Source Book, 1952-1978**, Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard U.P., 1980; and O. Borre, H.J. Noelsen, S. Sauerberg, and T. Worre, **Danske valgundersogelser, gentagne sporgsmål 1971-1981**, Odense: Dansk Data Arkiv, 1984 (DDA-0658).

2. Study description

2.1 Selection of variables

This data source book brings together results from the Dutch parliamentary election studies of 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986 and 1989. Details of the distinct data sets are presented in Appendix 3. No a priori theoretical considerations or approaches have been used in selecting the variables to be included in this overview. However, several election studies consist of pre-election interviews as well as post-election interviews. The following decision rules have been applied to select the waves and the variables:

1. the information included in the integrated data set and the source book should be as representative as possible for the Dutch electorate. The waves with the highest number of previously sampled respondents meet this criterion, and so the variables are initially taken from the first wave of each study;
2. in case a variable is not available in the first wave of a study, it is taken from the second wave, and eventually from a third wave;
3. all variables included at least two times in the 1981, 1982, 1986 and 1989 studies, are part of the integrated data set and the source book;
4. if necessary, selected variables have been recoded and converted in order to facilitate longitudinal analyses.

The application of these criteria to the seven parliamentary election studies under consideration resulted in a list of 271 variables and a total of 13107 respondents. An overview of these variables in the seven data sets and the integrated file can be found in the next section. The parliamentary election studies of 1981 and 1982 are used as the starting-point for the construction of the integrated data file.* Question wording and item labels presented in this source book are also taken from those studies.

* See C. van der Eijk, B. Niemöller, and A.Th.J. Eggen, **Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1981**, Amsterdam: Department of Political Science (FSW-A), 1981.

2.2 Sampling and weighting

Information about sampling frames, fieldwork, and response rates of the seven election studies is not included in this volume. The reader is referred to the original code books and study descriptions for this kind of information. No weighting procedures are applied here to restore known population distributions. Relevant variables for weighting are documented in the section on background data of this source book (Part II, Chapter 7).

2.3 Information presented

A frequency table was computed and a graph was drawn for each variable. The table consists of the percentages for each response in every study as well as the distribution of the scores in the integrated data file. Means and standard deviations were computed for variables measured at the ordinal level or higher. Each table contains the variable identification label from the original study in order to facilitate cross-references to the more extensive documentation in the seven distinct code books. Not each and every table in this source book is accompanied by a graph. These illustrations are only included when the visual reproduction of information makes sense. An annotated example of the tables and graphs for a typical variable is presented below.

Not every respondent in the waves selected has answered every question selected. Due to panel attrition, filtering, refusals, and don't knows, a number of respondents must be deleted from the calculations of basic descriptive statistics. The tables in this volume, therefore, include the following three types of relevant numbers of cases:

(N) of cases

This is the total number of respondents approached with a particular question. '(N) of cases' is used as the base for calculating the **percentages** presented. Notice that the relative distributions in this volume usually include the invalid responses (no answer, don't know). Use '(N) of cases' if you want to convert the percentages presented here into some other distribution.

(N) valid cases

While '(N) of cases' refers to the number of respondents giving both valid and invalid answers, the '(N) valid cases' is the number of people giving a valid response to a particular question. So, '(N) valid cases' is the number of cases on which the statistical measures (means and standard deviations) are based.

(N) dropped

This is the number of respondents not approached with the question under consideration (due to panel attrition or to the fact that this question is not included in a study). These cases are, of course, deleted from all computations presented.

Respondents dropped for these reasons are to be discriminated from people providing invalid responses. The sum of '(N) of cases' and '(N) dropped' equals the total number of respondents available in a study.

2.4 Recoding and conversion

To obtain meaningful information on political change and stability, several of the variables selected had to be recoded or converted into the format of the code book of the 1981 election study. No problems arise when recoding is limited to the conversion of one code into another (for instance, the use of code 6 for "don't know" instead of code 8 for the same response in the original study). However, a number of variables present much more complicated problems. Two distinct types are encountered:

1. in some studies scales of different lengths are used for the same variables. For instance, sometimes a four-point scale is used while in another study a five-point scale is preferred. In a case like that, the table contains the original codes of each study, and the graph is limited to the information of studies using identical scales. Obviously, users should be careful when more specific information than a simple trend is required;
2. the coding schemes for several variables appear to be too different to compute some common codes. Especially among background variables such as income and occupation, completely different coding schemes have been used. This results in codes which are virtually incommensurable. In these instances, the

original codes are included in the tables, but the user is urged to consult either the notes presented in Appendix 2 or the original code books of the distinct election studies.

2.5 Annotated example

An example of the information (table plus graph) appearing in this source book for a typical variable is presented on the next pages.

identification and question wording

Topic : Confessional organizations
 Item : Should there be confessional parties?
 Question : Some people think political parties should be completely unrelated to religion. Others think political parties should be based on religion. Examples are CHRISTIAN("in algemeen christelijk verband") parties or separate Roman-Catholic and Protestant parties?
 What is your opinion as regards political parties?

variable names as used in the distinct data sets

year of survey

results for the total period 1971 - 1989

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR515	VAR633	V191	VAR399	VAR1086	VAR359	VAR261	VAR2212
1 UNRELATED	37.9	44.2	51.2	53.0	61.7	57.4	57.6	51.6
2 CHRISTIAN	43.6	41.4	37.0	38.7	28.2	30.4	30.2	35.9
3 SEPARATED	11.0	10.8	9.2	5.2	5.1	7.1	7.0	7.9
6 DK	6.3	2.5	2.5	2.9	4.6	5.1	3.7	4.0
8 NA	1.2	1.1	.1	.1	.3	.1	1.6	.6
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	11087
(N) DROPPED	515	490	0	493	0	274	248	2020
MEAN	1.709	1.654	1.569	1.507	1.405	1.470	1.466	1.542
STD DEV	.666	.672	.659	.598	.591	.631	.630	.643
(N) VALID CASES	1832	999	1808	1757	1465	1286	1427	10574

codes and labels for responses

distributions in percentages


number of respondents approached with this question (N for percentages presented)

Number of respondents not approached with this question

descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation)

number of cases used to compute statistics

topic → **Confessional organizations**
 item → **Should there be confessional parties?**



2.6 Organization of the volume

The order of the questions in the code books and the variables in the data sets is based on tradition and some general ideas about designing interviews. For the integrated data set of the seven files the order of the variables of the 1981 election study is used.

However, this source book tries to present information in a more systematic fashion. Variables dealing with similar aspects of voting behaviour and political orientations are lumped together and placed in a single chapter. Part II of the present volume contains the following chapters:

1. voting and party identification
2. political orientations
3. competence and participation
4. national problems
5. political issues
6. religion in society
7. background data

Part III presents some additional information on election results in the Netherlands, notes to the tables, and file documentation.

3. Variable description list

An overview of the variables selected is presented on the next pages. The studies are identified by their Dutch abbreviations and the year of the studies (for instance NKO-71 for the Parliamentary Election Study of 1971). For each variable the original variable identification is presented. The last column contains the variable identification of the questions in the integrated dataset of the seven studies.

In this source book the variables are presented in the order they appear in the integrated dataset.

variable description	NKO 71	NKO 72	NKO 77	NKO 81	NKO 82	NKO 86	NKO 89	INTEGR. DATASET
studynumber	799	799	599	1	1001	600	1	2001
respondent identification number	2	1	6	2	1002	2	2	2002
type of interview record	3	403	583	3	1003	3	3	2003
1 voting and party identification								
1.1 vote intention								
does respondent intend to vote	251		96	111		52	19	2004
party respondent intends to vote for	252		97	112		53	20	2005
vote intention if obligated to vote			604	113		54	21	2006
1.2 voting behavior								
did <not> vote in recent parl. elect.	762	143	315	512	1045	180	146	2007
party voted for in recent elections	764	150	323	513	1046	181	147	2008
party choice - first reason	766	152	324	514	1047	182	148	2009
party choice - second reason	767	153	325	515	1048	183	149	2010
party choice - when decided	768		326	516	1049	187	157	2011
previous voting behavior of voters	770		327	517	1050	188	158	2012
did hesitate about party choice				518	1051	194	163	2013
party considered as alternative choice				519	1052	195	164	2014
didn't vote - first reason	774	148	317	520	1055	196	165	2015
didn't vote - second reason		149	318	521	1056	197		2016
didn't vote - when decided	775			522	1057	198	166	2017
preferred party of non-voters				523	1058	199	167	2018
previous voting behavior of non-voters			319	524	1059			2019
previously voted for pvda			650	525	1060	624	pvpvda	2020
previously voted for cda				526	1061	625	pvcda	2021
previously voted for kpv			652	527	1062	626	pvkvp	2022
previously voted for arp			653	528	1063	627	pvarp	2023
previously voted for chu			654	529	1064	628	pvchu	2024
previously voted for vvd			655	530	1065	629	pvvvd	2025
previously voted for d66			656	531	1066	630	pvd66	2026
previously voted for ds70			657	532	1067	631	pvds70	2027
previously voted for cpn			658	533	1068	632	pvcpn	2028
previously voted for sgp			659	534	1069	633	pvsqp	2029
previously voted for ppr			660	535	1070	634	pvppr	2030
previously voted for gpv			661	536	1071	635	pvgpv	2031
previously voted for psp			662	537	1072	636	pvpsp	2032
previously voted for bp (rvp)			663	538	1073	637	pvbp	2033
previously voted for rkpn			664	539	1074	638	pvrkpn	2034
previously voted for rpf			660A	1030	1075	638A	pvrpf	2035
previously voted for cp(cd)			661A	1031	1076	638B	pvcp	2036
previously voted for evp			662A	1032	1077	638C	pvevp	2037
previously voted for sp			663A	1033	1078	638D	pvsp	2038
previously voted for nvu			664A	1034	818A	638E	pvnvu	2039
previously voted for other parties			665	540	1079	639	pvother	2040
advised other people how to vote	250	234	337	541				2041
did vote in previous parl. elections	272	154	94	16	1171	203	55	2042
party voted for in these elections	273	155	95	17	1172	204	56	2043
1.3 probability of future vote								
probability of future vote for pvda						315	249	2044
probability of future vote for vvd						316	250	2045
probability of future vote for d66						317	251	2046
probability of future vote for ppr						318	252	2047
probability of future vote for cpn						319	253	2048

variable description	NKO 71	NKO 72	NKO 77	NKO 81	NKO 82	NKO 86	NKO 89	INTEGR. DATASET
probability of future vote for cda						320	254	2049
probability of future vote for sgp						321	256	2050
probability of future vote for psp						322	257	2051
probability of future vote for gpv						323	258	2052
probability of future vote for rpf						324	259	2053
probability of future vote for cp						325	260	2054
probability of future vote for evp						326		2055
probability of future vote for groen links							255	2056
1.4 party identification								
resp is (not) an adherent of a party	226	224	159		1016	19	22	2057
party resp is an adherent of	227	225	160		1017	20	23	2058
resp is (not) convinced adherent	230	226	161		1018	21	24	2059
resp is attracted to	228	227	162		1019	22	25	2060
party resp is attracted to	229	228	163		1020	23	26	2061
typology of party identification	801	801	601	18	800	601	typepi	2062
strength of identification with first party	802	802	602	19	1021	24	27	2063
direction of identification, first party	803	803	603	20	1022	25	28	2064
1.5 party membership								
is respondent member of a party	277	229	164	36	1023	26	29	2065
party respondent is a member of?	278	230	165	37	1024	27	30	2066
has respondent ever been member of a party	279	231	166	38	1025	28	31	2067
1.6 coalition preference								
r prefers d66 in cabinet	186	d66	d66	1006	1080	83	57	2068
r prefers vvd in cabinet	184	vvd	vvd	1007	1081	84	60	2069
r prefers pvda in cabinet	185	pva	pva	1008	1082	85	58	2070
r prefers cda in cabinet	vkcd	cda	cda	1009	1083	86	59	2071
preferred government coalition	830	cov	622	302	1084	87	61	2072
does it matter who are in the coalition	208	222	92	303	1085	88	62	2073
2 political orientations								
2.1 political interest								
reads about national news	82	61	6	6	1006	4	4	2074
talks about national news	89	60	7	7	1007	5	5	2075
reads about foreign news	83	68	8	8	1008	6	6	2076
is interested in politics	88	7	9	9	1009	7	7	2077
political interest score	800	800	600	10	1010	8	8	2078
2.2 left - right placement								
left-right selfrating			186	120	1031	262	206	2079
left-right rating of pvda				555	1032	263	207	2080
left-right rating of vvd				556	1033	264	208	2081
left-right rating of d66				557	1034	265	209	2082
left-right rating of ppr				558	1035	266	210	2083
left-right rating of cpn				559	1036	267	211	2084
left-right rating of cda				560	1037	268	212	2085
left-right rating of ds70				561	1038			2086
left-right rating of sgp				562	1039	269	214	2087
left-right rating of psp				563	1040	270	215	2088
left-right rating of bp (rvp)				564	1041			2089
left-right rating of gpv				565	1042	271	216	2090
left-right rating of rpf					1043	272	217	2091
left-right rating of cp					1044	273	218	2092
left-right rating of evp						274		2093
left-right rating of groen links							213	2094

variable description	NKO 71	NKO 72	NKO 77	NKO 81	NKO 82	NKO 86	NKO 89	INTEGR. DATASET
2.3 satisfaction with government								
effect govt policy on economic situation						29	33	2095
effect govt policy on employment						30	34	2096
effect govt policy on r's finances						31	36	2097
satisfaction with government	388	267	503	405		32	32	2098
2.4 political distrust								
political distrust - first item		242	141	630				2099
political distrust - second item		243	143	631				2100
political distrust - third item			145	632				2101
2.5 political cynicism								
political cynicism - first item			464	633		280	224	2102
political cynicism - second item			465	634		281	225	2103
political cynicism - third item			468	635		282	226	2104
2.6 political efficacy								
political efficacy - first item	134	238	137	115	1026	275	219	2105
political efficacy - second item	135	239	138	116	1027	276	220	2106
political efficacy - third item	136	240	139	117	1028	277	221	2107
political efficacy - fourth item	137	241	140	118	1029	278	222	2108
political efficacy score	806	805	605	119	1030	279	223	2109
3 competence and participation								
3.1 social participation								
participation in unions		81	549	406	1092	300	244	2110
participation in professional organistns			550	407	1093	304	248	2111
participation in neighborhood organistns		82	551	408	1094			2112
participation in woman's organizations		83	552	409	1095			2113
participation in other organizations		84	553	410	1096			2114
3.2 civic competence								
chance of acting against unjust bill	627	669	399	566	1173	284	228	2115
prcvd effect-contact mayor or alderman	650	689	400	567	1174			2116
prcvd effect-contact member of parliament	643	684	401	568	1175			2117
prcvd effect-contact cabinet ministers	641	686	402	569	1176			2118
prcvd effect-contact department official	649	691	403	570	1177			2119
prcvd effect-contact councillor					1178			2120
prcvd effect-join civic action group	644	692	404	571	1179			2121
prcvd effect-sign petition		685	405	572	1180			2122
prcvd effect-join demonstration		693	406	573	1181			2123
prcvd effect-try activate newspaper	646		407	574	1182			2124
prcvd effect-try activate radio or tv	642		408	575	1183			2125
prcvd effect-try activate political party	647	688	409	576	1184			2126
prcvd effect-try activate interest group	648	687	410	577	1185			2127
prcvd effect-lodge a complaint			411	578	1186			2128
prcvd effect-acting with others	645							2129
prcvd effect-try to activate media		690						2130
prcvd effect-other means		694						2131
sense of civic competence score	915	902	685	579	1187			2132
3.3 political participation								
did <not> contact cabinet ministers		696	413	580	1188	285	229	2133
did <not> contact member of parliament		698	414	581	1189	286	230	2134
did <not> sign a petition	652	697	415	582	1190	287	231	2135
did <not> try to activate interest group		699	416	583	1191	288	232	2136

variable description	NKO 71	NKO 72	NKO 77	NKO 81	NKO 82	NKO 86	NKO 89	INTEGR. DATASET
did <not> try to activate radio or tv			417	584	1192	289	233	2137
did <not> try to activate political party	658	701	418	585	1193	290	234	2138
did <not> contact mayor or alderman		700	419	586	1194	291	235	2139
did <not> contact councillor		702	420	587	1195	292	236	2140
did <not> join civic action group	653	705	421	588	1196	293	237	2141
did <not> join demonstration		706	422	589	1197	294	238	2142
did <not> try to activate newspaper			423	590	1198	295	239	2143
did <not> lodge a complaint			424	591	1199	296	240	2144
did <not> contact department official					1200	297	241	2145
did <not> write to queen, prince etc	651	695						2146
did <not> acted with others	654							2147
did <not> contact mayor/alderman/councillor	655							2148
did <not> try to activate media	656	703						2149
did <not> contact municipal officer	657	704						2150
did <not> other activities	659	707						2151
civic participation score	923	905	690	592	1201	298	242	2152
number of campaign activities		918	691	593	1202	299	243	2153
3.4 legitimacy of social protest								
social protest and govt reaction-item 1	661	708	434	622				2154
social protest and govt reaction-item 2	662	709	435	623				2155
social protest and govt reaction-item 3	663	710	436	624				2156
social protest and govt reaction-item 4	664	711	437	625				2157
social protest and govt reaction-item 5		713	438	626				2158
social protest and govt reaction-item 6	666	714	439	627				2159
social protest and govt reaction-item 7				628				2160
legitimacy of social protest score	927	906	696	629				2161
4 national problems								
4.1 most important problems								
most important national problem	50	39	64	11	1011	14	306	2162
second important national problem	51	40	65	12	1012	15	307	2163
third important national problem	52	41	66	13	1013	16	308	2164
fourth important national problem	53	42	67	14	1014	17	309	2165
fifth important national problem	54	43	68	15	1015	18	310	2166
5 political issues								
5.1 abortion								
abortion - perception of cda			104	350	1145	34	37	2167
abortion - perception of pvda			105	351	1146	35	38	2168
abortion - perception of vvd			106	352	1147	36	39	2169
abortion - perception of d66				353	1148	37	40	2170
abortion - respondent's preference			107	354	1149	38	41	2171
5.2 nuclear energy								
nuclear plants -perception of cda			108	355	1150	39	42	2172
nuclear plants -perception of pvda			109	356	1151	40	43	2173
nuclear plants -perception of vvd			110	357	1152	41	44	2174
nuclear plants -perception of d66				358	1153	42	45	2175
nuclear plants -resp's preference			111	359	1154	43	46	2176
closing nuclear plants- yes or no				360	1155	44	47	2177

variable description	NKO	NKO	NKO	NKO	NKO	NKO	NKO	INTEGR.
	71	72	77	81	82	86	89	DATASET
5.3 differences in incomes (stay as they are <> smaller)								
income differences - perception of cda			112	361	1156	45		2178
income differences - perception of pvda			113	362	1157	46		2179
income differences - perception of vvd			114	363	1158	47		2180
income differences - perception of d66				364	1159	48		2181
income differences - resp's preference	94	123	115	365	1160	49		2182
differences in incomes (bigger <> smaller)								
income differences - perception of cda						210	48	2183
income differences - perception of pvda						211	49	2184
income differences - perception of vvd						212	50	2185
income differences - perception of d66						213	51	2186
income differences - resp's preference						214	52	2187
5.4 nuclear armaments								
nuclear weapons - first item				390	1161	221	173	2188
nuclear weapons - second item						222	174	2189
nuclear weapons - third item				391	1162	223	175	2190
nuclear weapons - fourth item				392	1163	224	176	2191
nuclear weapons - fifth item				393	1164	225	177	2192
nuclear weapons - opinion score				394	1165	226	178	2193
nuclear weapons - perception of cda				395	1166	227	179	2194
nuclear weapons - perception of pvda				396	1167	228	180	2195
nuclear weapons - perception of vvd				397	1168	229	181	2196
nuclear weapons - perception of d66				398	1169	230	182	2197
5.5 women's emancipation								
women's emancipation item-1				618	1112			2198
women's emancipation item-2				619	1113			2199
women's emancipation item-3				620	1114			2200
women's emancipation item-4				621	1115			2201
5.6 euthanasia								
euthanasia - perc. of cda						205	168	2202
euthanasia - perc. of pvda						206	169	2203
euthanasia - perc. of vvd						207	170	2204
euthanasia - perc. of d66						208	171	2205
euthanasia - resp. preference						209	172	2206
5.7 expactations of economic development								
expected effect cda-vvd govt on r's finances						232	184	2207
expected effect cda-pvda govt on r's finances						233	185	2208
expectation general prosperity in 4 yrs						234	186	2209
expect effect cda-vvd govt genrl prosp						235	187	2210
expect effect cda-pvda govt genrl prosp						236	188	2211
6 religion in society								
6.1 confessional organization								
should there be confessional parties	515	633	191	399	1086	359	261	2212
should there be confessional unions	516	634	193	400	1087	360	262	2213
should there be confessional schools	517	635	195	401	1088	361	263	2214
should there be confessional radio or tv			196	402	1089	362	264	2215

variable description	NKO 71	NKO 72	NKO 77	NKO 81	NKO 82	NKO 86	NKO 89	INTEGR. DATASET
6.2 confessional attitudes								
is religion a good guide in politics	519	637	197	403	1090	363	265	2216
confessional attitude score	856	857	641	404	1091	364	266	2217
7 background data								
7.1 demographic background								
sex of respondent	374	393	299	127	1265	96	93	2218
age of respondent	807	806	300	128	1266	97	92	2219
number of persons in household	808	807	240	129	1267	98		2220
number of persons >18 in household	809	808	241	130	1268	99		2221
status of respondent in household	810	809	607	131	1269	100		2222
typology of household composition			579	132	1270	101	99	2223
respondent's birthdate - day				133	1271	102		2224
respondent's birthdate - month	297	309		134	1272	103		2225
respondent's birthdate - year	296	308	217	135	1273	104		2226
electoral cohort of respondent	813	812	610	136	1274	105		2227
marital status	298	310		137	1275	106	95	2228
7.2 profession								
respondent is (no) housewife	814	813	612	138	1276	107	96	2229
does housewife also have paying job	815	814	613	139	1277	108		2230
employment status of respondent	321	331	265	140	1278	109	96	2231
profession and function of respondent	322	332	271	141	1279	110	97	2232
branch of industry respondent's job is in	323	333	270	142	1280	111		2233
number of staff respondent employs	326	339	272	143	1281	112		2234
number of hours per week respondent works	328	335	274	144	1282	113		2235
was respondent employed previously				145	1283	114		2236
previous profession and function of resp	330	340	698	146	1284	115	98	2237
does respondent work part-time	334	345	267	147				2238
employment of father in respondent's ad			210	151	1288	119		2239
employer of father of respondent			211	152	1289	120		2240
profession and function of father of resp			214	153	1290	121		2241
branch of industry father's job was in			213	154	1291	122		2242
number of staff father of respondent emp			215	155	1292	123		2243
head of household is (not) respondent	336	351	242	156	1293	124	100	2244
employment status of head of household	337	352	246	157	1294	125		2245
profession and function of head of house	338	353	252	158	1295	126	102	2246
branch of industry head of household works	339	354	251	159	1296	127		2247
number of staff head of hhold employs	342	360	253	160	1297	131		2248
number of hours per week h. of h. works	344	356	255	161				2249
former profession and function h. of h.	346	361	699	162	1298	129	103	2250
does head of household work part-time?		366	259	163				2251
7.3 education								
education of respondent	313	323	263	167	1301	132	94	2252
education of respondent <not> completed			264	168				2253
education of father of respondent		307	209	169	1302	133		2254
recapitulation concerning h. of h.				170	1303	134	100	2255
education of head of household	317	327	243	171	1304	135	101	2256
education of h. of h. <not> completed			245	172				2257
7.4 social class								
social class - self image	357	369	287	173	1305	136	122	2258

variable description	NKO 71	NKO 72	NKO 77	NKO 81	NKO 82	NKO 86	NKO 89	INTEGR. DATASET
7.5 religion								
religion	362	384	290	174	1306	138	108	2259
dutch reformed denomination of resp		386	292	175	1307	139	110	2260
calvinist denomination r belongs to			geref..	176	1308	140	111	2261
attendance of religious services	364	387	293	177	1309	141	112	2262
religion respondent is brought up in	366	389	295	178	1310	142	113	2263
7.6 income								
income of respondent's household	372	373	282	179	1311	154	123	2264
health insurance - head of household				180	1312	157	125	2265
is respondent housowner - occupier	359	377	282	181	1313	158	126	2266
7.7 miscellaneous								
degree of urbanization	384	399	313	187	1319	173	105	2267
size of municipality				188	1320	174		2268
province	383	398	314	189	1321	175		2269
region	829	831	312	190	1322	176	106	2270
identification number of municipality	382	397	305	191	1324	177		2271

PART II

Chapter 1

Voting and party identification


Topic : Vote intention
 Item : Does respondent intend to vote
 Question : As you probably know, elections for the Second Chamber will be held
 this year. Do you intend to vote or not, or don't you know yet?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR251		V96	VAR111		VAR052	VAR019	VAR2004
1 INTENDS TO VOTE	84.6		88.6	87.2		90.5	88.0	87.5
2 DOESN'T INTEND	6.8		4.5	4.7		4.7	4.8	5.2
6 DK YET	8.2		6.8	8.1		4.5	7.2	7.2
8 NA	.4		.1			.3		.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	0	1856	2305	0	1478	1754	9888
(N) DROPPED	0	1526	0	0	1541	152	0	3219


Topic : Vote intention
 Item : Party respondent intends to vote for
 Question : Which party do you intend to vote for?
 Note : Only asked if var2004, code 1. See note 5.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR252		V97	VAR112		VAR053	VAR020	VAR2005
1 PVDA	18.0		28.3	19.5		33.7	24.5	23.8
2 CDA			24.4	22.5		21.6	25.3	17.5
3 KVP	16.3							4.1
4 ARP	5.7							1.4
5 CHU	4.3							1.1
6 VVD	6.9		12.0	9.8		13.3	9.7	10.0
7 D66	4.8		3.3	8.7		3.9	5.6	5.4
8 CPN	1.5		1.2	1.0		.7	.2	1.0
9 PPR	.7		2.0	1.0		.9	.2	1.0
10 PSP	1.1		.6	1.6		1.5	.2	1.0
11 DS70	3.4		.8	.3				1.1
12 SGP	1.4		1.2	1.4		1.3	1.7	1.4
13 GPV	.7		.9	.9		.7	1.1	.9
14 BP(RVP)	.7		.6	.1				.3
15 RKPN			.2	.0				.1
16 RPF						.8	1.0	.3
17 EVP						.1	.1	.0
19 CP(CD)				.0		.1	.2	.1
20 SP							.3	.1
21 GROEN LINKS							4.6	.8
60 OTHER PARTIES	1.2		.6	.4		.3		.6
90 INVALID				.0				.0
91 BLANK				.0			.7	.1
92 REFUSED TO ANSWER				1.4		.9		.5
96 DK YET	13.3		10.6	18.4		10.6	11.6	13.3
98 NA	4.6		1.9			.2	.9	1.7
99 INAP	15.4		11.4	12.8		9.5	12.0	12.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	0	1856	2305	0	1478	1754	9888
(N) DROPPED	0	1526	0	0	1541	152	0	3219

Vote intention
Does respondent intend to vote



Vote intention
Party respondent intends to vote for



Topic : Vote intention
 Item : Vote intention if obligated to vote
 Question : Suppose you were obligated to vote. which party would you vote for?
 Note : Only asked if var2004, code 2,6,8

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V604	VAR113		VAR054	VAR021	VAR2006
1 PVDA			2.5	2.8		2.8	3.5	2.9
2 CDA			1.7	2.1		1.3	2.2	1.9
6 VVD			.8	.4		.7	.7	.6
7 D66			.4	1.2		.5	.7	.8
8 CPN			.1	.1		.1	.1	.1
9 PPR			.2	.0		.1	.1	.1
10 PSP			.1	.2		.1		.1
11 DS70				.1				.0
12 SGP			.1	.1		.1	.1	.1
13 GPV						.1		.0
14 BP(RVP)			.2	.1				.1
15 RKPN			.1					.0
16 RPF							.2	.0
19 CP(CD)						.1	.1	.0
21 GROEN LINKS							.7	.2
60 OTHER PARTIES			.2	.1		.1	.1	.1
90 INVALID				.7		.3	.5	.4
91 BLANK				1.3		.5	1.4	.9
92 REFUSED TO ANSWER				.2		.3		.1
95 WOULD NOT VOTE				.1		.1		.1
96 DK			4.2	3.1		1.9	1.4	2.7
98 NA			1.0	.0		.3	.1	.3
99 INAP			88.6	87.2		90.5	88.0	88.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	0	1478	1754	7393
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	1541	152	0	5714

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies 1971 - 1989

Chapter 1


Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Did (not) vote in recent parliamentary elections
 Question : Did you vote in the elections for the Second Chamber, or didn't you?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR762	VAR143	V315	VAR512	VAR1045	VAR180	VAR146	VAR2007
1 YES	86.1	89.4	91.1	93.5	89.0	93.1	92.6	90.5
2 NO	13.0	10.6	8.9	6.5	11.0	6.7	7.4	9.3
3 REFUSE TO ANSWER				.1				.0
6 DK	.1					.1		.0
8 NA	.8							.1
(N) OF CASES	1980	1526	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	10963
(N) DROPPED	515	0	422	685	0	274	248	2144


Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Party voted for in recent elections
 Question : Which party did you vote for?
 Note : Only asked if var2007, code 1. See note 5.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR764	VAR150	V323	VAR513	VAR1046	VAR181	VAR147	VAR2008
1 PVDA	20.0	22.1	31.6	23.5	27.6	32.4	29.8	26.3
2 CDA			30.8	31.0	23.2	29.7	30.1	19.7
3 KVP	18.8	13.7						5.3
4 ARP	7.0	8.5						2.4
5 CHU	4.9	4.8						1.6
6 VVD	7.8	13.4	13.0	14.4	21.5	15.5	12.7	13.8
7 D66	7.1	3.4	5.8	12.3	4.6	6.4	9.6	7.1
8 CPN	2.0	2.2	.8	1.7	1.2	.6		1.3
9 PPR	1.5	4.9	1.7	2.0	1.8	1.5	.1	1.9
10 PSP	1.2	1.0	.6	2.5	2.5	1.5	.1	1.4
11 DS70	5.5	3.0	.6	.6	.5			1.6
12 SGP	1.5	2.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.6	1.6
13 GPV	1.0	1.2	.7	.9	.5	1.0	1.3	.9
14 BP(RVP)	.7	1.4	.4	.1	.1			.4
15 RKPN		.9	.1	.1				.1
16 RPF				.7	.8	.8	1.1	.5
17 EVP				.5	.6	.5		.2
19 CP(CD)				.1	.3	.2	.3	.1
20 SP				.2	.1		.3	.1
21 GROEN LINKS							4.6	.6
60 OTHER PARTIES	1.6	.9	.8	.2	.1	1.1	.4	.7
90 INVALID			.3	.1	.1			.1
91 BLANK	.1		.1		.1			.0
92 REFUSED TO ANSWER				1.1	2.0	.6		.5
96 DK	.2	.3	1.0	.1				.2
98 NA	5.3	5.2	1.5		.1		.6	2.0
99 INAP	13.9	10.6	8.9	6.5	11.0	6.9	7.4	9.5
(N) OF CASES	1980	1526	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	10964
(N) DROPPED	515	0	422	685	0	273	248	2143

Voting behavior
Did vote in recent parliamentary elects



Voting behavior
Party voted for in recent elections



Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies 1971 - 1989

Chapter 1

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Party choice - first reason
 Question : Why did you vote for this party?
 Note : Only asked if var2008, codes 1 thru 60; see note 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR766	VAR152	V324	VAR514	VAR1047	VAR182	VAR148	VAR2009
1	4.0	6.9	4.7	6.2	6.9	3.9	2.1	5.4
2		.9	.7	.6	.8	.5	.1	.5
3			.4	.2	1.0	.4	.1	.3
4	.4	.2	.2		.5		.1	.2
5			.1	.2				.0
6			.1	.3	.1		.1	.1
7				.2	.1	.1	.1	.1
8		.5	.3	.9	1.0	.5	.6	.5
9				.1				.0
10	4.3	2.9	1.3	3.8	1.2	2.7	1.8	2.8
11				.4	.4	3.5	3.6	.6
12	.4	.3	.9	5.5	.5	5.2	6.4	2.0
13	.3	.9	.5	1.4	.6	2.7	3.3	1.0
14	1.3			.1			.3	.3
15	.1			.3	.1	.1	2.2	.1
16				.2	.1	1.2		.2
17							.1	.0
18	12.0	13.6	12.8	9.9	7.3	9.4	11.8	10.9
19	2.1	1.8	1.7	2.2	1.9	1.5	3.5	1.9
20	.4	1.2	3.0	1.4	1.0	2.1	1.9	1.4
21		.5	.7	.5	1.2	.9		.6
22	.4	.6	3.0	.9	1.2	3.0	2.3	1.4
23	.1	.6	2.7	.2	.4	1.9	1.3	.9
24		.4	.3	.6	.8	.4	.2	.4
25	.3	.1	.5	.9	.3	.2	.5	.4
26				.1				.0
27	.7							.1
28	.9	.8	.1	.1	.2	.4	.1	.4
29	.8	1.3	.9	.2	.1	.7	.7	.7
30	4.3	10.2	17.4	2.9	6.5	2.3	1.7	7.1
31	23.5	15.4	15.8	25.5	23.0	12.9	6.4	19.8
32	.2	.1	1.2	2.6	2.2	3.6	5.0	1.5
33	6.8	8.6	8.2	8.0	6.8	9.8	16.7	8.0
34			.1	.4	.1	.1	.3	.1
35					.3	.2	.3	.1
36	.3	.2			.1	.1		.1
37	.4	.9		2.0	5.5	2.1	.1	1.7
38	1.2	.8		.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	.9
39					.3			.1
40			.1	.1	.8	.2	1.5	.2
41			.2	1.2	.3	.9		.4
42			.2	.2	.2	.3	2.5	.1
43				.1	.1		.1	.0
44				.2	1.0	.4	.5	.2
45				.2	.1			.0
46	.2	.4	.6	.3	.1	2.1	1.7	.6
47	7.8	6.0	6.4	6.4	5.2	7.9	6.9	6.7
48	.8	1.2	.7	1.5	1.4	1.0	1.3	1.1
49	.3	.2	.3	.5	.7	.4	1.1	.4
50					.1			.0
51					.1	.1		.0
52					.3	1.2		.2
91 UNCODABLE	3.2	2.8	1.7	1.4	2.0	2.1	.1	2.2
96 DK	1.0	.7	1.0	.7	.3	.6	.7	.7
98 NA	2.4	3.0	1.0	.3	.3	1.4	1.6	1.4
99 INAP	19.4	16.1	10.3	7.8	13.2	7.5	7.4	12.8
(N) OF CASES	1980	1526	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	10963
(N) DROPPED	515	0	422	685	0	273	248	2144

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies 1971 - 1989

Chapter 1

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Party choice - second reason
 Question : Why did you vote for this party?
 Note : Only asked if var2008, codes 1 thru 60; see note 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR767	VAR153	V325	VAR515	VAR1048	VAR183	VAR149	VAR2010
1	.1	.3	1.3	.3	.8	.6	1.4	.5
2		.1	.1	.1	.1	.4	.2	.1
3			.1	.1	.4	.1	.2	.1
4		.1	.2		.1		.1	.1
5			.1					.0
6						.1	.1	.0
7				.1	.2	.1	.1	.1
8			.2	.1	.3	.4	.9	.1
9		.1						.0
10		.1	.3	.2	.1	.6	1.1	.2
11				.1	.1	1.7	2.7	.3
12	.1		.3	.6	.2	1.4	2.3	.4
13			.4	.3	.1	.7	1.0	.2
14	.2				.1		.6	.1
15				.1		.1	1.7	.0
16				.1	.1	.9		.1
17					.1		.2	.0
18	.6	.5	.9	.6	1.0	1.8	4.2	.9
19	.3	.3	.2	.2	.3	.2	1.9	.3
20		.3	.6	.3	.3	.3	1.8	.3
21			.1	.1	.2	.1		.1
22	.1	.2	1.0	.5	.4	1.8	2.3	.6
23			1.0	.2	.3	.9	1.1	.4
24			.2	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1
25			.3	.3		.3	.3	.1
26				.1				.0
27	.1							.0
28			.1	.1	.3	.2	.3	.1
29		.3	.2	.1	.1	.9	.6	.2
30	.1	.2	1.7	.5	1.0	.7	1.3	.7
31	1.3	1.0	2.8	3.2	3.3	8.0	11.0	3.1
32				.2	.3	1.4	2.2	.3
33	.6	.5	2.2	2.9	1.6	2.3	7.9	1.6
34			.2	.4	.1		1.3	.1
35				.1			.1	.0
36	.1		.1	.1	.2		.1	.1
37	.1	.1		.7	3.1	1.3	.2	.8
38	.1	.1		.4	.9	.9	1.9	.4
39				.2	.1			.0
40				.1	.6	.3	2.4	.2
41			.1	.5	.5	.7		.3
42			.1	.2	.5	.3	2.2	.2
43				.1	.2			.0
44				.6	1.6	.5	.9	.4
45				.3		.1		.1
46		.1	.6	.1	.1	1.8	3.0	.4
47	.4	.4	.7	1.0	1.5	2.6	2.1	1.0
48	.1		.2	.1	.3	.2	.4	.1
49		.1	.1	.1	.1	.2	.9	.1
51					.1			.0
52					.5	.7		.2
91 UNCODABLE	.2	.1	.4	.1	.7	2.0	.1	.5
96 DK						.7		.1
99 INAP	96.0	95.3	83.0	83.5	77.2	61.7	37.0	83.8
(N) OF CASES	1980	1526	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	10963
(N) DROPPED	515	0	422	685	0	273	248	2144

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Party choice - when decided
 Question : When did you decided to vote for this party? was that the last days before the elections, the last weeks before the elections, a few months before, or had you made up your mind much earlier about how to vote?
 Note : Only asked if var2008, codes 1 thru 60

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR768		V326	VAR516	VAR1049	VAR187	VAR157	VAR2011
1 LAST DAYS	8.1		7.9	14.1	10.8	11.3	16.1	11.3
2 LAST WEEKS	9.6		10.6	11.4	8.1	8.7	10.2	9.8
3 LAST FEW MONTHS	7.1		7.5	7.4	7.8	9.3	8.0	7.8
4 MUCH EARLIER	54.6		63.0	59.0	59.4	63.6	57.6	59.2
6 DK	.8		.3	.4	.6	.1	.1	.4
8 NA	.4		.3			.1		.2
9 INAP	19.4		10.3	7.8	13.2	6.9	8.0	11.4
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	9438
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	422	685	0	273	248	3669
MEAN	3.362		3.410	3.211	3.346	3.348	3.164	3.305
STD DEV	1.043		1.008	1.156	1.080	1.069	1.189	1.097
(N) VALID CASES	1573	0	1278	1488	1327	1260	1385	8311

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previous voting behavior of voters
 Question : Have you always voted for this party or have you voted for another party at times?
 Note : Only asked if var2008, codes 1 thru 60

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR770		V327	VAR517	VAR1050	VAR188	VAR158	VAR2012
1 SOMETIMES OTHER	17.1		38.8	41.6	43.0	44.3	41.9	36.7
2 ALWAYS THIS PARTY	54.3		46.2	44.8	42.2	46.1	48.1	47.3
3 NOT ENTITLED TO	7.8			4.3	.8	1.8	1.9	3.1
6 DK	1.1			1.5	.7	.4	.1	.7
8 NA	.4		4.7	.1		.5		.9
9 INAP	19.4		10.3	7.7	13.2	6.9	8.0	11.4
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	9438
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	422	685	0	273	248	3669

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies 1971 - 1989

Chapter 1

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Did hesitate about party choice
 Question : Have you seriously considered to vote for another party, or not to vote at all?
 Note : Only asked if var2007, code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR518	VAR1051	VAR194	VAR163	VAR2013
1 CONSIDRD OTHER PARTY				16.7	22.3	20.3	23.2	20.0
2 CONSIDRD NOT TO VOTE				2.1	4.0			2.8
3 NOT CONSIDERED				22.5	60.2	72.3	69.4	54.4
6 DK				.2	.2	.1	.1	.1
8 NA				.1	.1	.4		.1
9 INAP				58.4	13.2	6.9	7.4	22.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1357	1506	6024
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	273	248	7083

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Party considered as alternative choice
 Question : Which party did you consider voting for?
 Note : Only asked if var2013, code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR519	VAR1052	VAR195	VAR164	VAR2014
1 PVDA				2.2	4.1	4.4	3.7	3.6
2 CDA				2.8	4.2	5.7	4.6	4.3
6 VVD				2.8	5.5	1.3	2.5	3.1
7 D66				4.6	2.2	4.6	6.2	4.4
8 CPN				.7	.6	.8		.5
9 PPR				.5	1.2	.6	.1	.6
10 PSP				1.0	1.8	.8	.1	.9
11 DS70				.3	.2			.1
12 SGP				.1		.1	.3	.1
13 GPV				.2	.1	.3	.4	.2
14 BP(RVP)				.1				.0
16 RPF				.3	.7	.3	.1	.4
17 EVP				.2	.3	.4	.1	.2
19 CP(CD)				.2	.2	.1	.1	.1
20 SP				.1	.3		.3	.2
21 GROEN LINKS							3.8	.9
60 OTHER PARTIES				.3	.1	.2	.3	.2
92 REFUSE TO ANSWE				.1	.1	.1		.1
96 DK				.1	.4	.3	.4	.3
98 NA				.1	.3	.6	.4	.3
99 INAP				83.3	77.7	79.4	76.8	79.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1357	1506	6024
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	273	248	7083

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Didn't vote - first reason
 Question : Why didn't you vote?
 Note : Only asked if var2007, code 2 ; see note 2

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR774	VAR148	V317	VAR520	VAR1055	VAR196	VAR165	VAR2015
1	1.7	2.1	1.3	.7	1.2	.4	.5	1.3
2	3.7	2.0	2.4	1.5	2.7	2.1	2.3	2.4
3	2.7	2.1	1.7	1.3	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.9
4	.2	.2		.4	.3	.4	.2	.2
5	.2		.4	.2	.3	.1	.3	.2
6	1.9	2.3	1.3	.7	2.1	.5	.5	1.5
7	.5	.4		.1		.1		.2
8	.7	.3	.6	1.0	1.8	.6	1.3	.8
9	.1	.5	.5	.3	.6	.5	.9	.4
10						.1		.0
11						.1		.0
91 UNCODABLE	.3	.3	.2	.2	.1	.5	.1	.2
96 DK		.1	.1		.1		.2	.0
98 NA		.5	.3		.1		.1	.1
99 INAP	88.1	89.4	91.1	93.5	89.0	93.4	92.6	90.6
(N) OF CASES	1980	1526	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	10963
(N) DROPPED	515	0	422	685	0	273	248	2144

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Didn't vote - second reason
 Question : Why didn't you vote?
 Note : Only asked if var2007, code 2 ; see note 2

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR149	V318	VAR521	VAR1056	VAR197			VAR2016
1					.1			.0
2		.1	.1		.3	.1		.1
3		.1	.6	.3	.3	.5		.4
6		.1	.4	.1	.4	.3		.3
7				.1		.1		.0
8		.1	.3		.1	.4		.2
9		.1			.1			.0
98 NA						5.2		.9
99 INAP		99.5	98.6	99.5	98.6	93.4		98.0
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1434	1620	1541	1357	0	7478
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	422	685	0	273	1754	5629

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Didn't vote - when decided
 Question : At what time did it become evident you wouldn't vote? was that the last days before the elections , the last weeks before the elections , a few months before, or did you know much earlier that you would not vote?
 Note : Only asked if var2007, code 2

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR775			VAR522	VAR1057	VAR198	VAR166	VAR2017
1 LAST DAYS	4.0			2.2	3.6	1.9	3.5	3.1
2 LAST WEEKS	1.5			.8	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.2
3 LAST MONTHS	.7				.8	.4	.5	.5
4 MUCH EARLIER	3.9			3.3	4.5	2.4	2.0	3.3
6 DK	1.1			.1	.6	.7	.2	.6
8 NA	1.8			.1	.2	.2		.5
9 INAP	87.0			93.5	89.0	93.3	92.6	90.8
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	0	1620	1541	1357	1506	8004
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	1856	685	0	273	248	5103
MEAN	2.438			2.686	2.605	2.582	2.148	2.487
STD DEV	1.348			1.407	1.358	1.326	1.288	1.355
(N) VALID CASES	201	0	0	102	157	79	108	647

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Preferred party of non-voter
 Question : Suppose you would have turned out to vote, which party would you have voted for?
 Note : Only asked if var2007, code 2 or 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR523	VAR1058	VAR199	VAR167	VAR2018
1 PVDA				1.0	3.8	1.7	2.9	2.3
2 CDA				1.2	1.5	1.6	2.1	1.6
6 VVD				.7	1.6	.6	.6	.9
7 D66				1.2	.8	.6	.4	.7
8 CPN				.2	.1		.1	.1
9 PPR				.1	.1		.1	.1
10 PSP				.1	.3	.1		.1
11 DS70					.1			.0
12 SGP					.1	.1	.1	.0
13 GPV				.1		.1		.0
14 BP(RVP)					.1			.0
16 RPF						.1		.0
17 EVP					.1		.1	.0
18 NVU				.1				.0
19 CP (CD)					.1	.1	.1	.0
20 SP					.1			.0
21 GROEN LINKS							.2	.0
60 OTHER PARTIES						.1	.2	.1
90 INVALID				.1	.2			.1
91 BLANK				.4	.4			.2
92 REFUSE TO ANSWE					.1	.3	.1	.1
96 DK				1.4	1.7	1.4	.7	1.1
98 NA						.1	.2	.0
99 INAP				93.5	89.0	93.3	92.6	92.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1357	1506	6024
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	273	248	7083

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previous voting behavior of non-voter
 Question : Did you ever vote at one of the previous elections for the Second Chamber?
 Note : Only asked if var2007, code 2 or 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				V319	VAR524	VAR1059		
1 YES			4.1	4.4	8.2			5.6
2 NO			4.5	2.0	2.7			3.0
3 NOT ENTITLED TO				.1	.1			.0
6 DK			.3					.1
8 NA					.1			.0
9 INAP			91.1	93.5	89.0			91.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	0	4595
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	1754	8512

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for PVDA
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V650	VAR525	VAR1060	VAR624	PVPVDA	VAR2020
1 MENTIONED			8.6	15.9	13.8	11.3	10.3	12.1
2 NOT MENTIONED			34.2	30.1	36.5	33.0	37.8	34.3
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for CDA
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR526	VAR1061	VAR625	PVCDA	VAR2021
1 MENTIONED				8.1	13.0	8.0	9.7	9.7
2 NOT MENTIONED				37.9	37.3	36.3	38.4	37.5
9 INAP				54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	52.8
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1357	1506	6024
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	273	248	7083

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for KVP
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V652	VAR527	VAR1062		PVKVP	VAR2022
1 MENTIONED			12.4	4.6	2.1		1.9	5.1
2 NOT MENTIONED			30.5	41.4	48.2		46.2	41.7
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6		51.9	53.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	1506	6101
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	248	7006

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for ARP
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V653	VAR528	VAR1063		PVARP	VAR2023
1 MENTIONED			4.5	1.9	1.4		.9	2.1
2 NOT MENTIONED			38.4	44.1	48.9		47.2	44.8
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6		51.9	53.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	1506	6101
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	248	7006

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for CHU
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V654	VAR529	VAR1064		PVCHU	VAR2024
1 MENTIONED			3.7	2.0	1.4		1.0	2.0
2 NOT MENTIONED			39.2	44.0	49.0		47.1	44.9
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6		51.9	53.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	1506	6101
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	248	7006

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for VVD
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V655	VAR530	VAR1065	VAR629	PVVVD	VAR2025
1 MENTIONED			3.5	6.5	6.3	8.8	11.2	7.2
2 NOT MENTIONED			39.4	39.4	44.1	35.5	36.9	39.2
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for D66
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V656	VAR531	VAR1066	VAR630	PVD66	VAR2026
1 MENTIONED			3.3	5.4	11.5	7.9	10.2	7.7
2 NOT MENTIONED			39.5	40.6	38.9	36.4	37.9	38.7
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for DS70
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V657	VAR532	VAR1067		PVDS70	VAR2027
1 MENTIONED			2.1	1.6	1.5		.3	1.4
2 NOT MENTIONED			40.8	44.4	48.9		47.7	45.5
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6		51.9	53.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	1506	6101
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	248	7006

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for CPN
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V658	VAR533	VAR1068	VAR632	PVCPN	VAR2028
1 MENTIONED			1.5	1.7	1.9	2.6	1.2	1.8
2 NOT MENTIONED			41.4	44.3	48.5	41.7	46.9	44.7
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for SGP
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V659	VAR534	VAR1069	VAR633	PVSGP	VAR2029
1 MENTIONED			.4	.4	.4	.7	.9	.6
2 NOT MENTIONED			42.5	45.6	50.0	43.6	47.2	45.8
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for PPR
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V660	VAR535	VAR1070	VAR634	PVPPR	VAR2030
1 MENTIONED			3.0	2.8	3.6	2.9	3.1	3.1
2 NOT MENTIONED			39.9	43.1	46.7	41.4	45.0	43.3
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for GPV
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V661	VAR536	VAR1071	VAR635	PVGPV	VAR2031
1 MENTIONED			.7	.6	.6	.3	.4	.5
2 NOT MENTIONED			42.2	45.4	49.7	44.0	47.7	45.9
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for PSP
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V662	VAR537	VAR1072	VAR636	PVPSP	VAR2032
1 MENTIONED			1.9	1.8	3.8	2.9	3.5	2.8
2 NOT MENTIONED			41.0	44.2	46.6	41.3	44.6	43.6
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for BP(RVP)
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V663	VAR538	VAR1073		PVBP	VAR2033
1 MENTIONED			1.5	1.5	1.3		.5	1.2
2 NOT MENTIONED			41.4	44.5	49.1		47.5	45.7
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6		51.9	53.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	1506	6101
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	248	7006

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for RKPN
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V664	VAR539	VAR1074		PVRKPN	VAR2034
1 MENTIONED				.1	.1			.0
2 NOT MENTIONED			42.9	45.9	50.3		48.1	46.8
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6		51.9	53.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	1506	6101
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	248	7006

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for RPF
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1075	VAR638A	PVRPF	VAR2035
1 MENTIONED					.3	.7	.8	.6
2 NOT MENTIONED					50.1	43.6	47.3	47.1
9 INAP					49.6	55.7	51.9	52.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	1357	1506	4404
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	273	248	8703

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for CP(CD)
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1076	VAR638B	PVCP	VAR2036
1 MENTIONED						.1	.1	.0
2 NOT MENTIONED					50.4	44.2	48.0	47.7
9 INAP					49.6	55.7	51.9	52.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	1357	1506	4404
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	273	248	8703

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for EVP
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1077	VAR638C	PVEVP	VAR2037
1 MENTIONED					.1	.4	.3	.3
2 NOT MENTIONED					50.2	43.8	47.8	47.4
9 INAP					49.6	55.7	51.9	52.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	1357	1506	4404
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	273	248	8703

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for SP
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1078	VAR638D	PVSP	VAR2038
1 MENTIONED					.2		.3	.2
2 NOT MENTIONED					50.2		47.8	49.0
9 INAP					49.6		51.9	50.8
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	0	1506	3047
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	1630	248	10060

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for NVU
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR818A	VAR638E	PVNVU	VAR2039
1 MENTIONED					.2			.2
2 NOT MENTIONED					50.2		48.1	49.0
9 INAP					49.6		51.9	50.8
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	0	1506	3047
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	1630	248	10060

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Previously voted for other parties
 Question : For which other party or parties?
 Note : See note 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V665	VAR540	VAR1079	VAR639	PVOTHER	VAR2040
1 MENTIONED			.8	1.2	.9	4.5	0.9	1.6
2 NOT MENTIONED			42.1	44.8	49.4	39.8	47.2	44.8
9 INAP			57.1	54.0	49.6	55.7	51.9	53.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1357	1506	7458
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	273	248	5649

Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Advised other people how to vote
 Question : Have you ever during the campaign advised other people as to whether
 to vote or how to vote?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR250	VAR234	VAR337	VAR541				VAR2041
1 YES	17.9	16.0	14.5	21.6				17.7
2 NO	79.4	83.4	84.3	78.1				80.9
6 DK	1.2	.4	.2	.2				.6
8 NA	1.4	.3	1.0	.1				.8
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1434	1620	0	0	0	7075
(N) DROPPED	0	0	422	685	1541	1630	1754	6032

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies 1971 - 1989

Chapter 1


Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Did vote in previous parliamentary election
 Question : Did you vote in the previous election for the Second Chamber?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR272	VAR154	V94	VAR016	VAR1171	VAR203	VAR055	VAR2042
1 YES	80.0	78.9	81.2	82.7	86.8	86.8	86.4	82.9
2 NO	11.5	11.7	9.6	12.0	10.5	7.7	8.8	10.4
3 NOT YET ENTTLD	8.1	9.1	8.2	4.1	2.0	4.4	4.3	5.9
6 DK	.2	.2	.9	1.2	.6	1.0	.5	.7
8 NA	.2	.1	.2			.1		.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1754	12833
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	274	0	274


Topic : Voting behavior
 Item : Party voted for
 Question : For which party did you vote at the previous election?
 Note : Only asked if var2042, code 1. See note 5.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR273	VAR155	V95	VAR017	VAR1172	VAR204	VAR056	VAR2043
1 PVDA	19.7	18.0	28.2	25.5	23.4	30.7	28.2	24.5
2 CDA				25.6	25.2	22.8	27.5	13.8
3 KVP	19.9	15.9	14.3	.4				7.9
4 ARP	6.8	8.5	5.7	.4				3.2
5 CHU	5.3	4.8	4.1	.4				2.3
6 VVD	7.5	9.0	11.1	11.9	15.8	18.0	13.4	11.9
7 D66	5.1	4.7	1.9	7.4	10.5	5.2	6.0	5.8
8 CPN	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.3
9 PPR		1.2	2.5	1.3	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.2
10 PSP	1.0	.9	1.2	1.6	2.9	1.9	1.4	1.5
11 DS70		3.1	1.3	.3	.3			.6
12 SGP	1.2	2.2	1.4	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.8	1.5
13 GPV	.5	1.2	1.2	.8	.5	.7	1.2	.9
14 BP(RVP)	1.0	.9	.7	.1	.1		.1	.4
15 RKPN		.1	.1		.1			.0
16 RPF					.8	1.0	1.1	.3
17 EVP					.5	.5	.1	.1
18 NVU					.1			.0
19 CP(CD)						.1	.1	.0
20 SP					.1		.5	.1
60 OTHER PARTIES	1.0	.7	.1	.1	.1	.1	.4	.4
90 INVALID			.1	.1				.0
91 BLANK		.1	.2	.0				.0
92 REFUSE TO ANSWE				2.0	1.9	.6		.7
96 DK	3.6	1.4	3.9	2.2	1.0	1.3	1.5	2.3
98 NA	6.2	4.7	1.7			.2	.9	2.1
99 INAP	20.0	21.1	18.8	17.3	13.2	13.2	13.6	17.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1754	12833
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	274	0	274

Voting behavior
Did vote in previous election



Voting behavior
Party voted for in previous election



Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for PVDA
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR315	VAR249	VAR2044
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						29.9	24.2	26.9
2						6.6	6.5	6.5
3						6.8	7.3	7.1
4						2.7	5.9	4.4
5						4.1	6.2	5.2
6						3.7	4.1	3.9
7						4.1	5.6	4.9
8						7.2	9.8	8.6
9						6.6	6.4	6.5
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						26.4	23.2	24.7
96 DK						1.9	.5	1.2
98 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						5.370	5.500	5.439
STD DEV						3.787	3.555	3.666
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1330	1497	2827

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for VVD
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR316	VAR250	VAR2045
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						38.6	34.6	36.5
2						9.4	9.3	9.4
3						8.4	9.6	9.0
4						3.7	6.8	5.3
5						5.6	6.9	6.3
6						6.3	6.1	6.2
7						4.4	6.0	5.3
8						5.2	5.9	5.6
9						4.4	5.4	4.9
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						11.7	8.8	10.2
96 DK						2.4	.5	1.4
98 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						4.012	4.084	4.050
STD DEV						3.323	3.152	3.233
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1324	1498	2822

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for D66
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR317	VAR251	VAR2046
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						23.5	18.5	20.9
2						6.0	5.2	5.6
3						6.3	7.7	7.1
4						6.5	6.6	6.5
5						11.4	11.8	11.6
6						10.5	10.8	10.7
7						10.3	11.7	11.0
8						9.4	12.0	10.7
9						6.5	8.2	7.4
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						4.9	6.6	5.8
96 DK						4.7	.9	2.7
98 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						4.773	5.244	5.026
STD DEV						2.933	2.906	2.927
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1292	1491	2783

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for PPR
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR318	VAR252	VAR2047
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						47.4	44.8	46.0
2						9.4	13.4	11.5
3						7.9	9.2	8.6
4						5.3	4.9	5.1
5						5.1	4.8	5.0
6						4.7	4.4	4.6
7						3.9	3.9	3.9
8						4.6	4.2	4.4
9						3.2	2.5	2.8
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						2.3	2.0	2.1
96 DK						6.2	5.7	5.9
98 NA							.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.988	2.901	2.942
STD DEV						2.674	2.548	2.608
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1272	1418	2690

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for CPN
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR319	VAR253	VAR2048
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						65.4	68.3	66.9
2						8.3	10.0	9.2
3						4.1	4.4	4.2
4						2.8	3.4	3.1
5						2.5	3.2	2.9
6						3.1	2.1	2.6
7						2.3	2.0	2.1
8						2.6	1.3	1.9
9						1.7	1.1	1.4
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						2.6	1.2	1.9
96 DK						4.6	3.1	3.8
98 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.248	1.954	2.092
STD DEV						2.392	1.965	2.181
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1293	1459	2752

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for CDA
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR320	VAR254	VAR2049
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						24.5	22.0	23.2
2						5.4	5.4	5.4
3						4.9	5.5	5.2
4						3.5	4.6	4.1
5						6.0	7.8	7.0
6						5.9	7.0	6.5
7						6.9	7.5	7.2
8						9.5	9.5	9.5
9						11.2	11.1	11.1
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						19.8	19.2	19.5
96 DK						2.2	.3	1.2
98 NA						.1	.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						5.665	5.712	5.690
STD DEV						3.529	3.409	3.465
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1324	1500	2824

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for SGP
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR321	VAR256	VAR2050
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						64.6	59.2	61.7
2						8.4	12.6	10.6
3						5.5	6.6	6.1
4						3.2	3.3	3.2
5						2.9	3.3	3.1
6						1.5	1.8	1.6
7						1.1	1.5	1.3
8						1.2	1.6	1.4
9						.7	1.1	.9
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						2.4	2.1	2.2
96 DK						8.6	6.8	7.7
98 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						1.979	2.138	2.063
STD DEV						2.058	2.120	2.092
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1239	1403	2642

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for PSP
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR322	VAR257	VAR2051
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						56.0	51.3	53.5
2						9.7	13.8	11.8
3						5.6	8.6	7.2
4						4.3	4.8	4.5
5						3.4	4.1	3.7
6						2.7	2.7	2.7
7						3.5	2.6	3.0
8						2.9	2.8	2.8
9						2.4	2.5	2.4
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						2.9	1.7	2.3
96 DK						6.6	5.2	5.9
98 NA							.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.585	2.529	2.555
STD DEV						2.578	2.354	2.461
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1266	1426	2692

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for GPV
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR323	VAR258	VAR2052
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						66.3	59.4	62.7
2						8.6	12.7	10.8
3						5.4	6.4	5.9
4						2.9	3.1	3.0
5						2.2	3.1	2.7
6						1.6	2.1	1.9
7						.7	1.6	1.2
8						1.0	1.3	1.2
9						1.1	2.3	1.7
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						1.9	1.7	1.8
96 DK						8.1	6.2	7.1
98 NA						.1	.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						1.901	2.169	2.043
STD DEV						1.983	2.175	2.091
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1245	1410	2655

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for RPF
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR324	VAR259	VAR2053
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						67.3	60.0	63.5
2						9.0	13.9	11.6
3						5.3	5.7	5.5
4						2.1	2.7	2.4
5						2.0	2.5	2.2
6						1.5	2.0	1.8
7						.5	.9	.7
8						.7	1.8	1.3
9						.8	1.2	1.0
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						1.7	1.6	1.6
96 DK						9.1	7.7	8.4
98 NA							.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						1.781	2.032	1.914
STD DEV						1.830	2.027	1.941
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1233	1388	2621

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for CP(CD)
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR325	VAR260	VAR2054
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						91.3	88.8	90.0
2						1.3	3.3	2.4
3						.6	1.0	.8
4						.3	1.2	.8
5						.6	1.0	.8
6						.1	.6	.3
7						.1	.2	.1
8						.1	.3	.2
9						.1	.4	.3
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						1.0	1.3	1.1
96 DK						4.4	1.3	2.8
98 NA						.1	.7	.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						1.177	1.341	1.265
STD DEV						1.064	1.375	1.242
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1294	1477	2771

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for EVP
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR326		VAR2055
1 CERTAINLY NEVER						66.1		66.1
2						10.3		10.3
3						5.7		5.7
4						2.9		2.9
5						3.1		3.1
6						1.8		1.8
7						.9		.9
8						.9		.9
9						.7		.7
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY						.9		.9
96 DK						6.9		6.9
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	0	1356
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	1754	11751
MEAN						1.821		1.821
STD DEV						1.734		1.734
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1263	0	1263

Topic : Probability of future vote
 Item : Probability of future vote for Groen Links
 Question : Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party and that it is unthinkable that they will ever vote for another party. Others reconsider in each case to which party they will give their vote. I shall mention a list of parties. Would you indicate for each of them how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? If you do not know a party or you yourself do not know, just say so and we shall go to the next party.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE							VAR255	VAR2056
1 CERTAINLY NEVER							39.6	39.6
2							11.2	11.2
3							9.1	9.1
4							6.0	6.0
5							6.8	6.8
6							6.6	6.6
7							5.0	5.0
8							6.4	6.4
9							3.8	3.8
10 SOME TIME CERTAINLY							3.9	3.9
96 DK							1.7	1.7
98 NA							.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	0	1506	1506
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	248	11601
MEAN							3.514	3.514
STD DEV							2.855	2.855
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	0	1479	1479

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Respondent is (not) an adherent of a party
 Question : Many people think of themselves as being adherents of a particular political party, but there are many other people who do not regard themselves as such. How about you, do you regard yourself as an adherent of a political party, or don't you?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR226	VAR224	V159		VAR1016	VAR019	VAR022	VAR2057
1 ADHERENT	37.1	37.5	35.7		47.5	40.5	30.7	37.9
2 NOT ADHERENT	61.4	61.1	63.1		51.8	58.9	68.9	61.1
6 DK	.9	.5	1.2		.7	.6	.3	.7
8 NA	.6	.9					.1	.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	0	1541	1630	1754	10802
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	2305	0	0	0	2305

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Party respondent is an adherent of
 Question : Wich party are you an adherent of?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2057 eq code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR227	VAR225	V160		VAR1017	VAR020	VAR023	VAR2058
1 PVDA	10.3	10.6	14.2		14.9	16.5	9.5	12.5
2 CDA			8.0		14.1	10.9	11.1	6.9
3 KVP	9.6	6.5	1.7					3.4
4 ARP	4.7	5.6	1.4					2.1
5 CHU	2.6	2.5	1.0					1.1
6 VVD	3.5	5.2	4.1		11.4	7.9	4.3	5.8
7 D66	1.8	.7	.9		1.4	.8	1.2	1.2
8 CPN	.7	.3	.5		.6	.5	.2	.5
9 PPR	.4	1.5	.8		.8	.2	.3	.6
10 PSP	.6	.5	.4		1.3	.7	.4	.6
11 DS70	.5	.6	.1					.2
12 SGP	.8	1.3	.9		.9	1.0	1.2	1.0
13 GPV	.6	.9	.6		.4	.6	.9	.7
14 BP(RVP)	.2	.1	.2					.1
15 RKPN		.3	.1					.1
16 RPF					.6	.3	.6	.2
17 EVP					.2	.1	.1	.0
19 CP(CD)					.1	.2		.0
20 SP					.1		.1	.0
21 GROEN LINKS							.4	.1
60 OTHER PARTY	.4	.1	.4			.4	.1	.2
92 REFUSE TO ANSWE					.5	.2		.1
96 DK			.1		.1	.1	.2	.1
98 NA	.5	.7	.2				.2	.3
99 INAP	62.9	62.5	64.3		52.5	59.5	69.3	62.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	0	1541	1630	1754	10802
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	2305	0	0	0	2305

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Respondent is (not) convinced adherent
 Question : Would you call yourself a convinced adherent of that party, or do you consider yourself not to be a convinced adherent?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2057, code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR230	VAR226	V161		VAR1018	VAR021	VAR024	VAR2059
1 CONVINCED ADHRENT	18.4	24.4	22.6		28.2	22.9	19.5	22.2
2 NOT CONVINCED ADH	16.0	13.1	12.1		17.8	16.0	11.1	14.4
6 DK	.6				.9	.8	.1	.4
8 NA	1.7		1.0		.1	.4		.6
9 INAP	63.4	62.5	64.3		53.1	59.8	69.3	62.4
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	0	1541	1630	1754	10802
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	2305	0	0	0	2305

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Respondent is (not) attracted to
 Question : Is there any party to which you feel more attracted than to other parties,
 or not?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2057, code 2, 6 or 8

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR228	VAR227	V162		VAR1019	VAR022	VAR025	VAR2060
1 YES	35.8	37.7	41.1		32.1	39.8	41.8	38.0
2 NO	24.5	23.4	22.0		19.5	17.4	27.2	22.6
6 DK	1.5				.6	1.8	.3	.8
8 NA	1.1				.3	.6	.1	.4
9 INAP	37.1	38.9	36.9		47.5	40.5	30.7	38.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	0	1541	1630	1754	10802
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	2305	0	0	0	2305

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Party respondent is attracted to
 Question : Which party is it that you feel attracted to?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2060, code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR229	VAR228	V163		VAR1020	VAR023	VAR026	VAR2061
1 PVDA	10.1	9.0	14.4		10.3	15.4	13.8	12.1
2 CDA			8.7		7.1	10.9	10.9	5.9
3 KVP	6.9	5.6	1.8					2.7
4 ARP	1.4	2.4	.4					.7
5 CHU	2.3	1.8	.6					.9
6 VVD	4.4	6.8	7.6		7.4	6.2	6.4	6.3
7 D66	4.1	1.4	2.4		2.8	2.4	4.5	3.0
8 CPN	.7	1.2	.6		.3	.3	.6	.6
9 PPR	.5	2.8	1.5		1.0	.7	.6	1.1
10 PSP	.9	.7	.6		1.6	1.7	.9	1.0
11 DS70	2.3	1.5	.4		.1		.2	.9
12 SGP	.5	.8	.5		.3	.4	.5	.5
13 GPV	.1	.3	.3		.3	.1	.1	.2
14 BP(RVP)	.5	.8	.3		.1			.3
15 RKPN		.3						.0
16 RPF					.2	.5	.6	.2
17 EVP					.2	.1	.1	.1
19 CP(CD)					.1	.1	.2	.0
20 SP					.1		.1	.0
21 GROEN LINKS							1.4	.2
60 OTHER PARTY	.6	.5	.5		.2	.5	.2	.5
92 REFUSE TO ANSWE					.2	.2		.1
96 DK	.2	.2	.3		.1	.3	.2	.2
98 NA	.5	1.7	.2			.1	.7	.5
99 INAP	64.2	62.3	58.9		67.9	60.2	58.2	62.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	0	1541	1630	1754	10802
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	2305	0	0	0	2305

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Typology of party identification
 Note : See note 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR801	VAR801	V601	VAR018	VAR800	VAR601	TYPEPI	VAR2062
1 ADHERENT TO A PA	36.6	36.8	35.5	33.6	46.9	40.2	30.7	36.8
2 ATTRACTED TO A P	35.1	35.8	40.6	30.7	31.9	39.1	41.8	36.1
3 NOT ATTRACTED	24.5	23.4	22.0	31.0	19.5	17.4	27.2	24.0
6 NA1	2.6			3.3	.9	2.4	.1	1.5
7 NA2	.5	.7	.3	.6	.6	.3	.1	.5
8 NA3	.7	1.9	.5	.9	.3	.6	.2	.8
99 INAP		1.4	1.2					.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Strength of identification
 Note : See note 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR802	VAR802	V602	VAR019	VAR1021	VAR024	VAR027	VAR2063
0 NO IDENTIFICATIO	24.5	23.4	22.0	31.0	19.5	17.4	27.2	24.0
1 DK WHETHER ATTRA	2.6			3.3	.9	2.4	.3	1.5
2 ATTRACTED,NO ADH	34.7	35.8	40.6	30.0	31.3	38.6	40.6	35.7
3 ATTRACTED,DK ADH	.4			.7	.5	.6	.3	.4
4 ADHERENT,NOT CON	16.0	13.1	12.1	14.0	17.8	16.0	10.8	14.3
5 ADHERENT,DK CONV	2.3		1.0	.7	1.0	1.2	.1	1.0
6 ADHERENT, CONVIN	18.4	24.4	22.6	18.8	28.2	22.9	19.4	21.6
8 NA1	.5			.6	.6	.3	.3	.3
9 NA2	.7	1.9	.5	.9	.3	.6	.9	.8
99 INAP		1.4	1.2					.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	2.619	2.796	2.751	2.417	3.128	2.918	2.463	2.699
STD DEV	2.115	2.217	2.146	2.196	2.209	2.087	2.117	2.165
(N) VALID CASES	2466	1475	1824	2271	1528	1615	1744	12923

Topic : Party identification
 Item : Direction of identification
 Note : See note 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR803	VAR803	V603	VAR020	VAR1022	VAR025	VAR028	VAR2064
1 PVDA	20.3	19.7	28.6	18.1	25.2	31.9	23.3	23.4
2 CDA			16.7	19.4	21.2	21.8	22.1	13.9
3 KVP	16.5	12.1	3.6	.7				5.2
4 ARP	6.1	8.0	1.8	.5				2.4
5 CHU	4.9	4.3	1.7	.3				1.7
6 VVD	7.9	12.0	11.7	8.6	18.8	14.0	10.7	11.5
7 D66	5.9	2.1	3.2	9.0	4.2	3.2	5.7	5.1
8 CPN	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.0	1.0	.8	.8	1.1
9 PPR	.9	4.3	2.3	1.0	1.8	.9	.9	1.6
10 PSP	1.4	1.2	1.0	2.3	2.9	2.5	1.3	1.8
11 DS70	2.8	2.1	.5	.3	.1			.9
12 SGP	1.2	2.1	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.5
13 GPV	.7	1.2	.9	1.0	.6	.7	1.0	.9
14 BP (RVP)	.7	.9	.5	.1	.1			.3
15 RKPN		.6	.1					.1
16 RPF				.0	.8	.8	1.1	.4
17 EVP				.0	.4	.1	.2	.1
18 NVU				.0				.0
19 CP (CD)					.1	.2	.2	.0
20 SP					.3		.1	.0
21 GROEN LINKS							1.8	.2
60 OTHER PARTIES	1.0	.6	1.0	.3	.2	.9	.2	.7
99 INAP	28.3	27.5	24.0	35.7	21.2	20.7	27.5	27.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Party membership
 Item : Is respondent member of a party
 Question : Are you a member of a political party?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR277	VAR229	V164	VAR036	VAR1023	VAR026	VAR029	VAR2065
1 MEMBER	11.7	9.0	8.4	8.6	7.5	7.9	7.6	8.8
2 NO MEMBER	88.0	90.6	91.5	90.8	92.3	92.1	92.2	90.9
6 DK		.1	.2	.2	.2	.1	.1	.1
8 NA	.4	.3		.4			.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Party membership
 Item : Party respondent is a member of
 Question : Which party are you a member of?
 Note : Only asked if var2065, code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR278	VAR230	V165	VAR037	VAR1024	VAR027	VAR030	VAR2066
1 PVDA	2.0	1.1	2.3	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.8
2 CDA			1.1	3.1	2.3	1.2	2.3	1.4
3 KVP	3.5	1.2	.7					.9
4 ARP	2.5	2.4	1.0					.9
5 CHU	1.0	1.0	.3					.3
6 VVD	.9	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.9	1.1	1.2	1.2
7 D66	.4	.1	.1	.3	.3	.2	.1	.2
8 CPN	.1	.1	.2	.2	.2	.1	.2	.2
9 PPR	.1	.7	.3	.3	.3	.1	.1	.3
10 PSP	.2		.1	.4	.3	.2	.2	.2
11 DS70		.1		.0				.0
12 SGP	.4	.1	.4	.4	.3	.4	.6	.4
13 GPV	.4	.6	.2	.4	.1	.3	.5	.3
14 BP(RVP)	.0							.0
15 RKP					.1			.0
16 RPF					.2	.2	.2	.1
17 EVP						.1		.0
20 SP				.0			.2	.0
60 OTHER PARTIES	.2	.5	.4	.1		.3	.1	.2
92 REFUSE TO ANSWE				.0				.0
98 NA		.2		.1		1.9	.2	.3
99 INAP	88.3	91.0	91.6	91.4	92.5	92.1	92.4	91.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Party membership
 Item : Has respondent ever been member of a party
 Question : Have you ever been a member of a political party or not?
 Note : Only asked if var2065, code 2, 6 or 8

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR279	VAR231	V166	VAR038	VAR1025	VAR028	VAR031	VAR2067
1 YES	8.3	7.1	7.1	6.1	6.7	7.1	7.9	7.2
2 NO	79.1	80.5	83.5	84.7	85.6	84.2	84.4	83.0
6 DK		.1	.3	.2	.1		.1	.1
8 NA	.9	2.8	.8	.3	.1	.9		.8
9 INAP	11.7	9.4	8.4	8.6	7.5	7.9	7.6	8.9
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Coalition preference
 Item : Respondent prefers D66 in cabinet
 Question : We have just had elections, and a cabinet has to be formed. We present you here a sheet with the names of the four largest parties (PVDA, CDA, VVD and D66). Which combinations of two or more of these parties would you prefer to form a government? Would you please indicate this by drawing a circle around the parties which you prefer?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR186	VKD66	VKD66	VAR1006	VAR1080	VAR083	VAR057	VAR2068
0 NOT MENTIONED	47.9	58.3	50.6	26.9	54.0	60.6	56.6	50.0
1 MENTIONED	36.0	33.2	42.7	60.0	42.2	38.0	38.4	41.5
8 NA	16.2	8.5	6.7	13.1	3.8	1.4	5.0	8.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	12462
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	493	0	152	0	645

Topic : Coalition preference
 Item : Respondent prefers VVD in cabinet
 Question : We have just had elections, and a cabinet has to be formed. We present you here a sheet with the names of the four largest parties (PVDA, CDA, VVD and D66). Which combinations of two or more of these parties would you prefer to form a government? Would you please indicate this by drawing a circle around the parties which you prefer?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR184	VKVVD	VKVVD	VAR1007	VAR1081	VAR084	VAR060	VAR2069
0 NOT MENTIONED	51.0	45.2	52.5	46.7	33.9	50.5	53.0	48.0
1 MENTIONED	32.8	46.3	40.8	40.2	62.3	48.0	42.1	43.5
8 NA	16.2	8.5	6.7	13.1	3.8	1.4	5.0	8.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	12462
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	493	0	152	0	645

Topic : Coalition preference
 Item : Respondent prefers PVDA in cabinet
 Question : We have just had elections, and a cabinet has to be formed. We present you here a sheet with the names of the four largest parties (PVDA, CDA, VVD and D66). Which combinations of two or more of these parties would you prefer to form a government? Would you please indicate this by drawing a circle around the parties which you prefer?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR185	VKPVDA	VKPVDA	VAR1008	VAR1082	VAR085	VAR058	VAR2070
0 NOT MENTIONED	26.6	32.3	23.2	32.7	44.0	40.5	35.9	32.8
1 MENTIONED	57.3	59.2	70.0	54.2	52.2	58.1	59.2	58.7
8 NA	16.2	8.5	6.7	13.1	3.8	1.4	5.0	8.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	12462
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	493	0	152	0	645

Topic : Coalition preference
 Item : Respondent prefers CDA in cabinet
 Question : We have just had elections, and a cabinet has to be formed. We present you here a sheet with the names of the four largest parties (PVDA, CDA, VVD and D66). Which combinations of two or more of these parties would you prefer to form a government? Would you please indicate this by drawing a circle around the parties which you prefer?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VKCDA	VKCDA	VKCDA	VAR1009	VAR1083	VAR086	VAR059	VAR2071
0 NOT MENTIONED	21.0	17.7	27.9	18.0	23.2	30.0	29.0	23.6
1 MENTIONED	62.9	73.8	65.4	68.9	72.9	68.5	66.0	67.8
8 NA	16.2	8.5	6.7	13.1	3.8	1.4	5.0	8.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	12462
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	493	0	152	0	645

Topic : Coalition preference
 Item : Preferred government coalition
 Question : After the elections, a new cabinet has to be formed. Please tell us which of the combinations of political parties listed on this card would you prefer to form a new cabinet.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR830	VAR219	V622	VAR302	VAR1084	VAR087	VAR061	VAR2072
1 CDA-VVD	28.7	19.9	59.8	17.5	31.0	31.1	26.8	30.9
2 PVDA-CDA	24.2	11.8	28.3	9.3	12.4	21.7	22.7	19.2
3 CDA-VVD-D66			.9	12.3	11.6	4.6	3.1	3.9
4 PVDA-CDA-D66			1.0	16.6	10.7	4.7	7.2	4.4
5 PVDA-D66	12.8	7.9	1.5	18.0	9.9	20.5	18.4	12.6
6 CDA-D66	2.2	14.2		2.8	.8	2.8	4.6	4.7
7 CDA-PVDA-VVD-D66				10.3	3.0	1.2	.5	2.0
8 VVD-D66	.5	2.6			.6	1.4	1.4	1.3
9 VVD-PVDA	.8	1.5			7.1	5.0	6.0	2.7
10 VVD-CDA-PVDA		12.8			3.5	2.0	1.1	2.4
11 VVD-D66-PVDA		8.2			5.5	2.6	3.2	2.5
98 NA	30.8	21.1	8.6	13.1	3.8	2.3	5.0	13.4
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	12462
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	493	0	152	0	645

pic : Coalition preference
 Item : Does it matter who are in the coalition
 Question : Personally, how much does it matter to you which parties form the new cabinet? Does it matter much, a little, or not at all?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR208	VAR222	V92	VAR303	VAR1085	VAR088	VAR062	VAR2073
1 MUCH	26.5	63.0	62.7	56.4	72.5	71.7	66.8	57.4
2 LITTLE	40.9	20.9	21.8	25.7	17.8	19.0	24.3	25.6
3 NOT AT ALL	22.8	11.1	11.4	13.7	7.5	7.8	8.4	12.7
6 DK	6.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	2.1	1.4	.4	3.3
8 NA	3.0	1.4	.5	.6	.2		.1	1.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	12462
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	493	0	152	0	645
MEAN	1.959	1.455	1.465	1.554	1.335	1.352	1.413	1.532
STD DEV	.739	.695	.698	.730	.613	.623	.641	.717
(N) VALID CASES	2252	1450	1780	1735	1506	1457	1746	11929

PART II

Chapter 2

Political orientations

Topic : Political interest
 Item : Reads about national news?
 Question : First I would like to ask you some questions about the news in the newspapers. Can you indicate on this card how often you read the news in your paper, e.g. about governmental problems?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR082	VAR061	V6	VAR006	VAR1006	VAR004	VAR004	VAR2074
1 <NEARLY>ALWAYS	33.8	24.7	19.4	27.3	31.0	32.5	30.7	28.7
2 OFTEN	23.1	24.2	20.0	21.3	19.1	17.7	18.4	20.7
3 NOW AND THEN	24.5	27.6	31.7	30.8	29.7	27.7	30.7	28.8
4 SELDOM OR NEVER	17.6	21.0	22.0	15.3	16.3	14.8	14.8	17.3
5 DOESN'T READ PAP			6.6	5.2	3.9	7.2	5.5	3.9
8 DK	.6	.5	.2	.1	.1	.1		.2
9 NA	.5	2.0	.1					.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	2.260	2.461	2.762	2.496	2.430	2.463	2.460	2.468
STD DEV	1.110	1.090	1.186	1.189	1.194	1.279	1.219	1.187
(N) VALID CASES	2469	1488	1851	2303	1540	1628	1754	13033

Topic : Political interest
 Item : Talks about national problems?
 Question : If such problems are being discussed, do you usually join in the conversation, do you listen with interest, don't you listen or aren't you interested?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR089	VAR060	V7	VAR007	VAR1007	VAR005	VAR005	VAR2075
1 JOINS CONVERSATI	35.3	46.1	39.7	48.1	53.7	50.0	49.8	45.4
2 LISTNS WITH INTE	42.0	40.2	38.7	36.9	34.4	36.7	38.6	38.4
3 DOESN'T LISTEN	20.7	11.6	20.0	13.7	11.4	12.6	11.5	15.0
8 DK	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	.5	.6	.1	.8
9 NA	.9	1.0	.5	.3		.1		.4
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	1.851	1.647	1.800	1.651	1.574	1.624	1.616	1.692
STD DEV	.741	.682	.753	.711	.688	.700	.683	.719
(N) VALID CASES	2446	1494	1827	2275	1533	1619	1752	12946

Topic : Political interest
 Item : Reads about foreign news?
 Question : Can you indicate on this card how often you read the international news in your paper, e.g. about tensions or negotiations between countries?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR083	VAR068	V8	VAR008	VAR1008	VAR006	VAR006	VAR2076
1 <NEARLY>ALWAYS	22.2	18.9	11.7	22.5	19.9	23.1	23.4	20.4
2 OFTEN	23.4	24.0	19.0	24.1	24.1	22.1	21.6	22.7
3 NOW AND THEN	29.4	32.0	34.3	30.3	32.1	30.4	32.0	31.4
4 SELDOM OR NEVER	23.7	23.7	27.3	18.0	19.3	17.2	17.2	21.0
5 DOESN'T READ PAP			6.6	4.9	4.3	6.9	5.8	3.9
8 DK	.8	.5	.3	.2	.2			.3
9 NA	.5	.7	.8	.0	.1	.2	.1	.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	2.553	2.613	2.979	2.586	2.640	2.625	2.604	2.652
STD DEV	1.085	1.050	1.100	1.160	1.131	1.207	1.182	1.139
(N) VALID CASES	2463	1507	1836	2300	1537	1627	1753	13023


Topic : Political interest
 Item : Is interested in politics?
 Question : Are you very interested in political subjects, fairly interested or not interested?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR088	VAR007	V9	VAR009	VAR1009	VAR007	VAR007	VAR2077
1 VERY INTERESTED	10.1	11.0	10.9	13.5	15.9	16.0	14.1	12.9
2 FAIRLY INTERESTE	42.2	44.6	49.4	54.4	58.4	56.4	63.3	52.1
3 NOT INTERESTED	46.0	43.3	39.2	31.6	25.6	27.2	22.6	34.3
8 DK	.7	.4	.2	.4	.1	.2		.3
9 NA	1.0	.7	.3	.0		.1		.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	2.365	2.326	2.284	2.182	2.097	2.113	2.086	2.216
STD DEV	.661	.665	.651	.649	.637	.649	.600	.655
(N) VALID CASES	2453	1509	1847	2295	1540	1624	1754	13022

Topic : Political interest
 Item : Political interest scale
 Remark : Constructed from VAR2074 to VAR2077, see note 21


	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR800	VAR800	V600	VAR010	VAR1010	VAR008	VAR008	VAR2078
0 <LOW>	17.2	12.1	20.1	12.7	10.3	11.0	9.3	13.6
1	31.9	41.0	43.8	37.4	38.3	36.4	40.1	38.0
2	24.9	23.6	18.9	23.2	23.2	23.6	22.6	22.9
3	19.0	16.4	11.1	18.5	18.4	19.0	19.2	17.4
4 <HIGH>	7.1	6.9	6.2	8.3	9.7	10.0	8.8	8.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	1.669	1.650	1.395	1.725	1.790	1.804	1.781	1.684
STD DEV	1.170	1.101	1.111	1.150	1.151	1.165	1.126	1.149
(N) VALID CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Political interest
Political interest scale



Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right self-rating
 Question : Political opinions and convictions are often classified as "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this showcard you see a scale which runs from left to right. Consider your own opinions and convictions, where would you place yourself, which position of the scale would apply to you?


	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V186	VAR120	VAR1031	VAR262	VAR206	VAR2079
1 LEFT			7.1	3.3	4.6	5.3	5.1	5.0
2			4.6	3.9	5.4	6.3	5.1	4.9
3			10.6	10.2	11.0	12.4	13.5	11.4
4			7.4	10.0	9.3	9.3	11.9	9.5
5			10.3	15.9	15.1	11.9	15.3	13.8
6			9.4	12.8	10.3	13.9	12.0	11.6
7			7.0	8.3	12.1	12.8	13.0	10.2
8			9.9	9.4	12.3	13.7	12.4	11.2
9			4.9	3.8	4.6	4.4	3.3	4.2
10 RIGHT			10.7	7.6	5.4	4.9	5.6	7.1
96 DK			17.1	14.5	9.9	4.9	2.7	10.6
98 NA			.9	.3	.1	.1	.1	.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1356	1506	8564
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	274	248	4543
MEAN			5.674	5.652	5.563	5.509	5.426	5.573
STD DEV			2.796	2.386	2.415	2.425	2.366	2.483
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1523	1964	1388	1287	1463	7625



Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of PVDA
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the PVDA is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR555	VAR1032	VAR263	VAR207	VAR2080
1 LEFT				20.1	18.7	26.9	15.7	20.2
2				18.8	14.9	19.2	15.9	17.2
3				25.9	28.5	25.8	33.2	28.4
4				15.4	14.1	12.8	18.3	15.2
5				8.1	7.7	4.0	6.7	6.7
6				1.5	1.7	1.2	1.8	1.5
7				1.0	1.0	.8	2.2	1.3
8				.8	1.6	.9	1.3	1.1
9				.4	.5	.4	.3	.4
10 RIGHT				.4	.9	1.0	.5	.7
96 DK				7.4	10.3	6.9	4.0	7.2
98 NA				.2	.3		.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				2.895	3.036	2.666	3.109	2.933
STD DEV				1.578	1.722	1.664	1.594	1.646
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1497	1379	1262	1444	5582

left - right placement (means)



Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of VVD
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the VVD is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR556	VAR1033	VAR264	VAR208	VAR2081
1 LEFT				.8	1.4	1.5	.9	1.1
2				.7	1.0	.9	1.2	.9
3				2.0	1.3	1.9	2.4	1.9
4				2.1	1.6	2.3	3.4	2.3
5				4.8	4.8	4.6	7.3	5.4
6				6.5	7.8	7.5	10.5	8.1
7				11.4	12.5	10.3	15.8	12.5
8				22.0	25.3	25.2	27.3	24.9
9				21.1	16.4	19.0	15.5	18.0
10 RIGHT				18.0	15.6	17.8	11.2	15.6
96 DK				10.5	12.1	9.1	4.5	9.1
98 NA				.2	.2		.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				7.891	7.731	7.793	7.370	7.693
STD DEV				1.895	1.916	1.980	1.911	1.934
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1447	1351	1233	1437	5468

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of D66
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' D66 is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR557	VAR1034	VAR265	VAR209	VAR2082
1 LEFT				2.9	2.5	2.7	3.4	2.9
2				6.4	2.7	5.1	8.0	5.5
3				14.2	9.1	11.2	17.2	13.0
4				22.6	16.9	19.8	25.3	21.2
5				24.5	23.9	23.7	25.3	24.4
6				10.2	16.6	13.0	9.4	12.3
7				3.9	6.4	6.5	3.5	5.0
8				3.0	2.2	2.3	1.4	2.2
9				.7	1.4	.5	.5	.8
10 RIGHT				.6	1.0	.8	.1	.6
96 DK				10.8	17.1	14.4	5.9	12.0
98 NA				.2	.2		.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				4.453	4.922	4.656	4.213	4.546
STD DEV				1.629	1.654	1.647	1.489	1.624
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1442	1274	1161	1416	5293

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies 1971 - 1989

Chapter 2

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of PPR
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the PPR is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR558	VAR1035	VAR266	VAR210	VAR2083
1 LEFT				16.6	17.3	21.6	21.8	19.2
2				23.0	23.8	24.7	30.3	25.4
3				18.3	17.9	14.4	15.9	16.7
4				8.5	7.7	7.9	7.7	8.0
5				4.1	3.8	3.8	4.6	4.1
6				2.5	2.0	2.6	1.9	2.2
7				1.4	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3
8				.9	1.0	1.0	.8	.9
9				.6	.5	1.0	.5	.6
10 RIGHT				.5	.6	.5	.4	.5
96 DK				23.1	24.0	21.3	14.6	20.8
98 NA				.4	.3		.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				2.807	2.737	2.677	2.572	2.697
STD DEV				1.720	1.707	1.795	1.617	1.709
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1238	1167	1067	1285	4757

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of CPN
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the CPN is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR559	VAR1036	VAR267	VAR211	VAR2084
1 LEFT				61.7	56.9	56.4	55.5	57.7
2				12.7	14.0	13.4	18.9	14.7
3				4.4	3.7	3.9	4.9	4.3
4				1.6	1.7	2.6	2.6	2.1
5				1.1	1.0	1.4	2.0	1.4
6				.6	1.3	1.1	1.5	1.1
7				1.1	.6	1.3	1.5	1.1
8				1.0	.6	.7	1.3	.9
9				.4	.5	1.3	.9	.8
10 RIGHT				.7	1.0	1.5	.8	1.0
96 DK				14.3	18.4	16.2	10.0	14.7
98 NA				.2	.3	.1	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				1.673	1.717	1.929	1.943	1.812
STD DEV				1.581	1.643	1.969	1.810	1.753
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1384	1254	1136	1354	5128

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of CDA
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the CDA is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR560	VAR1037	VAR268	VAR212	VAR2085
1 LEFT				.4	.5	1.3	.7	.7
2				.4	.5	.8	.9	.6
3				.6	1.2	.9	1.3	1.0
4				.9	1.2	.6	2.6	1.3
5				7.5	7.5	4.9	13.3	8.4
6				14.1	14.8	12.9	19.1	15.3
7				17.7	15.4	19.3	18.6	17.7
8				18.6	17.8	21.0	17.8	18.7
9				16.4	12.7	16.0	10.7	13.9
10 RIGHT				15.2	16.5	14.4	10.4	14.1
96 DK				8.1	11.7	7.9	4.6	8.1
98 NA				.2	.2		.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				7.640	7.561	7.613	7.029	7.456
STD DEV				1.708	1.829	1.797	1.828	1.807
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1485	1357	1249	1436	5527

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of DS70
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' DS70 is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR561	VAR1038			VAR2086
1 LEFT				1.7	1.5			1.6
2				2.6	1.9			2.3
3				4.1	4.3			4.2
4				7.5	7.9			7.7
5				10.7	12.1			11.4
6				11.7	11.9			11.8
7				9.4	9.7			9.6
8				8.5	7.7			8.1
9				5.5	3.6			4.6
10 RIGHT				3.1	2.1			2.7
96 DK				34.1	36.9			35.5
98 NA				1.0	.3			.7
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	0	0	3161
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	1630	1754	9946
MEAN				5.973	5.818			5.899
STD DEV				2.172	2.019			2.101
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1050	968	0	0	2018

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of SGP
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the SGP is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR562	VAR1039	VAR269	VAR214	VAR2087
1 LEFT				1.2	1.3	2.7	1.7	1.7
2				2.1	1.6	2.4	2.6	2.2
3				2.2	1.9	2.9	4.1	2.8
4				1.5	1.6	1.9	3.3	2.1
5				2.8	1.9	3.2	5.4	3.3
6				3.5	3.6	3.6	4.6	3.8
7				5.2	4.5	5.2	8.4	5.8
8				10.6	12.1	11.3	16.1	12.5
9				16.9	17.1	16.5	19.5	17.5
10 RIGHT				23.3	19.3	22.7	16.6	20.5
96 DK				30.2	34.8	27.6	17.6	27.6
98 NA				.4	.3		.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				8.069	8.057	7.787	7.482	7.835
STD DEV				2.303	2.237	2.557	2.398	2.387
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1124	1001	982	1240	4347

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of PSP
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the PSP is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR563	VAR1040	VAR270	VAR215	VAR2088
1 LEFT				28.8	28.4	30.2	27.0	28.6
2				23.6	23.3	22.1	26.6	23.9
3				10.6	9.7	9.0	12.4	10.4
4				4.9	4.7	3.8	5.9	4.8
5				3.2	2.6	3.6	5.6	3.7
6				1.7	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.0
7				1.4	.8	1.5	1.5	1.3
8				.7	.6	.7	1.4	.9
9				.5	.6	1.2	1.0	.8
10 RIGHT				.4	.7	1.7	.7	.8
96 DK				24.0	26.2	24.0	16.1	22.6
98 NA				.2	.2		.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				2.344	2.340	2.521	2.592	2.450
STD DEV				1.697	1.753	2.090	1.870	1.853
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1228	1135	1030	1263	4656

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of BP(RVP)
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the BP(RVP) is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR564	VAR1041			VAR2089
1 LEFT				3.0	1.4			2.2
2				1.3	1.0			1.2
3				2.1	.8			1.5
4				2.8	1.2			2.0
5				4.0	3.4			3.7
6				5.6	5.0			5.3
7				5.4	5.5			5.4
8				9.5	9.9			9.7
9				14.0	14.6			14.3
10 RIGHT				21.2	26.7			23.9
96 DK				30.1	30.1			30.1
98 NA				1.0	.4			.7
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	0	0	3161
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	1630	1754	9946
MEAN				7.672	8.249			7.955
STD DEV				2.548	2.144			2.376
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1115	1071	0	0	2186

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of GPV
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the GPV is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR565	VAR1042	VAR271	VAR216	VAR2090
1 LEFT				1.4	.6	1.7	1.1	1.2
2				.9	.7	1.3	1.1	1.0
3				1.7	.6	1.6	2.2	1.5
4				1.5	1.1	1.1	2.7	1.6
5				2.8	2.2	3.2	5.8	3.5
6				3.8	3.2	4.6	4.6	4.0
7				6.5	6.1	6.6	9.8	7.2
8				11.9	12.9	10.6	18.3	13.5
9				17.5	17.8	18.9	20.8	18.7
10 RIGHT				23.3	19.9	23.1	16.1	20.6
96 DK				28.3	34.1	27.2	17.4	26.8
98 NA				.5	.6	.1	.1	.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	1356	1506	6023
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	274	248	7084
MEAN				8.173	8.346	8.101	7.761	8.080
STD DEV				2.124	1.823	2.205	2.075	2.075
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1153	1005	986	1243	4387

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of RPF
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the RPF is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1043	VAR272	VAR217	VAR2091
1 LEFT					.6	2.2	1.4	1.4
2					.6	1.3	1.6	1.2
3					.6	1.6	2.7	1.6
4					1.9	1.5	2.7	2.1
5					3.2	3.8	5.6	4.2
6					2.9	3.1	5.5	3.8
7					5.4	5.8	8.2	6.5
8					11.9	9.5	14.6	12.1
9					14.9	16.4	19.1	16.8
10 RIGHT					15.7	19.4	13.3	16.0
96 DK					41.9	35.2	25.1	34.1
98 NA					.3	.2	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	1356	1506	4403
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	274	248	8704
MEAN					8.113	7.910	7.548	7.831
STD DEV					1.966	2.385	2.237	2.217
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	891	876	1126	2893

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of CP(CD)
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the CP(CD) is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1044	VAR273	VAR218	VAR2092
1 LEFT					9.3	17.6	17.1	14.5
2					1.8	2.1	4.3	2.7
3					1.6	1.1	2.5	1.7
4					1.0	1.1	1.9	1.3
5					2.7	1.5	4.1	2.8
6					2.4	1.2	1.4	1.7
7					.7	1.3	1.3	1.1
8					1.7	1.2	2.6	1.8
9					3.3	3.0	6.6	4.3
10 RIGHT					36.2	40.3	41.8	39.4
96 DK					38.7	29.0	15.1	27.6
98 NA					.5	.7	1.5	.9
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	1356	1506	4403
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	274	248	8704
MEAN					7.571	7.008	6.901	7.133
STD DEV					3.512	3.947	3.798	3.772
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	937	954	1256	3147

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of EVP
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the EVP is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR274		VAR2093
1 LEFT						4.6		4.6
2						6.1		6.1
3						6.7		6.7
4						6.5		6.5
5						6.2		6.2
6						5.0		5.0
7						6.9		6.9
8						8.5		8.5
9						8.6		8.6
10 RIGHT						11.0		11.0
96 DK						29.9		29.9
98 NA						.1		.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	0	1356
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	1754	11751
MEAN						6.098		6.098
STD DEV						2.923		2.923
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	950	0	950

Topic : Left - right placement
 Item : Left - right rating of GROEN LINKS
 Question : Political parties are also often said to be "LEFT" or "RIGHT". On this sheet you see the various parties printed. Please mark on this scale (running from left to right) the position witch indicates in your opinion how 'left' or 'right' the GROEN LINKS is.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE							VAR213	VAR2094
1 LEFT							36.8	36.8
2							34.1	34.1
3							11.7	11.7
4							5.5	5.5
5							3.7	3.7
6							.9	.9
7							.5	.5
8							.2	.2
9							.3	.3
10 RIGHT							.1	.1
96 DK							6.1	6.1
98 NA							.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	0	1506	1506
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	248	11601
MEAN							2.079	2.079
STD DEV							1.305	1.305
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	0	1413	1413

Topic : Satisfaction with government
 Item : Effect government policy on economic situation
 Question : I would now like to ask a few questions concerning what you think about the policies that the government has followed during the past four years.
 First the GENERAL ECONOMIC SITUATION, do you think that governmental policies have had a positive, negative, or hardly any effect?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR029	VAR033	VAR2095
1 POSITIVE EFFECT						51.7	53.0	52.4
2 HARDLY ANY EFFECT						23.4	32.4	28.0
3 NEGATIVE EFFECT						15.1	10.9	12.9
6 DK						9.0	3.6	6.2
8 NA						.8	.1	.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1630	1754	3384
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	0	0	9723
MEAN						1.594	1.564	1.578
STD DEV						.759	.688	.722
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1470	1689	3159

Topic : Satisfaction with government
 Item : Effect of government policy on employment
 Question : And EMPLOYMENT IN THE NETHERLANDS, do you think that governmental policies have had a positive, negative, or hardly any effect?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR030	VAR034	VAR2096
1 POSITIVE EFFECT						36.9	32.6	34.7
2 HARDLY ANY EFFECT						35.1	35.5	35.3
3 NEGATIVE EFFECT						21.5	27.6	24.6
6 DK						6.0	4.2	5.1
8 NA						.6	.1	.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1630	1754	3384
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	0	0	9723
MEAN						1.835	1.948	1.894
STD DEV						.773	.792	.785
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1523	1679	3202

Topic : Satisfaction with government
 Item : Effect of government policy on respondent's finances
 Question : And your PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION, has this been positively,
 negatively or hardly any effected by governmental policies?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR031	VAR036	VAR2097
1 POSITIVE EFFECT						11.6	21.2	16.6
2 HARDLY ANY EFFECT						46.0	47.1	46.5
3 NEGATIVE EFFECT						38.2	29.9	33.9
6 DK						3.9	1.8	2.8
8 NA						.3		.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1630	1754	3384
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	0	0	9723
MEAN						2.278	2.089	2.179
STD DEV						.666	.716	.699
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1561	1723	3284

Topic : Satisfaction with government
 Item : Satisfaction with government
 Question : With the help of this card, could you indicate how satisfied or dis-
 satisfied you are with what the government has done during their
 administration.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR388	VAR267	V503	VAR405		VAR032	VAR032	VAR2098
1 VERY CONTENT	1.9	3.6	4.4	1.3		2.9	3.0	2.7
2 CONTENT	36.8	34.3	46.1	26.2		36.8	32.3	35.1
3 NOT <DIS>CONTENT	45.6	34.1	31.6	35.3		29.9	41.2	36.7
4 DISCONTENT	12.7	19.7	12.8	26.3		22.1	16.2	18.3
5 VERY DISCONTENT			2.0	8.7		6.1	6.3	3.9
6 DK	2.6	6.4	2.0	2.2		2.1	1.0	2.7
8 NA	.5	1.9	1.2	.1		.1		.6
(N) OF CASES	1980	1526	1434	1812	0	1630	1754	10136
(N) DROPPED	515	0	422	493	1541	0	0	2971
MEAN	2.713	2.762	2.607	3.153		2.915	2.905	2.851
STD DEV	.711	.831	.848	.961		.981	.927	.897
(N) VALID CASES	1918	1400	1389	1771	0	1594	1737	9809

Topic : Political distrust
 Item : Political distrust - first item
 Question : I will read to you a few statements. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree.

Political parties promise much, but don't deliver.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR242	V141	VAR630				VAR2099
1 AGREE		69.5	59.5	65.6				64.5
2 DISAGREE		18.5	29.2	23.8				24.2
6 DK		9.0	10.9	10.1				10.1
8 NA		2.9	.4	.5				1.2
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1856	1620	0	0	0	5002
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	0	685	1541	1630	1754	8105
MEAN		1.210	1.329	1.266				1.272
STD DEV		.407	.470	.442				.445
(N) VALID CASES	0	1343	1646	1448	0	0	0	4437

Topic : Political distrust
 Item : Political distrust - second item
 Question : Too many political decisions are made in secret in the Netherlands.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR243	V143	VAR631				VAR2100
1 AGREE		44.2	35.5	33.0				37.4
2 DISAGREE		29.9	45.1	49.3				41.8
6 DK		23.5	19.1	17.6				20.0
8 NA		2.4	.3	.1				.9
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1856	1620	0	0	0	5002
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	0	685	1541	1630	1754	8105
MEAN		1.403	1.559	1.599				1.528
STD DEV		.491	.497	.490				.449
(N) VALID CASES	0	1131	1496	1333	0	0	0	3960

Topic : Political distrust
 Item : Political distrust - third item
 Question : Quite a bit of the taxpayer's money is spent wrongly by the government.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V145	VAR632				VAR2101
1 AGREE			49.9	59.4				54.3
2 DISAGREE			28.9	20.2				24.9
6 DK			21.0	20.1				20.6
8 NA			.2	.2				.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1620	0	0	0	3476
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	685	1541	1630	1754	9631
MEAN			1.367	1.254				1.314
STD DEV			.482	.436				.464
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1462	1290	0	0	0	2752

Topic : Political cynicism
 Item : Political cynicism - first item
 Question : Some people say that a lot of things are wrong in politics, and others say that it is not that bad at all. We will now ask a few questions on this. Please tell, with the help of this card, whether or not you agree with the following statements.

Politicians consciously promise more than they can deliver.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V464	VAR633		VAR280	VAR224	VAR2102
1 FULLY AGREE			22.4	28.4		23.6	20.5	23.8
2 AGREE			52.1	49.8		61.2	63.7	56.5
3 DISAGREE			17.9	15.4		11.9	14.3	14.9
4 FULLY DISAGREE			2.6	2.0		1.3	.7	1.7
6 DK			4.6	4.1		1.9	.9	2.9
8 NA			.3	.3				.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	0	1356	1506	5916
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	1541	274	248	7191
MEAN			2.009	1.906		1.908	1.951	1.943
STD DEV			.732	.731		.639	.612	.682
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1363	1548	0	1330	1493	5734

Topic : Political cynicism
 Item : Political cynicism - second item
 Question : Cabinet ministers and under-ministers <"staatssecretarissen"> are first of all working for their personal interest.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V465	VAR634		VAR281	VAR225	VAR2103
1 FULLY AGREE			5.7	7.7		8.5	7.1	7.2
2 AGREE			21.1	18.4		23.2	23.2	21.4
3 DISAGREE			55.4	53.2		54.4	62.0	56.2
4 FULLY DISAGREE			8.3	11.9		7.8	6.3	8.7
6 DK			8.8	8.7		6.2	1.5	6.3
8 NA			.6	.2				.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	0	1356	1506	5916
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	1541	274	248	7191
MEAN			2.732	2.760		2.656	2.685	2.709
STD DEV			.711	.782		.757	.699	.739
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1299	1476	0	1272	1484	5531

Topic : Political cynicism
 Item : Political cynicism - third item
 Question : One becomes member of parliament because of one's political friends,
 rather than because of skill and ability.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V468	VAR635		VAR282	VAR226	VAR2104
1 FULLY AGREE			6.1	8.5		8.6	7.2	7.6
2 AGREE			31.7	23.3		28.1	28.7	27.8
3 DISAGREE			40.9	40.2		42.3	53.6	44.2
4 FULLY DISAGREE			6.5	9.1		7.7	5.4	7.2
6 DK			14.3	18.6		13.2	5.0	12.9
8 NA			.5	.4		.1	.1	.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	0	1356	1506	5916
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	1541	274	248	7191
MEAN			2.560	2.616		2.566	2.604	2.588
STD DEV			.737	.819		.790	.711	.764
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1222	1312	0	1176	1429	5139

Topic : Political efficacy
 Item : Political efficacy - first item
 Question : I'm now going to read some statements to you. Would you please say for each statement whether you agree or disagree?

Members of parliament don't care much about the opinions of people like me.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR134	VAR238	V137	VAR115	VAR1026	VAR275	VAR219	VAR2105
1 AGREE	48.0	47.9	40.8	37.7	45.0	37.5	38.3	42.4
2 DISAGREE	36.7	33.0	45.0	48.1	41.4	54.1	59.4	44.7
6 DK	12.4	16.6	14.0	14.1	13.6	8.4	2.2	12.0
8 NA	2.9	2.4	.1	.2			.1	.9
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1506	12585
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	274	248	522
MEAN	1.433	1.408	1.524	1.561	1.479	1.590	1.608	1.514
STD DEV	.496	.492	.500	.496	.500	.492	.488	.500
(N) VALID CASES	2112	1235	1594	1977	1331	1242	1472	10963

Topic : Political efficacy
 Item : Political efficacy - second item
 Question : The political parties are only interested in my vote and not in my opinion.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR135	VAR239	V138	VAR116	VAR1027	VAR276	VAR220	VAR2106
1 AGREE	59.1	55.4	47.6	47.6	49.6	44.8	42.6	50.2
2 DISAGREE	28.9	30.5	42.6	42.6	39.6	49.1	56.0	40.3
6 DK	9.5	11.1	9.6	9.5	10.7	6.1	1.4	8.5
8 NA	2.6	2.9	.2	.3	.1		.1	1.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1506	12585
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	274	248	522
MEAN	1.328	1.355	1.472	1.473	1.444	1.523	1.568	1.446
STD DEV	.470	.479	.499	.499	.497	.500	.496	.497
(N) VALID CASES	2194	1311	1674	2080	1374	1273	1484	11390

Topic : Political efficacy
 Item : Political efficacy - third item
 Question : People like me don't have any say about what the government does.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR136	VAR240	V139	VAR117	VAR1028	VAR277	VAR221	VAR2107
1 AGREE	56.3	57.5	46.2	47.2	51.8	47.9	45.9	50.6
2 DISAGREE	34.0	31.6	44.8	43.7	40.6	46.6	52.8	41.5
6 DK	7.5	9.5	8.7	8.9	7.4	5.5	1.3	7.2
8 NA	2.1	1.4	.3	.3	.2	.1		.7
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1506	12585
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	274	248	522
MEAN	1.377	1.355	1.492	1.481	1.439	1.493	1.535	1.451
STD DEV	.485	.479	.500	.500	.496	.500	.499	.498
(N) VALID CASES	2254	1359	1690	2094	1424	1281	1487	11589


Topic : Political efficacy
 Item : Political efficacy - fourth item
 Question : So many people vote in the elections that it doesn't matter whether I vote or not.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR137	VAR241	V140	VAR118	VAR1029	VAR278	VAR222	VAR2108
1 AGREE	15.0	12.2	10.7	10.2	9.5	8.8	7.8	10.9
2 DISAGREE	77.1	81.1	84.9	83.8	86.8	88.8	91.9	84.2
6 DK	6.4	5.1	4.1	5.9	3.6	2.4	.3	4.3
8 NA	1.5	1.6	.4	.2				.6
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1506	12585
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	274	248	522
MEAN	1.837	1.869	1.888	1.892	1.901	1.910	1.921	1.885
STD DEV	.369	.337	.315	.310	.299	.286	.269	.319
(N) VALID CASES	2298	1423	1773	2166	1485	1323	1502	11970

Topic : Political efficacy
 Item : Political efficacy - scale
 Remark : Constructed from VAR2105 - VAR2108, see note 22

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR806	VAR805	V606	VAR119	VAR1030	VAR279	VAR223	VAR2109
0 <LOW>	16.7	15.1	10.5	11.8	9.2	6.3	4.4	11.2
1	32.2	34.5	25.3	26.0	29.3	24.0	21.3	27.8
2	22.2	21.6	22.3	18.9	23.9	21.6	17.0	21.1
3	15.3	16.8	20.4	18.6	18.9	21.0	24.4	19.0
4 <HIGH>	13.5	12.1	21.6	24.6	18.6	27.1	32.9	21.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1506	12585
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	274	248	522
MEAN	1.767	1.762	2.173	2.183	2.084	2.386	2.601	2.108
STD DEV	1.277	1.242	1.308	1.368	1.261	1.279	1.260	1.320
(N) VALID CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1356	1506	12585

Political efficacy
Political efficacy scale



PART II

Chapter 3

Competence and participation

Topic : Social participation
 Item : Participation in unions
 Question : This card lists various kinds of associations and organizations of which you might be a member. Are you a member of any of these? Which ones? And how active are you? Please, first inspect the entire card.

<show card presented, listing :

- * labor unions
- professional organizations
- neighbourhood organizations
- women's organizations
- other organizations. >

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR081	V549	VAR406	VAR1092	VAR300	VAR244	VAR2110	
1 NO MEMBER	76.1	77.7	77.6	77.5	79.8	80.1	78.1	
2 PASSIVE MEMBER	13.6	16.4	15.7	18.1			11.0	
3 RATHER ACTIVE MEMB	5.0	3.3	2.6	2.4			2.3	
4 VERY ACTIVE MEMB	1.6	1.3	.9	1.1			.8	
5 MEMBER					19.8	19.9	6.2	
6 DK	.4				.1	.1	.1	
8 NA	3.3	1.4	3.1	.8	.2		1.6	
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1434	1812	1541	1356	1506	9175
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	422	493	0	274	248	3932
MEAN		1.295	1.271	1.244	1.264	1.796	1.797	1.435
STD DEV		.643	.584	.542	.557	1.598	1.599	1.049
(N) VALID CASES	0	1470	1414	1755	1528	1351	1505	9023

Topic : Social participation
 Item : Participation in professional organizations
 Question : This card lists various kinds of associations and organizations of which you might be a member. Are you a member of any of these? Which ones? And how active are you? Please, first inspect the entire card.

<show card presented, listing :
 - labor unions
 * professional organizations
 - neighbourhood organizations
 - women's organizations
 - other organizations. >

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V550	VAR407	VAR1093	VAR304	VAR248	VAR2111
1 NO MEMBER			91.1	87.3	90.3	87.0	88.8	88.9
2 PASSIVE MEMBER			5.4	6.6	5.7			3.7
3 RATHER ACTIVE MEMB			2.0	1.5	1.9			1.1
4 VERY ACTIVE MEMB			.7	.8	1.0			.5
5 MEMBER						10.3	10.9	4.0
6 DK						.8	.3	.2
8 NA			.9	3.8	1.0	1.8		1.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1812	1541	1356	1506	7649
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	493	0	274	248	5458
MEAN			1.115	1.126	1.128	1.424	1.437	1.239
STD DEV			.428	.441	.463	1.232	1.248	.850
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1421	1744	1526	1320	1501	7512

Topic : Social participation
 Item : Participation in neighbourhood organizations
 Question : This card lists various kinds of associations and organizations of which you might be a member. Are you a member of any of these? Which ones? And how active are you? Please, first inspect the entire card.

<show card presented, listing :
 - labor unions
 - professional organizations
 * neighbourhood organizations
 - women's organizations
 - other organizations. >

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR082	V551	VAR408	VAR1094				VAR2112
1 NO MEMBER	87.0	83.9	81.3	82.4				83.5
2 PASSIVE MEMBER	6.1	8.4	8.4	10.4				8.3
3 RATHER ACTIVE MEMB	2.9	4.2	4.4	4.2				3.9
4 VERY ACTIVE MEMB	1.6	2.4	2.4	1.7				2.0
6 DK	.2							.0
8 NA	2.3	1.1	3.4	1.2				2.1
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1434	1812	1541	0	0	6313
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	422	493	0	1630	1754	6794
MEAN	1.170	1.243	1.254	1.242				1.229
STD DEV	.545	.646	.657	.610				.618
(N) VALID CASES	0	1488	1418	1751	1522	0	0	6179

Topic : Social participation
 Item : Participation in women's organizations
 Question : This card lists various kinds of associations and organizations of which you might be a member. Are you a member of any of these? Which ones? And how active are you? Please, first inspect the entire card.

<show card presented, listing :
 - labor unions
 - professional organizations
 - neighbourhood organizations
 * women's organizations
 - other organizations. >

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR083	V552	VAR409	VAR1095				VAR2113
1 NO MEMBER	90.1	89.7	86.9	91.8				89.5
2 PASSIVE MEMBER	3.1	2.9	3.1	2.6				2.9
3 RATHER ACTIVE MEMB	3.9	4.0	3.7	2.8				3.6
4 VERY ACTIVE MEMB	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5				1.6
6 DK	.2							.0
8 NA	1.7	1.4	4.3	1.4				2.3
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1434	1812	1541	0	0	6313
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	422	493	0	1630	1754	6794
MEAN		1.142	1.171	1.172	1.128			1.154
STD DEV		.515	.586	.587	.509			.551
(N) VALID CASES	0	1497	1414	1734	1520	0	0	6165

Topic : Social participation
 Item : Participation in other organizations
 Question : This card lists various kinds of associations and organizations of which you might be a member. Are you a member of any of these? Which ones? And how active are you? Please, first inspect the entire card.


<show card presented, listing :
 - labor unions
 - professional organizations
 - neighbourhood organizations
 - women's organizations
 * other organizations. >

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR084	V553	VAR410	VAR1096			VAR2114
1 NO MEMBER		73.9	66.4	60.0	62.6			65.4
2 PASSIVE MEMBER		6.9	8.2	9.0	9.6			8.5
3 RATHER ACTIVE MEMB		9.0	13.6	14.5	13.2			12.7
4 VERY ACTIVE MEMB		6.5	10.8	13.7	14.0			11.4
6 DK		.5						.1
8 NA		3.1	1.0	2.7	.6			1.9
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1434	1812	1541	0	0	6313
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	422	493	0	1630	1754	6794
MEAN		1.462	1.685	1.815	1.785			1.694
STD DEV		.917	1.071	1.139	1.131			1.081
(N) VALID CASES	0	1470	1419	1763	1531	0	0	6183

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Chance of acting against unjust bill
 Question : Suppose parliament is discussing a bill which you consider very unjust. What are the chances of you undertaking any action against the passing of such a bill? Are these chances very great, great, small, or very small?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR627	VAR669	V399	VAR566	VAR1173	VAR284	VAR228	VAR2115
1 VERY GREAT	3.2	3.0	2.3	1.6	2.9	2.9	2.0	2.6
2 GREAT	8.8	9.5	11.6	10.3	9.1	15.4	11.1	10.7
3 SMALL	77.8	75.0	21.2	29.8	26.0	31.6	43.6	43.8
4 VERY SMALL			55.4	55.6	58.5	48.5	43.2	37.3
6 DK	7.2	7.0	7.5	2.5	3.6	1.3	.1	4.2
8 NA	2.9	5.5	2.0	.2	.1	.1	.1	1.4
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	10473
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	274	248	2634
MEAN	2.830	2.823	3.433	3.432	3.453	3.276	3.281	3.227
STD DEV	.462	.463	.810	.746	.784	.832	.738	.752
(N) VALID CASES	1780	906	1299	1576	1485	1336	1503	9885

Civic competence
Chance of acting against unjust bill



Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - contact mayor or alderman
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR650	VAR689	V400	VAR567	VAR1174			VAR2116
1 MUCH	12.4	9.0	6.8	4.4	3.6			7.4
2 LITTLE	33.1	44.3	39.6	35.2	31.1			35.9
3 NO EFFECT	43.8	40.2	48.4	53.5	60.0			49.5
6 DK	7.9	5.1	4.9	6.7	5.1			6.1
8 NA	2.8	1.4	.3	.2	.1			1.1
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	2.351	2.334	2.439	2.527	2.595			2.454
STD DEV	.712	.644	.624	.587	.564			.638
(N) VALID CASES	1768	968	1359	1509	1461	0	0	7065

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - contact member of the Second Chamber
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR643	VAR684	V401	VAR568	VAR1175			VAR2117
1 MUCH	26.8	20.2	19.0	20.6	18.6			21.4
2 LITTLE	36.3	46.7	46.8	40.6	37.5			40.8
3 NO EFFECT	26.3	24.4	27.3	32.5	38.9			30.1
6 DK	8.3	7.0	6.6	6.2	4.9			6.7
8 NA	2.4	1.6	.3	.1	.1			1.0
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	1.995	2.047	2.090	2.127	2.214			2.094
STD DEV	.771	.698	.700	.743	.748			.741
(N) VALID CASES	1769	946	1335	1517	1463	0	0	7030

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - contact cabinet ministers
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR641	VAR686	V402	VAR569	VAR1176			VAR2118
1 MUCH	11.6	17.6	17.2	15.6	14.5			14.9
2 LITTLE	35.9	41.2	35.9	33.3	30.7			35.0
3 NO EFFECT	42.3	33.2	40.1	44.3	48.7			42.4
6 DK	7.5	6.8	6.3	6.7	6.0			6.7
8 NA	2.7	1.3	.5	.1	.1			1.0
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	2.342	2.170	2.245	2.308	2.365			2.298
STD DEV	.696	.724	.745	.741	.735			.730
(N) VALID CASES	1778	953	1337	1510	1447	0	0	7025

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - contact department official
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR649	VAR691	V403	VAR570	VAR1177			VAR2119
1 MUCH	10.4	5.2	7.3	6.5	6.4			7.4
2 LITTLE	30.0	41.3	37.7	34.1	30.4			33.9
3 NO EFFECT	47.9	46.0	46.9	52.4	56.1			50.1
6 DK	9.1	6.4	7.9	6.8	6.8			7.5
8 NA	2.7	1.1	.3	.2	.3			1.0
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	2.425	2.441	2.432	2.494	2.536			2.466
STD DEV	.692	.600	.635	.624	.621			.642
(N) VALID CASES	1747	959	1316	1506	1431	0	0	6959

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - contact councillor
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1178			VAR2120
1 MUCH					8.6			8.6
2 LITTLE					29.6			29.6
3 NO EFFECT					56.8			56.8
6 DK					4.7			4.7
8 NA					.4			.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	0	0	1541
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	1630	1754	11566
MEAN					2.508			2.508
STD DEV					.656			.656
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	1463	0	0	1463

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - join civic action group
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR644	VAR692	V404	VAR571	VAR1179			VAR2121
1 MUCH	27.9	15.8	20.6	25.1	22.9			23.3
2 LITTLE	38.4	40.8	39.5	38.3	34.8			38.2
3 NO EFFECT	23.8	36.9	34.2	31.8	37.0			31.9
6 DK	7.5	5.2	4.9	4.6	4.9			5.5
8 NA	2.3	1.3	.8	.3	.4			1.1
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	1.955	2.225	2.143	2.071	2.149			2.092
STD DEV	.757	.717	.749	.770	.781			.763
(N) VALID CASES	1785	969	1353	1541	1460	0	0	7108

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - sign a petition
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR685	V405	VAR572	VAR1180				VAR2122
1 MUCH		21.5	30.7	31.1	29.7			28.9
2 LITTLE		49.3	45.9	44.6	43.2			45.4
3 NO EFFECT		23.4	19.5	20.7	23.2			21.5
6 DK		4.7	3.6	3.5	3.7			3.8
8 NA		1.1	.4	.1	.3			.4
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	5631
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	7476
MEAN		2.020	1.883	1.892	1.932			1.924
STD DEV		.690	.713	.725	.739			.721
(N) VALID CASES	0	976	1377	1562	1480	0	0	5395

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - join demonstration
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR693	V406	VAR573	VAR1181				VAR2123
1 MUCH		11.2	12.6	15.7	20.5			15.4
2 LITTLE		38.6	36.0	36.7	32.3			35.7
3 NO EFFECT		43.0	46.3	42.8	43.2			43.8
6 DK		5.6	4.7	4.6	3.8			4.6
8 NA		1.6	.4	.1	.3			.5
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	5631
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	7476
MEAN		2.342	2.356	2.284	2.236			2.300
STD DEV		.683	.703	.731	.780			.731
(N) VALID CASES	0	961	1360	1544	1478	0	0	5343

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - try to activate a newspaper
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR646		V407	VAR574	VAR1182			VAR2124
1 MUCH	30.4		22.2	21.9	22.7			24.7
2 LITTLE	36.3		40.4	38.6	31.0			36.5
3 NO EFFECT	23.4		31.9	34.4	41.4			32.2
6 DK	7.3		5.1	4.8	4.8			5.6
8 NA	2.7		.3	.2	.1			1.0
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	1434	1620	1541	0	0	6575
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	422	685	0	1630	1754	6532
MEAN	1.923		2.103	2.132	2.197			2.080
STD DEV	.769		.750	.759	.798			.776
(N) VALID CASES	1782	0	1356	1539	1465	0	0	6142

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - try to activate radio or tv
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR642		V408	VAR575	VAR1183			VAR2125
1 MUCH	33.3		31.6	33.1	27.7			31.6
2 LITTLE	33.5		33.8	31.4	28.3			31.8
3 NO EFFECT	23.1		29.1	30.1	38.7			29.8
6 DK	7.7		5.1	5.3	5.1			5.9
8 NA	2.4		.4	.1	.2			.9
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	1434	1620	1541	0	0	6575
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	422	685	0	1630	1754	6532
MEAN	1.886		1.973	1.968	2.116			1.981
STD DEV	.784		.801	.817	.830			.811
(N) VALID CASES	1781	0	1355	1532	1460	0	0	6128

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - try to activate a political party
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR647	VAR688	V409	VAR576	VAR1184			VAR2126
1 MUCH	31.7	32.7	31.2	32.7	32.5			32.1
2 LITTLE	36.5	41.7	35.8	34.4	28.7			35.1
3 NO EFFECT	21.7	18.1	26.2	26.2	32.8			25.3
6 DK	7.5	6.3	6.4	6.7	5.5			6.5
8 NA	2.6	1.2	.3	.1	.5			1.0
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	1.889	1.843	1.947	1.931	2.003			1.926
STD DEV	.763	.725	.783	.792	.834			.784
(N) VALID CASES	1780	959	1337	1510	1449	0	0	7035

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - try to activate an interest group
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR648	VAR687	V410	VAR577	VAR1185			VAR2127
1 MUCH	20.8	22.1	19.0	22.8	22.8			21.5
2 LITTLE	40.1	46.8	43.0	37.7	33.0			39.6
3 NO EFFECT	28.3	23.4	29.8	31.6	36.9			30.4
6 DK	8.3	6.7	7.9	7.7	7.1			7.6
8 NA	2.6	1.1	.3	.2	.3			1.0
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	2.084	2.014	2.119	2.095	2.151			2.097
STD DEV	.738	.702	.720	.763	.789			.747
(N) VALID CASES	1765	956	1316	1492	1428	0	0	6957

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - lodge a (formal) complaint
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V411	VAR578	VAR1186			VAR2128
1 MUCH			19.5	15.0	15.1			16.4
2 LITTLE			41.3	39.4	34.2			38.2
3 NO EFFECT			33.1	38.8	44.6			39.0
6 DK			5.8	6.7	6.1			6.2
8 NA			.3	.2	.1			.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	0	0	4595
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	1630	1754	8512
MEAN			2.146	2.255	2.315			2.241
STD DEV			.734	.716	.733			.731
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1346	1509	1446	0	0	4301

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - acting with others
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			VAR645					VAR2129
1 MUCH	28.4							28.4
2 LITTLE	38.4							38.4
3 NO EFFECT	23.6							23.6
6 DK	7.1							7.1
8 DK	2.5							2.5
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	0	0	0	0	0	1980
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	11127
MEAN	1.948							1.948
STD DEV	.757							.757
(N) VALID CASES	1790	0	0	0	0	0	0	1790

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - try to activate media
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR690							VAR2130
1 MUCH		34.1						34.1
2 LITTLE		39.3						39.3
3 NO EFFECT		20.0						20.0
6 DK		5.5						5.5
8 DK		1.2						1.2
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	0	0	0	0	0	1036
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	12071
MEAN		1.849						1.849
STD DEV		.747						.746
(N) VALID CASES	0	967	0	0	0	0	0	967

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Perceived effect - other means
 Question : This card lists a number of possible actions which you could undertake if parliament were discussing a very unjust bill. Please indicate for each of these actions how much effect you think they would yield.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR694							VAR2131
1 MUCH		1.0						1.0
2 LITTLE		5.0						5.0
3 NO EFFECT		12.3						12.3
6 DK		25.6						25.6
8 DK		56.2						56.2
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	0	0	0	0	0	1036
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	12071
MEAN		2.619						2.619
STD DEV		.586						.586
(N) VALID CASES	0	189	0	0	0	0	0	189

Topic : Civic competence
 Item : Sense of civic competence score
 Remark : Constructed from VAR2116 - VAR2131, see note 31 for more details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR915	VAR902	V685	VAR579	VAR1187			VAR2132
0 <LOW>	12.5	9.7	6.2	8.8	12.7			10.2
1	2.2	2.9	3.9	4.9	5.3			3.8
2	4.6	4.7	3.0	4.3	4.8			4.3
3	5.5	5.1	4.7	5.2	5.5			5.2
4	7.0	7.3	6.6	5.9	4.4			6.2
5	8.8	7.6	7.6	6.6	6.2			7.4
6	10.8	10.4	7.4	5.9	6.2			8.1
7	10.7	10.3	8.9	7.0	7.4			8.8
8	9.1	9.8	11.1	10.1	8.4			9.7
9	8.8	7.7	10.4	9.4	7.7			8.9
10	20.1	20.4	8.3	10.5	7.8			13.4
11		4.0	9.8	8.9	6.7			5.6
12			12.1	12.5	5.8			6.1
13 <HIGH>					10.9			2.2
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	1630	1754	5496
MEAN	5.920	6.255	7.132	6.888	6.703			6.558
STD DEV	3.335	3.342	3.576	3.847	4.289			3.728
(N) VALID CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	0	0	7611

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> contact cabinet ministers
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR696	V413	VAR580	VAR1188	VAR285	VAR229		VAR2133
1 USED		5.1	2.5	2.9	3.0	2.4	4.4	3.3
2 NOT USED		94.2	97.5	95.9	95.3	96.1	95.6	95.8
6 DK		.3		.6	1.2	.9		.5
8 NA		.4		.6	.4	.6		.3
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	8493
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	274	248	4614
MEAN		1.949	1.975	1.971	1.969	1.975	1.956	1.966
STD DEV		.221	.157	.169	.173	.155	.206	.180
(N) VALID CASES	0	1029	1434	1601	1516	1336	1506	8422

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> contact member of the Second Chamber
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR698	V414	VAR581	VAR1189	VAR286	VAR230		VAR2134
1 USED		4.1	4.0	4.8	4.5	6.7	8.0	5.4
2 NOT USED		95.0	95.8	94.1	93.8	92.1	92.0	93.7
6 DK		.2		.7	1.2	.7		.5
8 NA		.8	.2	.4	.5	.5		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	8493
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	274	248	4614
MEAN		1.959	1.960	1.952	1.954	1.932	1.920	1.946
STD DEV		.198	.196	.214	.209	.252	.272	.227
(N) VALID CASES	0	1026	1431	1601	1515	1340	1506	8419

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> sign a petition
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR652	VAR697	V415	VAR582	VAR1190	V287	VAR231	VAR2135
1 USED	42.5	42.1	40.2	44.6	49.9	46.4	61.8	46.8
2 NOT USED	55.8	56.9	58.9	54.1	48.4	52.7	38.0	52.0
6 DK	1.1	.5		1.1	1.5	.5	.2	.7
8 NA	.7	.6	.8	.2	.2	.4		.4
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	10473
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	274	248	2634
MEAN	1.568	1.575	1.594	1.548	1.492	1.532	1.381	1.526
STD DEV	.496	.495	.491	.498	.500	.499	.486	.499
(N) VALID CASES	1945	1025	1422	1599	1515	1344	1503	10353

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> try to activate an interest group
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR699	V416	VAR583	VAR1191	VAR288	VAR232	VAR2136
1 USED		17.0	8.0	10.5	10.9	9.6	19.3	12.4
2 NOT USED		81.9	91.6	88.4	87.0	89.2	80.7	86.7
6 DK		.4		.7	1.5	.6	.1	.6
8 NA		.8	.3	.4	.6	.6		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	8493
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	274	248	4614
MEAN		1.828	1.920	1.894	1.889	1.903	1.807	1.875
STD DEV		.377	.272	.308	.315	.296	.395	.331
(N) VALID CASES	0	1024	1429	1602	1509	1340	1505	8409

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> try to activate radio or tv
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V417	VAR584	VAR1192	VAR289	VAR233	VAR2137
1 USED			1.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	5.2	2.7
2 NOT USED			98.7	96.5	95.8	96.4	94.8	96.4
6 DK				.6	1.4	.6		.5
8 NA			.1	.6	.5	.7		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	7457
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	274	248	5650
MEAN			1.988	1.976	1.977	1.977	1.948	1.973
STD DEV			.108	.152	.150	.151	.222	.162
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1433	1601	1512	1338	1506	7390

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> try to activate a political party
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR658	VAR701	V418	VAR585	VAR1193	VAR290	VAR234	VAR2138
1 USED	7.4	6.8	4.2	6.7	6.1	7.5	11.0	7.1
2 NOT USED	90.7	92.4	95.7	92.0	92.0	91.2	89.0	91.8
6 DK	1.0	.3		.7	1.4	.6		.6
8 NA	1.0	.6	.1	.5	.5	.7		.5
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	10473
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	274	248	2634
MEAN	1.925	1.932	1.958	1.932	1.938	1.924	1.890	1.928
STD DEV	.264	.252	.200	.252	.242	.265	.313	.259
(N) VALID CASES	1942	1027	1432	1600	1512	1339	1506	10358

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> contact mayor or alderman
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR700	V419	VAR586	VAR1194	VAR291	VAR235	VAR2139
1 USED		25.4	13.5	9.2	9.3	9.2	14.5	12.9
2 NOT USED		73.6	86.2	89.8	89.0	89.4	85.5	86.2
6 DK		.3		.6	1.3	.5		.5
8 NA		.7	.3	.4	.5	.9		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	8493
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	274	248	4614
MEAN		1.744	1.864	1.907	1.906	1.907	1.856	1.870
STD DEV		.437	.343	.290	.293	.291	.352	.336
(N) VALID CASES	0	1026	1430	1604	1514	1337	1506	8417

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> contact city-council member
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR702	V420	VAR587	VAR1195	VAR292	VAR236	VAR2140
1 USED		23.2	11.4	10.6	10.2	11.3	19.0	13.8
2 NOT USED		76.3	88.4	88.3	88.0	87.5	81.0	85.4
6 DK		.2		.6	1.3	.5		.5
8 NA		.4	.3	.5	.5	.7		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	8493
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	274	248	4614
MEAN		1.767	1.886	1.893	1.896	1.886	1.810	1.861
STD DEV		.423	.318	.310	.305	.318	.392	.346
(N) VALID CASES	0	1030	1430	1602	1513	1339	1506	8420

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> join civic action group
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR653	VAR705	V421	VAR588	VAR1196	VAR293	VAR237	VAR2141
1 USED	20.5	14.8	7.9	12.2	14.2	12.0	20.1	14.8
2 NOT USED	77.8	84.1	91.8	86.8	84.0	86.7	79.9	84.1
6 DK	.9	.6		.6	1.4	.6		.6
8 NA	.8	.6	.2	.4	.5	.7		.5
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	10473
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	274	248	2634
MEAN	1.792	1.851	.1920	1.877	1.855	1.878	1.800	1.850
STD DEV	.406	.357	.271	.328	.352	.327	.401	.357
(N) VALID CASES	1947	1024	1431	1603	1513	1338	1506	10362

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> join demonstration
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR706	V422	VAR589	VAR1197	VAR294	VAR238	VAR2142
1 USED		8.8	6.5	11.5	15.6	18.5	26.7	14.9
2 NOT USED		90.3	93.2	87.5	82.8	80.5	73.3	84.3
6 DK		.3		.6	1.3	.6		.5
8 NA		.7	.3	.4	.3	.4		.3
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	8493
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	0	274	248	4614
MEAN		1.911	1.935	1.884	1.842	1.813	1.733	1.850
STD DEV		.284	.247	.320	.365	.390	.443	.357
(N) VALID CASES	0	1026	1430	1603	1516	1342	1506	8423

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> try to activate a newspaper
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V423	VAR590	VAR1198	VAR295	VAR239	VAR2143
1 USED			4.6	6.5	6.6	4.9	8.7	6.3
2 NOT USED			94.9	92.3	91.7	93.7	91.3	92.7
6 DK				.6	1.3	.6		.5
8 NA			.5	.6	.5	.9		.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	7457
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	274	248	5650
MEAN			1.954	1.934	1.933	1.951	1.913	1.936
STD DEV			.210	.249	.250	.217	.282	.244
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1427	1601	1514	1336	1506	7384

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> lodge a (formal) complaint
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V424	VAR591	VAR1199	VAR296	VAR240	VAR2144
1 USED			7.9	9.5	10.9	9.1	16.6	10.8
2 NOT USED			91.4	89.2	87.4	89.4	83.4	88.1
6 DK				.8	1.3	.6		.5
8 NA			.7	.5	.4	.9		.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	7457
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	422	685	0	274	248	5650
MEAN			1.921	1.904	1.889	1.907	1.834	1.890
STD DEV			.270	.295	.314	.290	.372	.312
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1424	1599	1515	1336	1506	7380

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> contact department official
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE					VAR1200	VAR297	VAR241	VAR2145
1 USED					4.3	4.4	7.3	5.4
2 NOT USED					93.9	93.9	92.7	93.5
6 DK					1.3	.7		.7
8 NA					.5	1.1		.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	1541	1356	1506	4403
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	274	248	8704
MEAN					1.956	1.956	1.927	1.946
STD DEV					.206	.206	.260	.227
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	1514	1332	1506	4352

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> write to queen, prince etc.
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR651	VAR695						VAR2146
1 USED	7.8	4.5						6.7
2 NOT USED	90.7	94.3						91.9
6 DK	.9	.5						.7
8 DK	.6	.7						.6
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	0	0	0	0	0	3016
(N) DROPPED	515	490	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	10091
MEAN	1.921	1.954						1.932
STD DEV	.271	.209						.252
(N) VALID CASES	1951	1024	0	0	0	0	0	2975

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> acted with others
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR654							VAR2147
1 USED	22.5							22.5
2 NOT USED	75.9							75.9
6 DK	1.0							1.0
8 DK	.7							.7
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	0	0	0	0	0	1980
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	11127
MEAN	1.771							1.771
STD DEV	.420							.420
(N) VALID CASES	1947	0	0	0	0	0	0	1947

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> contact mayor/alderman/councillor
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR655							VAR2148
1 USED	22.4							22.4
2 NOT USED	76.1							76.1
6 DK	.9							.9
8 DK	.6							.6
(N) OF CASES	1980	0	0	0	0	0	0	1980
(N) DROPPED	515	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	11127
MEAN	1.772							1.772
STD DEV	.420							.420
(N) VALID CASES	1950	0	0	0	0	0	0	1950

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> try to activate media
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR656	VAR703						VAR2149
1 USED	6.8	7.2						7.0
2 NOT USED	91.6	92.1						91.7
6 DK	.9	.2						.6
8 DK	.8	.5						.7
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	0	0	0	0	0	3016
(N) DROPPED	515	490	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	10091
MEAN	1.931	1.927						1.930
STD DEV	.254	.260						.256
(N) VALID CASES	1948	1029	0	0	0	0	0	2977

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> contact municipal officer
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR657	VAR704						VAR2150
1 USED	17.1	20.5						18.2
2 NOT USED	81.2	78.7						80.3
6 DK	1.0	.4						.8
8 DK	.8	.5						.7
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	0	0	0	0	0	3016
(N) DROPPED	515	490	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	10091
MEAN	1.826	1.794						1.815
STD DEV	.379	.405						.388
(N) VALID CASES	1945	1027	0	0	0	0	0	2972

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Did <not> use other activities
 Question : We just asked you what actions could possibly be taken if one disagrees with proposals under consideration. This card here lists a number of possible actions. Please could you tell me whether or not you've used such actions at one time or another?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR659	VAR707						VAR2151
1 USED	3.8	1.4						3.0
2 NOT USED	91.6	41.9						74.5
6 DK	2.4	7.2						4.0
8 DK	2.2	49.5						18.5
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	0	0	0	0	0	3016
(N) DROPPED	515	490	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	10091
MEAN	1.960	1.969						1.962
STD DEV	.197	.174						.193
(N) VALID CASES	1889	448	0	0	0	0	0	2337

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Civic participation score
 Remark : Constructed from VAR2133 - VAR2151, see note 32 for more details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR923	VAR905	V690	VAR593	VAR1201	VAR298	VAR242	VAR2152
0 <LOW>	41.5	38.8	47.4	45.3	41.1	41.2	29.8	40.9
1	20.6	18.2	28.2	26.1	25.3	26.3	21.9	23.9
2	11.8	12.4	10.1	10.7	12.8	13.4	15.3	12.3
3	11.3	9.9	5.7	7.1	7.7	7.3	10.6	8.6
4	7.0	8.1	3.6	4.0	4.9	4.4	5.6	5.3
5	4.1	5.3	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.3	5.6	3.5
6	2.2	3.9	.7	1.4	1.8	1.5	3.2	2.0
7	1.0	2.0	.9	.7	1.9	1.3	2.9	1.5
8	.5	.9	.5	.9	.8	.7	1.3	.8
9		.2	.6	.7	.4	.6	1.2	.5
10		.3	.1	.7	.1	.4	1.2	.4
11				.1	.1	.4	.7	.2
12			.1	.1	.2	.1	.5	.1
13 <HIGH>					.2	.1	.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	10473
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	0	274	248	2634
MEAN	1.508	1.807	1.119	1.314	1.478	1.443	2.225	1.545
STD DEV	1.779	2.079	1.645	1.915	1.988	2.003	2.537	2.024
(N) VALID CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	10473

Topic : Political participation
 Item : Number of campaign activities
 Question : During the election campaign parties engage in all kinds of activities. Here is a list of such activities. Please indicate how many of these activities you have participated in for a political party.

<Showcard presented, listing:
 - displayed window-posters or campaign-boards;
 - distributed folders and the like;
 - engaged in conversations to gain votes for the party;
 - helped actively to organize an election rally or the like;
 - contributed money to a party's election campaign;
 - attended election rallies and the like>

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR918	V691	VAR593	VAR1202	VAR299	VAR243	VAR2153	
0 NOME OF THESE		79.0	76.3	70.6	70.4	71.5	73.2	73.5
1 ONE ACTIVITY		13.7	15.1	18.8	19.3	18.8	16.9	17.1
2 TWO ACTIVITIES		3.6	4.7	5.3	5.1	6.1	5.6	5.1
3 THREE ACTIVITIES		2.2	2.0	2.8	2.5	1.7	2.9	2.4
4 FOUR ACTIVITIES		.6	1.3	1.3	.5	1.0	.5	.9
5 FIVE ACTIVITIES		.5	.3	1.0	.8	.3	.7	.6
6 SIX ACTIVITIES		.4	.3	.2	1.3	.6	.2	.5
8 NA					.3			.0
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1434	1620	1541	1356	1506	8983
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	422	685	0	274	248	4124
MEAN		.346	.389	.496	.504	.453	.435	.438
STD DEV		.844	.869	.981	1.050	.912	.889	.930
(N) VALID CASES	0	1526	1434	1620	1537	1356	1506	8979

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Social protest and government reaction - item 1
 Question : People can express in various ways protest against or disapproval with decisions of - for instance - the government. I will mention a few of these ways. Please tell whether you approve, or disapprove of such actions.

Suppose someone considers a bill so unjust, that he or she decides to obstruct the government through sit-ins, mass-meetings, and protest demonstrations. Do you approve or disapprove of such behavior?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR661	VAR708	V434	VAR622				VAR2154
1 APPROVE	33.8	35.2	31.5	32.8				33.2
2 DON'T CARE MUCH	9.6	8.9	9.6	6.1				8.5
3 DISAPPROVE	51.9	51.4	54.3	56.5				53.6
6 DK	3.0	3.7	4.7	4.6				3.9
8 NA	1.7	.9	.1	.1				.7
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	6070
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	1541	1630	1754	7037
MEAN	2.190	2.169	2.239	2.249				2.214
STD DEV	.929	.938	.918	.935				.930
(N) VALID CASES	1888	989	1366	1545	0	0	0	5788

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Social protest and government reaction - item 2
 Question : People can express in various ways protest against or disapproval with decisions of - for instance - the government. I will mention a few of these ways. Please tell whether you approve, or disapprove of such actions.

The police arrests a number of participants <in such demonstrations>. Do you approve, or disapprove?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR662	VAR709	V435	VAR623				VAR2155
1 APPROVE	52.2	49.2	47.4	60.4				52.8
2 DON'T CARE MUCH	7.2	5.6	6.2	3.3				5.6
3 DISAPPROVE	30.4	36.6	37.1	26.9				32.1
6 DK	7.0	6.1	8.9	9.1				7.8
8 NA	3.3	2.5	.3	.3				1.7
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	6070
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	1541	1630	1754	7037
MEAN	1.757	1.862	1.886	1.630				1.772
STD DEV	.928	.960	.959	.910				.941
(N) VALID CASES	1776	947	1301	1468	0	0	0	5492

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Social protest and government reaction - item 3
 Question : People can express in various ways protest against or disapproval with decisions of - for instance - the government. I will mention a few of these ways. Please tell whether you approve, or disapprove of such actions.

The government decides to increase the (maximum) number of pupils in schoolclasses. As a protest parents and teachers occupy a school. Do you approve, or disapprove?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR663	VAR710	V436	VAR624				VAR2156
1 APPROVE	37.9	45.1	33.8	42.4				39.3
2 DON'T CARE MUCH	4.6	2.6	3.9	2.4				3.5
3 DISAPPROVE	50.3	47.1	57.0	48.9				51.0
6 DK	5.4	4.4	5.2	6.2				5.4
8 NA	1.9	.8	.1	.1				.8
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	6070
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	1541	1630	1754	7037
MEAN	2.133	2.021	2.246	2.069				2.124
STD DEV	.966	.986	.948	.985				.973
(N) VALID CASES	1836	982	1358	1518	0	0	0	5694

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Social protest and government reaction - item 4
 Question : People can express in various ways protest against or disapproval with decisions of - for instance - the government. I will mention a few of these ways. Please tell whether you approve, or disapprove of such actions.

The teachers who participated in the occupation are fired. Do you approve, or disapprove?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR664	VAR711	V437	VAR625				VAR2157
1 APPROVE	13.3	10.4	12.8	10.9				12.0
2 DON'T CARE MUCH	3.4	1.4	2.8	1.2				2.3
3 DISAPPROVE	75.8	81.8	77.8	80.6				78.6
6 DK	5.8	5.4	6.3	7.1				6.2
8 NA	1.8	1.0	.2	.2				.9
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	6070
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	1541	1630	1754	7037
MEAN	2.676	2.762	2.696	2.753				2.716
STD DEV	.712	.636	.698	.649				.680
(N) VALID CASES	1831	970	1340	1501	0	0	0	5642

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Social protest and government reaction - item 5
 Question : People can express in various ways protest against or disapproval with decisions of - for instance - the government. I will mention a few of these ways. Please tell whether you approve, or disapprove of such actions.

A firm considers laying off a number of employees. The employees occupy the firm. Do you approve, or disapprove?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR713	V438	VAR626				VAR2158
1 APPROVE		21.8	47.8	54.5				43.9
2 DON'T CARE MUCH		3.3	4.0	2.7				3.3
3 DISAPPROVE		68.1	34.7	29.7				41.2
6 DK		4.6	12.6	12.5				10.5
8 NA		2.1	.8	.7				1.1
(N) OF CASES	0	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	4090
(N) DROPPED	2495	490	422	685	1541	1630	1754	9017
MEAN		2.497	1.849	1.714				1.970
STD DEV		.847	.965	.943				.981
(N) VALID CASES	0	966	1242	1407	0	0	0	3615

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Social protest and government reaction - item 6
 Question : People can express in various ways protest against or disapproval with decisions of - for instance - the government. I will mention a few of these ways. Please tell whether you approve, or disapprove of such actions.

Police removes the employees which occupy the firm. Do you approve, or disapprove with such police-actions?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR666	VAR714	V439	VAR627			VAR2159
1 APPROVE		59.1	61.7	34.2	34.5			47.1
2 DON'T CARE MUCH		3.5	2.3	3.6	2.0			2.9
3 DISAPPROVE		27.9	26.9	48.7	48.6			38.2
6 DK		6.7	6.4	12.9	14.3			10.1
8 NA		2.8	2.7	.6	.6			1.7
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	6070
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	1541	1630	1754	7037
MEAN		1.655	1.618	2.168	2.166			1.899
STD DEV		.918	.911	.965	.975			.978
(N) VALID CASES	1791	942	1240	1379	0	0	0	5352

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Social protest and government reaction - item 7
 Question : People can express in various ways protest against or disapproval with decisions of - for instance - the government. I will mention a few of these ways. Please tell whether you approve, or disapprove of such actions.

A building have been vacated for a long time. A group of people decides to squat in it. Do you approve or disapprove?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR628				VAR2160
1 APPROVE				44.9				44.9
2 DON'T CARE MUCH				2.8				2.8
3 DISAPPROVE				45.0				45.0
6 DK				6.7				6.7
8 NA				.5				.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	0	0	0	1620
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	1541	1630	1754	11487
MEAN				2.001				2.001
STD DEV				.985				.985
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1503	0	0	0	1503

Topic : Legitimacy of social protest
 Item : Legitimacy of social protest score
 Remark : Constructed from VAR2154 - VAR2160, see note 33 for more details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR927	VAR907	V696	VAR629				VAR2161
0 <PROTEST NOT LEG>	16.2	12.6	13.6	10.1				13.3
1	24.0	22.9	15.9	13.9				19.2
2	22.2	20.7	17.2	14.6				18.7
3	19.2	14.9	17.4	15.7				17.1
4	12.1	14.2	14.9	15.7				14.1
5	6.2	6.8	11.2	11.8				9.0
6		8.0	9.7	8.8				6.0
7 <PROTEST LEGITIM>				9.4				2.5
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	6070
(N) DROPPED	515	490	422	685	1541	1630	1754	7037
MEAN	2.058	2.474	2.766	3.308				2.630
STD DEV	1.456	1.766	1.858	2.111				1.861
(N) VALID CASES	1980	1036	1434	1620	0	0	0	6070

PART II

Chapter 4

National problems

Topic : National problems
 Item : Most important national problem
 Question : And now I would like to ask you: in your opinion, what are the most important problems in our country?
 Note : See note 41 for codes

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR050	VAR039	V64	VAR011	VAR1011	VAR014	VAR306	VAR2162
1 AGRICULTURAL POL	1.0	.1	1.1			.8	.2	.5
2 TAXES TOO HIGH	2.7	2.9	1.0	.3	.2	.6	.2	1.2
3 TAXES TOO LOW				.0				.0
4 WELFARE FRAUD EM			.8					.1
5 WELFARE FRAUD EM				.0				.0
6 WELFARE FRAUD OT					.1			.0
7 OTHER TAX PROBLE	.2	.9	.1	.1		.5	.3	.3
8 COMMON MARKET-EU	.0		.1	.0	.1		.2	.1
9 DEVELOPMENT AID	.4	.2	.2	.1	.1	.2	.1	.2
10 OTHR FOREIGN REL	.0	.1	.1	.2	.1	.2		.1
11 CULTURE-RECREATI	1.1	.2	.2		.1	.2	.3	.3
12 NUCLEAR WEAPONS				1.5	5.5	9.6	.2	2.1
13 DEFENSE IN GENER	.7	1.1	.3	1.1	.7	.9	.4	.7
14 DEMOCRATIZATION	.3		.1	.2		.1	.3	.2
15 TOO MUCH GOVT SP				1.2	5.9	3.3	.5	1.4
16 WRONG EXPENDITUR	.6	1.0	.3	1.3	.3	1.7	.7	.9
17 ECONOMIC GROWTH				4.0	5.0	.8	.1	1.4
18 OTHR ECON-FINANC	2.5	2.1	2.7	4.3	2.0	2.5	2.2	2.7
19 NUCLR POWER-GENE			.5	3.9	1.7	1.8	.1	1.2
20 NUCLR POWER-GROW				.1				.0
21 NUCLR POWER-PROT				.1		.1		.0
22 ENERGY IN GENERA				.7	.3		.1	.2
23 SHORTAGE OF ENER				.1				.0
24 OTHR ENERGY PBS				.2		.5		.1
25 ETHICAL PROBLEMS	.8	1.4	.8	2.2	.8	2.8	1.8	1.5
26 CRIME	.4	.5	2.4	2.2	2.3	5.2	4.7	2.4
27 DEMONSTRATIONS	.8	.4	.1	.3	.1	.4	.1	.3
28 OTHR LAW+ORDER P	1.1	.7	.4	.3	.4	.5	.6	.6
29 PRICES-INFLATION	4.1	11.6	5.5	2.0	.4	.5	.1	3.4
30 PRICE-WAGE POLIC	.4	.5	.1	.3	.1	.2	.2	.3
31 DIFFERENC IN IN	1.4	1.6	1.0	.8	.3	1.2	2.1	1.2
32 INCOMES-WAGES	.3	.1		.3	.1	.2	.5	.2
33 OTHR INCOMES-PRI				.3	.3	.2		.1
34 SQUATTING-SQUATT				.8		.1		.1
35 CARE FOR THE ELD	1.6	.6	.5	.2	.1	.6	1.4	.8
36 CARE FOR OTHER G	.3	.1				.1	.3	.1
37 OTHER SOCIAL WOR	.2	.1	.5	.0			.2	.2
38 ISSUE OF REFUSE				.2	.2		.1	.1
39 POLLUTION	19.2	17.0	3.4	1.4	.3	1.5	2.2	6.9
40 OTHER POLLUTION				.8	.2	.9	40.3	5.7

41 FAVOR ETHNIC MIN	.2	.4	.3	1.2	.1	.3	.1	.4
42 DISCRIM ETHNIC M						.3		.0
43 ETHNIC MINOR GEN	1.7	1.1	1.0	.5	1.6	2.0	2.3	1.4
45 DISCRIM DUTCH MI						.2	.2	.0
46 DUTCH MINOR-GENE				.0	.1	.2	.1	.1
47 EDUCATION-SCIENC	.6	.5	.5	.2	.1	1.2	1.3	.6
48 POLITICIANS-PART	.9	1.4	.3	1.0	3.3	1.7	.8	1.3
49 LACK OF COOPERAT	1.4	7.6	1.6	.3	.5	.2	.2	1.5
50 RED TAPE-BUREACR	.2	.5	.1	.1	.1	.2	.5	.3
51 POLIT PERSONS-GR				.0	.3	.5	.2	.1
52 OTHER POLITICAL	.8	1.3	.3	.3	.3	.9	1.7	.8
53 RELIGIOUS PBS	.4	.8	.4	.3	.3	.1	.3	.4
54 OVERPOPULATION	2.2	2.6	.8	.4		.4	.4	1.0
55 OTHER PLANNING P	1.3	.5	1.1	.0		.1		.5
56 WELF BENEF TOO H	1.0	1.6	1.5	.3	.3	.2	.2	.7
57 WELF BENEF TOO L	1.2	.4	.2		.1	1.0	.6	.5
58 WELFARE FRAUD	.2	.3	.2	.9	.6	.4	.2	.4
59 WELF SERVICES-GE	.5	.8	.3	1.1	1.0	2.8	2.2	1.2
60 GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIE	.2	.1						.0
61 PUBLIC TRANSPORT	.2	.2					.1	.1
62 OTHER TRAFFIC PB	2.6	2.6	.6	.2		.1	.7	1.0
63 WELFARE STATE				.0				.0
64 PUBLIC HEALTH-FI							.5	.1
65 NARCOTICS	.8	.5	.9	.3	.1	.8	1.2	.7
66 ABORTION-GENERAL				1.3		.7	.7	.4
67 FREEING ABORTION				.0				.0
68 RESTRICTING ABOR				.1	.1			.0
69 EUTHANASIA						2.8	.6	.4
70 PUBLIC HEALTH-GE	1.4	.3	2.7	.2	.2	.3	1.9	1.0
71 WOMEN EMANC-PRO					.1	.1		.0
72 WOMEN EMANC-AGAIN				.0				.0
73 WOMEN EMANC-GENER				.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
74 UNEMPLOYMENT	2.3	9.5	54.2	44.2	56.4	36.4	16.0	30.3
75 LABOUR SHORTAGE	.3	.2	.1	.3	.2	.1	.1	.2
76 OTHER EMPLOYMENT				5.9	3.4	2.3	.3	1.7
77 HOUSING SHORTAGE-	23.9	13.4	4.9	1.5	.4	.2	.1	7.1
78 HOUSING SHORTAGE-	.2	.3	.1	.1	.1			.1
79 HOUS SHORTAGE-FI	.6	.6	.2	.6	.1		.1	.4
80 OTHER HOUSING PBS				3.3	.5	.1	.3	.7
81 OTHER PROBLEMS	3.5	2.3	.8					1.1
82 FASCISM, RACISM,					.3	.3	.1	.1
85 RIOT-POLICE BEHA				.0				.0
86 REDUCTION OF WOR						.6		.1
87 DISCRIMINATION						.8	.3	.1
88 YOUTH-UNEMPLOYME						1.4	.3	.2
89 STRIKES, WALKOUT						.1	.1	.0
90 THERE ARE NO PRO	.6	.3	.2	.2	.3	.3	.7	.4
91 UNCODABLE	1.0	.1	.1	1.3	.8	.3	.3	.6
96 DK	2.4	6.7	2.6	1.2	1.0	1.6	1.1	2.3
98 NA	7.0	.3	1.9	.7	.4	.4	3.2	2.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : National problems
 Item : Second important national problem
 Question : And now I would like to ask you: in your opinion, what are the most important problems in our country?
 Note : See note 41 for codes

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR051	VAR040	V65	VAR012	VAR1012	VAR015	VAR307	VAR2163
1 AGRICULTURAL POL	.4		1.3	.0		.3	.3	.4
2 TAXES TOO HIGH	2.0	1.6	1.1	.3	.1	.3	.2	.9
4 WELFARE FRAUD EM			.5		.1			.1
5 WELFARE FRAUD EM				.1	.1		.1	.0
6 WELFARE FRAUD OT						.1		.0
7 OTHER TAX PROBLE	.1	1.0	.3	.2	.3	.2	.3	.3
8 COMMON MARKET-EU	.2	.2	.1	.1		.1	.1	.1
9 DEVELOPMENT AID	.2	.5	.7	.0	.3	.1		.2
10 OTHR FOREIGN REL	.1		.2	.2			.2	.1
11 CULTURE-RECREATI	3.0	.7	.1	.3	.1	.1	.5	.8
12 NUCLEAR WEAPONS			.1	1.9	8.0	4.2		1.8
13 DEFENSE IN GENER	.8	1.2	.5	1.2	1.8	.9	.5	.9
14 DEMOCRATIZATION	.2	.1	.1	.1	.2	.1	.5	.2
15 TOO MUCH GOVT SP				1.3	6.6	2.7	.5	1.4
16 WRONG EXPENDITUR	.4	.5	.5	1.4	.1	.7	.7	.7
17 ECONOMIC GROWTH				3.5	3.4	.9	.1	1.1
18 OTHR ECON-FINANC	1.4	1.2	3.0	2.5	3.2	1.7	1.4	2.0
19 NUCLR POWER-GENE			1.6	4.0	3.0	2.3	.2	1.6
20 NUCLR POWER-GROW				.0				.0
21 NUCLR POWER-PROT				.2		.1		.1
22 ENERGY IN GENERA				2.1	.6	.1	.2	.5
23 SHORTAGE OF ENER				.1	.1		.1	.0
24 OTHR ENERGY PBS				.5	.2	.4		.2
25 ETHICAL PROBLEMS	.4	1.1	1.2	2.5	.8	1.8	.9	1.3
26 CRIME	.6	.8	3.2	2.6	4.3	5.0	5.0	2.9
27 DEMONSTRATIONS	.6	.2	.1	.3	.3	.3		.3
28 OTHR LAW+ORDER P	.6	.6	.6	.9	1.3	.8	.2	.7
29 PRICES-INFLATION	2.0	6.5	10.8	3.1	1.0	.7		3.4
30 PRICE-WAGE POLIC	.3	.1	.2	.5	.5	.2	.1	.3
31 DIFFERENCS IN IN	.8	1.6	2.5	.8	.7	.7	3.2	1.4
32 INCOMES-WAGES	.1	.2	.3	.6	.8	.2	.7	.4
33 OTHR INCOMES-PRI				.5	.1	.2		.1
34 SQUATTING-SQUATT				1.1	.1	.4		.3
35 CARE FOR THE ELD	1.8	.5	.6	.1	.3	1.0	1.5	.9
36 CARE FOR OTHER G	.4	.2		.0			.7	.2
37 OTHER SOCIAL WOR	.3	.2	.4	.1	.2	.2	.1	.2
38 ISSUE OF REFUSE				.5	.2	.2	.3	.2
39 POLLUTION	16.2	10.7	3.9	3.0	1.0	2.1	.7	5.9
40 OTHER POLLUTION				.8	.9	1.2	11.1	1.9
41 FAVOR ETHNIC MIN	.2	.6	.5	1.4	.1	.1	.1	.5

42 DISCRIM ETHNIC M				.2	.2	.4		.1
43 ETHNIC MINOR GEN	1.1	1.4	2.1	1.5	2.3	2.3	2.8	1.9
45 DISCRIM DUTCH MI				.0		.4	.1	.1
46 DUTCH MINOR-GENE		.1		.0	.1	.1	.1	.0
47 EDUCATION-SCIENC	1.0	1.2	.8	.3	.2	1.5	2.3	1.0
48 POLITICIANS-PART	.8	.5	.4	1.3	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.0
49 LACK OF COOPERAT	.7	2.2	.5	.2	.6	.2	.2	.6
50 RED TAPE-BUREACR	.2	.1	.4	.1	.1	.1	.2	.2
51 POLIT PERSONS-GR				.0	.3	.4	.1	.1
52 OTHER POLITICAL	.8	1.3	.5	.5	.2	.9	1.0	.7
53 RELIGIOUS PBS	.4	.2	.1	.3	.3	.1	.2	.2
54 OVERPOPULATION	1.4	1.4	.5	.2	.2	.1	.3	.6
55 OTHER PLANNING P	1.2	.7	1.7	.2		.3	.1	.6
56 WELF BENEF TOO H	1.2	1.8	4.6	.7	.6	.2	.1	1.3
57 WELF BENEF TOO L	1.0	.7	.4	.0	.1	.9	.2	.5
58 WELFARE FRAUD	.2	.2	.1	3.0	1.0	.6	.1	.8
59 WELF SERVICES-GE	.3	1.0	.7	1.7	2.1	4.8	2.7	1.8
60 GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIE	.3	.1	.1	.0		.1	.1	.1
61 PUBLIC TRANSPORT	.2	.4	.2	.0		.1	.1	.1
62 OTHER TRAFFIC PB	5.0	4.4	1.2		.1	.2	1.8	1.9
64 PUBLIC HEALTH-FI					.2	.7	.5	.2
65 NARCOTICS	.8	.5	1.3	.8	1.0	1.1	.6	.9
66 ABORTION-GENERAL				1.8		1.3	.6	.6
68 RESTRICTING ABOR				.0	.1			.0
69 EUTHANASIA				.1		2.5	.9	.4
70 PUBLIC HEALTH-GE	1.1	.8	3.5	.5	.4	.8	2.5	1.4
71 WOMN EMANC-PRO			.1	.1	.1			.0
72 WOMN EMANC-AGAIN				.1		.1		.0
73 WOMN EMANC-GENER				.3		.1	.1	.1
74 UNEMPLOYMENT	1.4	6.6	11.3	10.8	10.1	12.6	11.9	8.9
75 LABOUR SHORTAGE	.4	.3	.1	.5	.3	.2		.3
76 OTHR EMPLOYMENT				2.2	.8	1.3	.5	.7
77 HOUSNG SHORTAGE-	9.1	6.1	6.4	2.1	1.0	.6	.3	4.0
78 HOUSNG SHORTAGE-	.4		.1	.7	.1	.1		.2
79 HOUS SHORTAGE-FI	1.0	1.2	.8	.5	.3	.1	.1	.6
80 OTHR HOUSING PBS				5.9	1.2	.2	.2	1.2
81 OTHER PROBLEMS	1.6	1.8	1.0					.7
82 FASCISM, RACISM,					.5	.6	.1	.1
85 RIOT-POLICE BEHA				.0	.1			.0
86 REDUCTION OF WOR						.5		.1
87 DISCRIMINATION						1.5	.4	.2
88 YOUTH-UNEMPLOYME						1.2	.1	.2
89 STRIKES, WALKOUT							.1	.0
91 UNCODABLE	.3	.1	.1		2.1	.2	.2	.4
96 DK			.1					.0
99 INAP	34.9	34.5	26.8	24.3	31.0	29.3	37.3	31.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : National problems
 Item : Third important national problem
 Question : And now I would like to ask you: in your opinion, what are the most important problems in our country?
 Note : See note 41 for codes

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR052	VAR041	V66	VAR013	VAR1013	VAR016	VAR308	VAR2164
1 AGRICULTURAL POL	.3		.8				.1	.2
2 TAXES TOO HIGH	1.0	1.0	.6	.3	.1	.1	.1	.5
4 WELFARE FRAUD EM			.1					.0
5 WELFARE FRAUD EM				.1	.1	.1		.0
6 WELFARE FRAUD OT						.1		.0
7 OTHER TAX PROBLE	.2	.5	.4	.0	.1	.1	.3	.2
8 COMMON MARKET-EU	.1	.1	.1	.0			.1	.1
9 DEVELOPMENT AID	.1	.1	.5		.2	.1		.1
10 OTHR FOREIGN REL	.0	.1	.1	.1	.2	.1	.1	.1
11 CULTURE-RECREATI	2.1	.9	.4	.1	.1	.1	.4	.6
12 NUCLEAR WEAPONS		.1		1.5	2.7	1.2		.7
13 DEFENSE IN GENER	.5	.9	.5	1.2	1.2	.4	.3	.7
14 DEMOCRATIZATION			.1	.2	.1	.1	.2	.1
15 TOO MUCH GOVT SP				.3	1.4	1.0	.4	.4
16 WRONG EXPENDITUR	.3	.5	.6	.3	.1	.6	.4	.4
17 ECONOMIC GROWTH				1.1	1.2	.4		.4
18 OTHR ECON-FINANC	.9	.5	1.6	.9	.6	.6	.8	.9
19 NUCLR POWER-GENE			.9	2.7	1.1	.6		.8
20 NUCLR POWER-GROW					.1			.0
21 NUCLR POWER-PROT				.2				.0
22 ENERGY IN GENERA				1.0	.2	.2		.2
23 SHORTAGE OF ENER				.4				.1
24 OTHR ENERGY PBS				.4	.1	.2		.1
25 ETHICAL PROBLEMS	.3	.4	.9	1.3	.6	.9	.6	.7
26 CRIME	.2	.7	2.9	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.2	1.8
27 DEMONSTRATIONS	.3	.2	.1	.2	.3	.1		.2
28 OTHR LAW+ORDER P	.8	.3	.3	.7	.8	.4	.5	.5
29 PRICES-INFLATION	1.3	2.8	3.7	1.4	.6	.1	.1	1.4
30 PRICE-WAGE POLIC	.4	.5	.1	.3		.4	.1	.2
31 DIFFERENC IN IN	.8	1.0	.5	.5	.3	.6	.9	.7
32 INCOMES-WAGES	.2	.1	.1	.4	.4	.1	.4	.2
33 OTHR INCOMES-PRI				.1	.3	.1		.1
34 SQUATTING-SQUATT				.7		.1		.1
35 CARE FOR THE ELD	1.5	.3	.2	.0	.1	.4	.6	.5
36 CARE FOR OTHER G	.3		.1		.1	.1	.6	.2
37 OTHER SOCIAL WOR	.2		.4	.1	.1	.1	.1	.2
38 ISSUE OF REFUSE				.3	.4	.1	.2	.1
39 POLLUTION	7.3	3.9	3.7	2.2	.6	.9	.3	3.0
40 OTHER POLLUTION		.1		.9	.6	.6	3.4	.8

41 FAVOR ETHNIC MIN	.2	.4	.4	1.2		.1		.4
42 DISCRIM ETHNIC M				.1	.1	.1	.1	.0
43 ETHNIC MINOR GEN	.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.0	1.1
45 DISCRIM DUTCH MI						.1	.1	.0
46 DUTCH MINOR-GENE			.1	.0	.1			.0
47 EDUCATION-SCIENC	.9	1.6	1.0	.4	.4	.7	2.0	1.0
48 POLITICIANS-PART	.6	.1	.2	.7	1.0	.4	.5	.5
49 LACK OF COOPERAT	.2	1.2	.3	.3	.3	.1	.3	.4
50 RED TAPE-BUREACR	.2	.3	.2		.1	.3	.2	.2
51 POLIT PERSONS-GR				.0	.3	.1		.0
52 OTHER POLITICAL	.4	.5	.4	.3	.1	.7	.3	.4
53 RELIGIOUS PBS	.2		.2	.0	.1	.1	.4	.1
54 OVERPOPULATION	.5	.9	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1	.3
55 OTHER PLANNING P	.5	1.0	1.0	.0		.2	.1	.4
56 WELF BENEF TOO H	.8	1.9	1.7	.3	.4	.1	.1	.7
57 WELF BENEF TOO L	.6	.2	.2		.1	.3	.2	.2
58 WELFARE FRAUD	.0	.1	.2	1.4	.5	.5	.1	.4
59 WELF SERVICES-GE	.2	.7	.4	1.2	1.2	2.1	.9	.9
60 GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIE	.2		.1	.2	.1	.2		.1
61 PUBLIC TRANSPORT	.2	.3	.1	.0			.2	.1
62 OTHER TRAFFIC PB	3.2	2.7	1.2	.3		.1	.8	1.3
63 WELFARE STATE						.1		.0
64 PUBLIC HEALTH-FI					.1	.3	.2	.1
65 NARCOTICS	.6	.1	1.7	.7	.3	.7	.4	.7
66 ABORTION-GENERAL				1.0		.7	.4	.3
68 RESTRICTING ABOR				.1				.0
69 EUTHANASIA				.1		1.3	.2	.2
70 PUBLIC HEALTH-GE	1.0	.6	2.1	.2	.6	.5	1.6	.9
72 WOMEN EMANC-AGAIN				.0				.0
73 WOMEN EMANC-GENER				.2	.1		.3	.1
74 UNEMPLOYMENT	1.0	2.6	3.6	4.1	2.9	5.1	2.2	3.0
75 LABOUR SHORTAGE	.2		.1	.0	.2		.1	.1
76 OTHER EMPLOYMENT				.6	.7	.8	.1	.3
77 HOUSING SHORTAGE-	4.2	3.2	2.4	1.0	1.0	.2	.1	1.8
78 HOUSING SHORTAGE-	.2	.2	.2	.2	.1			.1
79 HOUS SHORTAGE-FI	.5	.7	.3	.3	.2	.1		.3
80 OTHER HOUSING PBS				2.7	1.0	.3	.3	.7
81 OTHER PROBLEMS	1.0	.7	.4					.3
82 FASCISM, RACISM,					.3	.5	.1	.1
85 RIOT-POLICE BEHA				.1				.0
86 REDUCTION OF WOR						.3		.0
87 DISCRIMINATION						.4	.3	.1
88 YOUTH-UNEMPLOYME						.6		.1
91 UNCODABLE	.1	.1			1.8	.2	.3	.3
96 DK			.1					.0
99 INAP	63.0	63.8	60.5	58.2	68.2	66.7	73.0	64.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : National problems
 Item : Fourth important national problem
 Question : And now I would like to ask you: in your opinion, what are the most important problems in our country?
 Note : See note 41 for codes

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR053	VAR042	V67	VAR014	VAR1014	VAR017	VAR309	VAR2165
1 AGRICULTURAL POL	.1		.2	.0			.1	.1
2 TAXES TOO HIGH	.4	.3	.4		.1			.2
4 WELFARE FRAUD EM			.2			.1		.0
5 WELFARE FRAUD EM				.0				.0
6 WELFARE FRAUD OT						.1		.0
7 OTHER TAX PROBLE	.0	.3	.2			.1		.1
8 COMMON MARKET-EU			.1		.1		.1	.0
9 DEVELOPMENT AID	.2	.3	.2	.0	.1		.1	.1
10 OTHR FOREIGN REL		.1			.1	.1		.0
11 CULTURE-RECREATI	1.0	.3	.1	.1			.1	.3
12 NUCLEAR WEAPONS				.7	.5	.3		.2
13 DEFENSE IN GENER	.3	.3	.4	.5	.1	.3	.2	.3
14 DEMOCRATIZATION					.1	.1		.0
15 TOO MUCH GOVT SP				.1	.3	.2	.1	.1
16 WRONG EXPENDITUR	.0	.5		.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
17 ECONOMIC GROWTH				.2	.1	.1		.0
18 OTHR ECON-FINANC	.6		.5	.3	.3	.6	.2	.4
19 NUCLR POWER-GENE			.5	1.2	.4	.1		.3
20 NUCLR POWER-GROW				.0				.0
21 NUCLR POWER-PROT				.3				.1
22 ENERGY IN GENERA				.3	.2	.1		.1
23 SHORTAGE OF ENER				.0				.0
24 OTHR ENERGY PBS				.0				.0
25 ETHICAL PROBLEMS	.4	.1	.4	.3	.4	.2	.2	.3
26 CRIME	.1	.1	.9	1.1	.6	.4	.6	.6
27 DEMONSTRATIONS	.1			.0	.1	.1		.1
28 OTHR LAW+ORDER P	.3	.4	.3	.1	.2		.1	.2
29 PRICES-INFLATION	.5	.5	1.3	.3		.1		.4
30 PRICE-WAGE POLIC			.1	.1		.1		.0
31 DIFFERENC IN IN	.3	.3	.3	.2		.1	.5	.3
32 INCOMES-WAGES	.1	.2	.1	.1	.2			.1
33 OTHR INCOMES-PRI				.1				.0
34 SQUATTING-SQUATT				.7	.1			.1
35 CARE FOR THE ELD	.6	.1	.2	.1			.2	.2
36 CARE FOR OTHER G	.2	.1		.0		.1	.1	.1
37 OTHER SOCIAL WOR	.2	.1		.0		.1	.1	.1
38 ISSUE OF REFUSE				.3	.1	.1		.1
39 POLLUTION	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.1	.2	.3		1.0
40 OTHER POLLUTION				.3	.3	.2	.4	.2

41 FAVOR ETHNIC MIN	.0	.1	.2	.4		.1		.1
42 DISCRIM ETHNIC M						.1		.0
43 ETHNIC MINOR GEN	.6	.3	.5	.4	.3	.2	.4	.4
44 FAVOR DUTCH MINO						.1		.0
46 DUTCH MINOR-GENE				.1				.0
47 EDUCATION-SCIENC	.4	.4	.2	.6	.3	.4	.5	.4
48 POLITICIANS-PART	.5		.1	.3	.4	.1	.1	.2
49 LACK OF COOPERAT	.2	.5	.1	.0	.1	.1		.1
50 RED TAPE-BUREACR	.2	.1	.2	.0			.1	.1
51 POLIT PERSONS-GR				.1		.1		.0
52 OTHER POLITICAL	.8	.3	.2	.2	.1	.1	.1	.3
53 RELIGIOUS PBS	.3	.1	.2	.1			.1	.1
54 OVERPOPULATION	.3	.2	.1	.0			.1	.1
55 OTHER PLANNING P	.2	.2	.3	.1		.1		.1
56 WELF BENEF TOO H	.2	.4	.5	.2				.2
57 WELF BENEF TOO L	.1	.1	.4		.1	.1	.1	.1
58 WELFARE FRAUD	.0	.1		.4	.3	.1		.1
59 WELF SERVICES-GE	.1	.3	.1	.7	.1	.8	.4	.4
60 GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIE	.2		.2	.1		.1		.1
61 PUBLIC TRANSPORT	.2	.3	.1				.1	.1
62 OTHER TRAFFIC PB	1.0	.8	.6	.1		.1	.2	.4
63 WELFARE STATE							.1	.0
64 PUBLIC HEALTH-FI				.1	.2	.1	.1	.1
65 NARCOTICS	.4	.2	.6	.2	.2	.2	.2	.3
66 ABORTION-GENERAL				.3	.1	.2		.1
68 RESTRICTING ABOR				.0				.0
69 EUTHANASIA						.7	.3	.1
70 PUBLIC HEALTH-GE	.6	.2	.8	.3	.1	.2	.6	.4
71 WOMEN EMANC-PRO			.1	.0				.0
73 WOMEN EMANC-GENER				.0	.1	.1	.2	.0
74 UNEMPLOYMENT	.4	1.4	1.2	.7	.6	1.0	.3	.8
75 LABOUR SHORTAGE	.1		.1	.0	.1			.1
76 OTHER EMPLOYMENT				.5	.1	.1	.1	.1
77 HOUSING SHORTAGE-	1.1	.9	.7	.3	.3		.1	.5
78 HOUSING SHORTAGE-	.2	.1	.1	.0	.1		.1	.1
79 HOUS SHORTAGE-FI	.3	.2	.1	.2	.1			.1
80 OTHER HOUSING PBS				1.0	.4	.1	.2	.2
81 OTHER PROBLEMS	.7	.6	.2					.2
82 FASCISM, RACISM,					.1	.2		.0
86 REDUCTION OF WOR						.1		.0
87 DISCRIMINATION							.2	.0
88 YOUTH-UNEMPLOYME						.1		.0
91 UNCODABLE	.2		.2		.6	.1		.1
99 INAP	83.2	86.8	84.4	83.3	91.0	90.6	92.7	86.9
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : National problems
 Item : Fifth important national problem
 Question : And now I would like to ask you: in your opinion, what are the most important problems in our country?
 Note : See note 41 for codes

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR054	VAR043	V68	VAR015	VAR1015	VAR018	VAR310	VAR2166
1 AGRICULTURAL POL	.0		.2	.0				.0
2 TAXES TOO HIGH	.2		.1	.1		.1		.1
7 OTHER TAX PROBLE		.1	.1				.2	.0
8 COMMON MARKET-EU	.1		.1	.0				.0
9 DEVELOPMENT AID			.2	.0				.0
10 OTHR FOREIGN REL			.1					.0
11 CULTURE-RECREATI	.4	.2	.1			.1		.1
12 NUCLEAR WEAPONS				.2	.2	.3		.1
13 DEFENSE IN GENER	.1	.2	.1	.3		.1		.1
14 DEMOCRATIZATION				.0			.1	.0
15 TOO MUCH GOVT SP				.0			.1	.0
16 WRONG EXPENDITUR		.1	.1	.0		.1		.0
17 ECONOMIC GROWTH					.1			.0
18 OTHR ECON-FINANC	.1			.1	.1			.1
19 NUCLR POWER-GENE			.1	.3				.1
21 NUCLR POWER-PROT				.1				.0
24 OTHR ENERGY PBS				.0				.0
25 ETHICAL PROBLEMS	.0		.2	.3	.1	.1	.1	.1
26 CRIME	.1	.1	.2	.2		.2	.3	.1
27 DEMONSTRATIONS	.0							.0
28 OTHR LAW+ORDER P	.2		.1	.1	.1	.1		.1
29 PRICES-INFLATION	.2	.4	.3	.0	.1			.1
30 PRICE-WAGE POLIC	.0	.1		.1				.0
31 DIFFERENCS IN IN	.2	.1	.1		.1		.1	.1
34 SQUATTING-SQUATT				.1				.0
35 CARE FOR THE ELD	.2	.1	.1		.1	.1	.1	.1
36 CARE FOR OTHER G						.1	.1	.0
37 OTHER SOCIAL WOR							.1	.0
39 POLLUTION	.6	.6	.5	.4	.3			.4
40 OTHER POLLUTION				.3			.2	.1
41 FAVOR ETHNIC MIN	.1		.2	.1				.1
42 DISCRIM ETHNIC M						.1		.0
43 ETHNIC MINOR GEN	.2	.3	.3	.2			.1	.2
44 FAVOR DUTCH MINO						.1		.0
47 EDUCATION-SCIENC	.2	.2	.4	.2	.1			.2
48 POLITICIANS-PART	.2		.1	.2				.1
49 LACK OF COOPERAT	.1	.1		.0				.0

50 RED TAPE-BUREACR	.1	.1	.2					.1
52 OTHER POLITICAL	.3	.1	.1				.1	.1
53 RELIGIOUS PBS	.1		.1					.0
54 OVERPOPULATION	.1	.1	.1					.0
55 OTHER PLANNING P	.2	.1	.3	.0				.1
56 WELF BENEF TOO H	.0	.1	.3					.1
57 WELF BENEF TOO L		.1	.1					.0
58 WELFARE FRAUD			.1	.2				.0
59 WELF SERVICES-GE	.2		.1	.2		.2	.1	.1
60 GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIE	.0		.1	.0				.0
61 PUBLIC TRANSPORT		.1	.1	.0			.1	.0
62 OTHER TRAFFIC PB	.2	.1	.1		.1			.1
65 NARCOTICS	.2		.1	.2				.1
66 ABORTION-GENERAL				.2	.1		.1	.1
69 EUTHANASIA				.0		.1	.1	.0
70 PUBLIC HEALTH-GE	.2	.1	.5	.0		.1		.2
71 WOMN EMANC-PRO			.1	.0				.0
73 WOMN EMANC-GENER				.0				.0
74 UNEMPLOYMENT	.3	.5	.2	.1	.2	.2	.1	.2
75 LABOUR SHORTAGE	.0			.1				.0
76 OTHR EMPLOYMENT					.1	.1		.0
77 HOUSNG SHORTAGE-	.2	.5	.2	.1				.1
78 HOUSNG SHORTAGE-	.1	.2		.1				.1
79 HOUS SHORTAGE-FI	.1			.0				.0
80 OTHR HOUSING PBS				.3			.1	.1
81 OTHER PROBLEMS	.1	.1	.1					.0
82 FASCISM, RACISM,					.1	.1		.0
87 DISCRIMINATION						.1	.1	.0
90 THERE ARE NO PRO			.1					.0
91 UNCODABLE	.1		.1		.1			.0
99 INAP	94.2	95.3	94.2	94.5	98.3	98.0	98.2	95.9
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : National problems
 Item : Multiple response of national problems
 Note : Mult response groups=problems 'problems'
 (var2162 to var2166(1,99))
 /variables=var2001(1971,1989)/tables=problems by var2001
 /missing=include/cells=column/base=responses

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
1 AGRICULTURAL POLICY	.4	.0	.7	.0	.0	.2	.1	.2
2 TAXES TOO HIGH	1.3	1.2	.6	.2	.1	.2	.1	.6
3 TAXES TOO LOW	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
4 WELFARE FRAUD EMPLRS	.0	.0	.3	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
5 WELFARE FRAUD EMPLD	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0
6 WELFARE FRAUD OTHER	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
7 OTHER TAX PROBLEMS	.1	.6	.2	.1	.1	.2	.2	.2
8 COMMON MARKET-EUROPE	.1	.1	.1	.0	.0	.0	.1	.1
9 DEVELOPMENT AID	.2	.2	.4	.0	.1	.1	.0	.1
10 OTHR FOREIGN RELATNS	.0	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
11 CULTURE-RECREATION	1.5	.4	.2	.1	.0	.1	.3	.4
12 NUCLEAR WEAPONS	.0	.0	.0	1.2	3.4	3.1	.0	1.0
13 DEFENSE IN GENERAL	.5	.7	.4	.9	.8	.5	.3	.6
14 DEMOCRATIZATION	.1	.0	.1	.1	.1	.0	.2	.1
15 TOO MUCH GOVT SPENDG	.0	.0	.0	.6	2.8	1.4	.3	.7
16 WRONG EXPENDITURES	.3	.5	.3	.6	.1	.6	.4	.4
17 ECONOMIC GROWTH	.0	.0	.0	1.8	1.9	.4	.0	.6
18 OTHR ECON-FINANC PBS	1.1	.8	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.1	.9	1.2
19 NUCLR POWER-GENERAL	.0	.0	.7	2.4	1.2	.9	.0	.8
20 NUCLR POWER-GROWTH	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
21 NUCLR POWER-PROTEST	.0	.0	.0	.2	.0	.0	.0	.0
22 ENERGY IN GENERAL	.0	.0	.0	.8	.3	.1	.1	.2
23 SHORTAGE OF ENERGY	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0
24 OTHR ENERGY PBS	.0	.0	.0	.2	.1	.2	.0	.1
25 ETHICAL PROBLEMS	.4	.6	.7	1.3	.5	1.2	.7	.8
26 CRIME	.3	.4	1.9	1.7	1.9	2.6	2.5	1.6
27 DEMONSTRATIONS	.4	.2	.0	.2	.2	.2	.0	.2
28 OTHR LAW+ORDER PBS	.6	.4	.4	.4	.6	.3	.3	.4
29 PRICES-INFLATION	1.6	4.4	4.3	1.4	.4	.3	.0	1.8
30 PRICE-WAGE POLICY	.2	.2	.1	.3	.1	.2	.1	.2
31 DIFFERENC IN INCOME	.7	.9	.9	.5	.3	.5	1.4	.7
32 INCOMES-WAGES	.1	.1	.1	.3	.3	.1	.3	.2
33 OTHR INCOMES-PRICES	.0	.0	.0	.2	.1	.1	.0	.1
34 SQUATTING-SQUATTERS	.0	.0	.0	.7	.0	.1	.0	.1
35 CARE FOR THE ELDERLY	1.2	.3	.3	.1	.1	.4	.8	.5
36 CARE FOR OTHER GROUP	.2	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.4	.1
37 OTHER SOCIAL WORK	.2	.1	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1
38 ISSUE OF REFUSE	.0	.0	.0	.3	.2	.1	.1	.1
39 POLLUTION	9.0	6.8	2.6	1.6	.5	1.0	.6	3.4
40 OTHER POLLUTION PBS	.0	.0	.0	.6	.4	.6	11.1	1.7
41 FAVOR ETHNIC MINOR	.1	.3	.3	.9	.0	.1	.0	.3
42 DISCRIM ETHNIC MINOR	.0	.0	.0	.1	.1	.2	.0	.0

Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies 1971 - 1989

Chapter 4


43	ETHNIC MINOR GENERAL	.8	.9	1.1	.8	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.0
44	FAVOR DUTCH MINOR	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
45	DISCRIM DUTCH MINOR	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.1	.1	.0
46	DUTCH MINOR-GENERAL	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.1	.0	.0
47	EDUCATION-SCIENCE	.6	.8	.5	.3	.2	.8	1.2	.6
48	POLITICIANS-PARTIES	.6	.4	.2	.7	1.3	.6	.5	.6
49	LACK OF COOPERATION	.5	2.3	.5	.2	.3	.1	.1	.5
50	RED TAPE-BUREACRACY	.2	.2	.2	.1	.1	.1	.2	.1
51	POLIT PERSONS-GROUPS	.0	.0	.0	.0	.2	.2	.1	.1
52	OTHER POLITICAL PBS	.6	.7	.3	.2	.1	.5	.6	.4
53	RELIGIOUS PBS	.3	.2	.2	.1	.1	.1	.2	.2
54	OVERPOPULATION	.9	1.0	.3	.1	.1	.1	.2	.4
55	OTHER PLANNING PBS	.7	.5	.9	.1	.0	.1	.0	.3
56	WELF BENEF TOO HIGH	.7	1.2	1.7	.3	.3	.1	.1	.6
57	WELF BENEF TOO LOW	.6	.3	.2	.0	.1	.5	.2	.3
58	WELFARE FRAUD	.1	.1	.1	1.2	.5	.3	.1	.4
59	WELF SERVICES-GENERA	.2	.6	.3	1.0	.9	2.1	1.3	.9
60	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES	.2	.0	.1	.1	.0	.1	.0	.1
61	PUBLIC TRANSPORT	.1	.2	.1	.0	.0	.0	.1	.1
62	OTHER TRAFFIC PBS	2.4	2.1	.7	.1	.0	.1	.7	.9
63	WELFARE STATE	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
64	PUBLIC HEALTH-FINANC	.0	.0	.0	.0	.1	.2	.3	.1
65	NARCOTICS	.6	.2	.9	.4	.3	.6	.5	.5
66	ABORTION-GENERAL	.0	.0	.0	.9	.0	.6	.4	.3
67	FREEING ABORTION	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
68	RESTRICTING ABORTION	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0
69	EUTHANASIA	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	1.5	.4	.2
70	PUBLIC HEALTH-GENERA	.9	.4	1.9	.2	.2	.4	1.3	.8
71	WOMEN EMANC-PRO	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
72	WOMEN EMANC-AGAINST	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
73	WOMEN EMANC-GENERAL	.0	.0	.0	.1	.0	.0	.1	.1
74	UNEMPLOYMENT	1.1	4.1	14.1	12.0	14.0	11.1	6.1	8.6
75	LABOUR SHORTAGE	.2	.1	.1	.2	.2	.0	.0	.1
76	OTHER EMPLOYMENT PBS	.0	.0	.0	1.8	1.0	.9	.2	.6
77	HOUSING SHORTAGE-QUAN	7.7	4.8	2.9	1.0	.5	.2	.1	2.7
78	HOUSING SHORTAGE-QUAL	.2	.1	.1	.2	.1	.0	.0	.1
79	HOUS SHORTAGE-FINANC	.5	.5	.3	.3	.1	.0	.0	.3
80	OTHER HOUSING PBS	.0	.0	.0	2.6	.6	.1	.2	.6
81	OTHER PROBLEMS	1.4	1.1	.5	.0	.0	.0	.0	.5
82	FASCISM, RACISM, ANT	.0	.0	.0	.0	.2	.3	.0	.1
85	RIOT-POLICE BEHAVIOR	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
86	REDUCTION OF WORKING	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.3	.0	.0
87	DISCRIMINATION	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.5	.3	.1
88	YOUTH-UNEMPLOYMENT	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.7	.1	.1
89	STRIKES, WALKOUTS, L	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
90	THERE ARE NO PROBLEM	.1	.1	.1	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1
91	UNCODABLE	.3	.0	.1	.3	1.1	.1	.2	.3
96	DK	.5	1.3	.6	.2	.2	.3	.2	.5
98	NA	1.4	.1	.4	.1	.1	.1	.6	.5
99	INAP	55.1	56.1	53.2	52.1	57.7	56.9	60.2	55.6
	(N) OF RESPONSES	12475	7630	9280	11525	7705	8150	8770	65535
	(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Percentages are based on (N) of responses

Topic : National problems
 Item : Number of national problems mentioned
 Note : Constructed on the basis of var2162 to var2166

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
0 NO PROBLEMS	10.2	7.1	4.5	3.1	2.1	2.3	4.5	5.1
1	25.0	27.6	22.5	21.2	31.0	27.1	33.1	26.3
2	28.0	29.2	33.5	33.9	36.7	37.5	35.6	33.2
3	20.2	23.0	24.0	25.1	22.2	23.7	19.6	22.5
4	10.9	8.5	10.1	11.2	6.4	7.5	5.5	8.9
5 FIVE PROBLEMS	5.7	4.7	5.4	5.5	1.6	1.9	1.8	4.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	2.131	2.123	2.290	2.364	2.047	2.128	1.937	2.158
STD DEV	1.338	1.239	1.207	1.181	1.009	1.027	1.040	1.177
(N) VALID CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

National problem
Number of national problems mentioned



PART II

Chapter 5

Political issues

There are many political problems which are in the news. People talk and read about these problems and many different opinions exist about them. We will ask you some questions on such matters. They concern abortion, stockpiling of nuclear weapons, differences in incomes, and nuclear energy.

First we will ask you about the positions of political parties on these matters, and then about your own opinion.

First abortion: voluntary ending of pregnancy. Some people think that the government should forbid abortion in all circumstances, other people think that each woman should have the right to decide for herself whether or not she wants an abortion. Of course there are also people who have an intermediate opinion. Suppose we place the persons who would like to forbid in all circumstances at the left of this line, at number 1, and the persons who think each woman has the right to decide for herself at the other end, at number 7. <showcard presented>

First we'll ask you to indicate the position of a number of political parties on this line. If you don't know what position a party has, don't hesitate to tell so.

Topic : Political issues - abortion
 Item : Abortion - perception of CDA
 Question : Can you place the CDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V104	VAR350	VAR1145	VAR034	VAR037	VAR2167
1 FORBID ABORTION			29.9	21.4	22.7	23.6	21.5	23.9
2			19.2	20.6	23.2	23.7	22.8	21.8
3			11.0	18.1	16.2	19.8	20.8	17.1
4			8.1	15.8	12.7	11.3	14.9	12.6
5			4.0	6.2	6.4	3.7	4.6	5.0
6			1.8	1.7	2.7	1.6	1.7	1.9
7 WOMAN DECIDES			2.9	1.4	1.8	1.2	1.7	1.8
8 DK			22.8	14.6	14.1	14.8	12.0	15.8
9 NA			.3	.1	.1	.2	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1630	1754	8593
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	0	0	4514
MEAN			2.399	2.714	2.678	2.503	2.656	2.592
STD DEV			1.601	1.446	1.517	1.361	1.403	1.471
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1427	1546	1321	1385	1541	7220

Topic : Political issues - abortion
 Item : Abortion - perception of PVDA
 Question : Can you place the PVDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V105	VAR351	VAR1146	VAR035	VAR038	VAR2168
1 FORBID ABORTION			2.9	1.4	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2
2			2.6	1.8	1.8	2.5	2.9	2.3
3			4.0	2.4	2.9	3.5	3.4	3.2
4			8.5	5.8	5.2	7.6	9.5	7.4
5			9.4	11.1	9.9	12.5	15.6	11.7
6			17.8	22.9	23.0	23.7	24.6	22.3
7 WOMAN DECIDES			29.7	36.1	35.3	29.7	25.4	31.2
8 DK			24.8	18.3	19.5	18.0	16.3	19.4
9 NA			.3	.2	.1	.2	.3	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1630	1754	8593
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	0	0	4514
MEAN			5.550	5.901	5.848	5.633	5.504	5.685
STD DEV			1.670	1.379	1.482	1.527	1.503	1.522
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1391	1478	1238	1334	1463	6904

Topic : Political issues - abortion
 Item : Abortion - perception of VVD
 Question : Can you place the VVD on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V106	VAR352	VAR1147	VAR036	VAR039	VAR2169
1 FORBID ABORTION			3.7	3.6	3.0	3.7	2.2	3.3
2			4.0	5.8	3.9	5.4	4.8	4.8
3			6.5	7.7	6.7	8.2	8.2	7.4
4			18.3	14.8	13.1	14.8	14.9	15.3
5			12.6	16.0	10.8	13.8	14.9	13.7
6			11.4	15.5	17.1	16.8	18.7	15.8
7 WOMAN DECIDES			11.4	12.1	18.9	16.0	15.8	14.7
8 DK			31.7	24.2	26.4	21.1	20.2	24.8
9 NA			.4	.3	.1	.2	.2	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1630	1754	8593
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	0	0	4514
MEAN			4.649	4.705	5.067	4.827	4.948	4.835
STD DEV			1.666	1.679	1.712	1.726	1.618	1.685
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1259	1368	1133	1283	1396	6439

Topic : Political issues - abortion
 Item : Abortion - perception of D66
 Question : Can you place the D66 on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR353	VAR1148	VAR037	VAR040	VAR2170
1 FORBID ABORTION				.8	1.4	1.6	1.0	1.2
2				1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9
3				3.6	4.3	2.9	4.3	3.8
4				8.3	10.6	10.1	12.4	10.3
5				15.4	14.3	14.2	14.3	14.6
6				21.4	20.6	18.2	22.1	20.6
7 WOMAN DECIDES				15.4	13.7	14.2	15.8	14.8
8 DK				33.1	33.0	36.3	27.8	32.5
9 NA				.3	.1	.4	.3	.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1630	1754	6737
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	0	0	6370
MEAN				5.430	5.256	5.292	5.318	5.328
STD DEV				1.347	1.445	1.461	1.402	1.412
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1206	1032	1031	1260	4529

Topic : Political issues - abortion
 Item : Abortion - respondent's preference
 Question : Can you place yourself on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89	
VARIABLE				V107	VAR354	VAR1149	VAR038	VAR041	VAR2171
1 FORBID ABORTION			15.6	12.9	12.6	11.3	12.4	13.1	
2			10.7	9.1	7.4	7.4	7.4	8.5	
3			6.1	6.1	5.0	5.9	5.3	5.7	
4			14.1	12.0	9.7	12.4	10.5	11.8	
5			7.7	8.8	8.2	9.2	9.7	8.7	
6			10.2	12.5	13.0	12.8	16.2	12.9	
7 WOMAN DECIDES			30.3	34.3	39.1	38.0	37.6	35.6	
8 DK			5.0	4.0	4.9	2.8	.9	3.5	
9 NA			.3	.3	.1	.2	.1	.2	
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	8441	
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	0	4666	
MEAN			4.471	4.768	4.990	4.969	4.987	4.826	
STD DEV			2.275	2.216	2.218	2.151	2.161	2.215	
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1758	1734	1464	1433	1737	8126	

Here we have another showcard. As you maybe know, some people are afraid that rather soon there will be a shortage of energy in the world. One way to relieve this is to build nuclear power plants. Some people therefore believe that the Netherlands should quickly increase the number of such plants. Others however, consider the dangers too great and think that no nuclear powerplants should be build at all.
<showcard presented>

Topic : Political issues - nuclear energy
Item : Nuclear plants - perception of CDA
Question : Can you place the CDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V108	VAR355	VAR1150	VAR039	VAR042	VAR2172
1 MORE NUCLEAR PLANTS			4.7	11.9	14.1	26.3	7.5	12.3
2			7.5	16.0	17.7	22.7	12.1	14.8
3			12.6	16.7	17.2	14.7	21.1	16.5
4			11.1	16.8	16.2	9.7	21.7	15.2
5			5.7	8.7	8.4	2.9	10.4	7.3
6			2.7	4.0	5.1	3.9	4.4	4.0
7 NO NUCLEAR PLANTS			2.7	3.1	2.6	3.3	3.0	2.9
8 DK			52.7	22.7	18.6	16.2	19.6	26.8
9 NA			.3	.1	.1	.2	.2	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	8441
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	0	4666
MEAN			3.520	3.244	3.158	2.585	3.504	3.193
STD DEV			1.567	1.593	1.607	1.635	1.467	1.608
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	873	1399	1253	1235	1407	6167

Topic : Political issues - nuclear energy
Item : Nuclear plants - perception of PVDA
Question : Can you place the PVDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V109	VAR356	VAR1151	VAR040	VAR043	VAR2173
1 MORE NUCLEAR PLANTS			3.8	3.0	5.9	5.0	3.6	4.2
2			6.8	5.2	4.6	3.5	5.8	5.3
3			7.8	5.3	4.5	2.4	5.8	5.3
4			10.0	7.3	4.7	4.9	6.7	6.9
5			9.5	11.5	8.8	6.6	10.7	9.6
6			9.0	20.1	19.2	20.0	23.3	18.1
7 NO NUCLEAR PLANTS			7.1	25.1	35.0	41.7	25.4	25.9
8 DK			45.7	22.2	17.3	15.6	18.5	24.5
9 NA			.3	.2	.1	.3	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	8441
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	0	4666
MEAN			4.297	5.314	5.461	5.754	5.293	5.265
STD DEV			1.793	1.748	1.907	1.774	1.782	1.855
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1001	1406	1273	1244	1427	6351

Topic : Political issues - nuclear energy
 Item : Nuclear plants - perception of VVD
 Question : Can you place the VVD on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V110	VAR357	VAR1152	VAR041	VAR044	VAR2174
1 MORE NUCLEAR PLANTS			8.1	20.8	19.7	31.3	13.6	18.1
2			10.8	17.4	18.6	19.1	20.8	17.2
3			8.6	10.9	12.2	10.2	14.0	11.2
4			7.6	9.4	11.6	10.1	11.4	10.0
5			5.6	5.5	6.6	3.9	9.1	6.2
6			3.8	4.8	4.7	3.4	6.5	4.7
7 NO NUCLEAR PLANTS			2.9	3.3	4.4	3.7	2.5	3.3
8 DK			52.3	27.5	22.1	18.2	22.1	29.2
9 NA			.4	.3	.1	.2	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	8441
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	0	4666
MEAN			3.305	2.850	2.985	2.522	3.142	2.945
STD DEV			1.772	1.772	1.788	1.716	1.678	1.761
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	879	1308	1199	1206	1365	5957

Topic : Political issues - nuclear energy
 Item : Nuclear plants - perception of D66
 Question : Can you place the D66 on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR358	VAR1153	VAR042	VAR045	VAR2175
1 MORE NUCLEAR PLANTS				1.3	3.0	2.4	1.7	2.0
2				3.6	4.0	2.7	4.0	3.6
3				5.8	8.8	7.1	6.6	7.0
4				10.8	18.7	18.1	14.1	15.2
5				13.6	16.2	15.1	14.0	14.7
6				17.9	14.2	12.7	19.2	16.2
7 NO NUCLEAR PLANTS				14.7	8.9	6.8	11.9	10.8
8 DK				31.8	26.0	34.7	28.4	30.2
9 NA				.6	.1	.3	.2	.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1478	1754	6585
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	152	0	6522
MEAN				5.136	4.616	4.638	4.956	4.853
STD DEV				1.549	1.548	1.471	1.544	1.546
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1225	1138	960	1252	4575

Topic : Political issues - nuclear energy
 Item : Nuclear plants - respondent's preference
 Question : Can you place yourself on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V111	VAR359	VAR1154	VAR043	VAR046	VAR2176
1 MORE NUCLEAR PLANTS			7.7	7.5	7.0	12.9	6.5	8.2
2			6.7	6.6	6.5	9.4	6.1	7.0
3			9.8	7.3	8.6	8.9	7.6	8.4
4			17.0	18.6	17.0	15.1	13.2	16.2
5			8.2	9.4	8.4	7.3	8.3	8.4
6			10.1	9.7	9.9	8.5	12.8	10.2
7 NO NUCLEAR PLANTS			22.4	33.3	35.6	33.6	42.8	33.4
8 DK			17.8	7.4	6.9	3.9	2.7	8.0
9 NA			.3	.1	.1	.4	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	1754	8441
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	0	4666
MEAN			4.602	4.927	4.993	4.615	5.264	4.893
STD DEV			1.998	2.001	2.007	2.217	1.976	2.052
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1520	1677	1433	1414	1705	7749

Topic : Political issues - nuclear energy
 Item : Closing nuclear plants - yes or no
 Question : At this moment two nuclear power plants operate in the Netherlands, in Dodewaard and in Borssele. Do you think these plants should be kept operating or do you think that they should be closed down?
 Note : Only asked if var2176 is 5,6 or 7

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR360	VAR1155	VAR044	VAR047	VAR2177
1 STAY IN OPERATION				14.0	16.4	19.1	23.8	19.1
2 CLOSE NUCLEAR PLNTS				33.0	32.0	32.8	37.9	32.8
6 DK				4.8	5.4		2.0	2.6
8 NA				.7	.1	1.8	.2	1.2
9 INAP				47.5	46.1	46.3	36.1	44.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1478	1754	6585
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	152	0	6522
MEAN				1.702	1.662	1.632	1.110	1.554
STD DEV				.458	.473	.477	.314	.497
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	852	745	716	598	2963

Here is another showcard. Some people think that the differences in income in our country should stay as they are. Other people think that these differences should become much smaller. <showcard presented>

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - perception of CDA
 Question : Can you place the CDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V112	VAR361	VAR1156	VAR045		VAR2178
1 AGAINST LEVELLING			3.9	7.9	12.0	18.6		10.1
2			7.2	14.3	16.8	20.4		14.3
3			13.9	19.3	18.3	20.6		17.8
4			19.7	19.2	15.8	14.3		17.5
5			11.3	13.1	9.5	4.9		10.0
6			4.4	4.2	3.1	2.4		3.6
7 PRO LEVELLING			2.4	2.2	2.6	1.6		2.2
8 DK			37.1	18.9	21.7	16.9		24.2
9 NA			.2	.8	.1	.3		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	0	6687
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	1754	6420
MEAN			3.799	3.458	3.176	2.759		3.300
STD DEV			1.415	1.475	1.543	1.438		1.515
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1164	1456	1204	1224	0	5048

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - perception of PVDA
 Question : Can you place the PVDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V113	VAR362	VAR1157	VAR046		VAR2179
1 AGAINST LEVELLING			3.8	2.0	2.7	3.0		2.9
2			3.4	2.3	3.8	2.1		2.9
3			3.7	2.6	4.1	1.7		3.1
4			5.0	5.2	4.2	3.1		4.4
5			7.5	11.3	9.8	8.5		9.3
6			18.7	25.5	27.5	27.5		24.5
7 PRO LEVELLING			30.1	34.9	31.0	40.1		33.8
8 DK			27.6	15.4	16.9	13.6		18.7
9 NA			.2	.7	.1	.3		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	0	6687
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	1754	6420
MEAN			5.569	5.830	5.661	5.965		5.757
STD DEV			1.774	1.451	1.603	1.464		1.582
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1339	1520	1279	1272	0	5410

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - perception of VVD
 Question : Can you place the VVD on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V114	VAR363	VAR1158	VAR047		VAR2180
1 AGAINST LEVELLING			23.8	31.7	33.4	40.7		31.9
2			17.6	22.6	20.8	21.9		20.7
3			9.5	9.7	9.3	8.2		9.2
4			6.0	6.9	6.1	6.3		6.3
5			3.9	4.4	4.3	2.1		3.7
6			3.9	2.8	3.4	2.0		3.1
7 PRO LEVELLING			3.8	2.9	3.6	2.9		3.3
8 DK			31.2	18.3	18.8	15.6		21.4
9 NA			.3	.6	.2	.3		.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1478	0	6687
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	152	1754	6420
MEAN			2.648	2.382	2.402	2.104		2.385
STD DEV			1.803	1.629	1.711	1.528		1.680
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1271	1469	1248	1243	0	5231

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - perception of D66
 Question : Can you place the D66 on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR364	VAR1159	VAR048		VAR2181
1 AGAINST LEVELLING				1.2	1.9	1.8		1.6
2				1.9	3.9	2.8		2.8
3				6.0	11.2	9.1		8.6
4				15.3	21.1	20.2		18.7
5				21.3	18.6	17.3		19.2
6				19.6	13.4	11.9		15.3
7 PRO LEVELLING				7.5	2.4	3.2		4.6
8 DK				26.4	26.9	33.2		28.6
9 NA				.8	.5	.5		.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1478	0	4831
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	152	1754	8276
MEAN				4.961	4.383	4.467		4.630
STD DEV				1.307	1.326	1.322		1.343
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1318	1119	980	0	3417

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - respondent's preference
 Question : Can you place yourself on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR094	VAR123	V115	VAR365	VAR1160	VAR049		VAR2182
1 AGAINST LEVELLING	2.9	2.5	8.1	8.4	12.7	14.5		7.7
2	24.3	21.6	8.1	8.0	11.6	10.1		14.6
3	68.1	71.7	9.4	7.2	8.3	8.1		31.3
4			14.8	14.1	12.7	13.2		8.6
5			11.6	14.4	12.1	11.8		7.8
6			15.0	16.8	15.2	14.1		9.6
7 PRO LEVELLING			25.3	26.4	21.5	24.0		15.3
8 DK	3.6	3.1	7.3	3.9	5.2	3.4		4.4
9 NA	1.0	1.1	.3	.8	.6	.7		.8
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	1812	1541	1478	0	10708
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	493	0	152	1754	2399
MEAN	2.684	2.722	4.731	4.826	4.397	4.419		3.887
STD DEV	.529	.503	1.988	1.968	2.114	2.160		1.897
(N) VALID CASES	2379	1462	1715	1726	1452	1417	0	10151

And now a similar card. At one end of the line are the people (or parties) who feel that the DIFFERENCES IN INCOME should become greater and at the other end are the people (or parties) who feel that the differences should become smaller. First I will ask you again to place some of the political parties on this line with respect to this issue and then again yourself.
<showcard presented>

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
Item : Income differences - perception of CDA
Question : Can you place the CDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR210	VAR048	VAR2183
1 LARGER DIFFERENCES						5.2	8.0	6.8
2						12.8	10.7	11.6
3						22.6	22.7	22.6
4						32.4	28.8	30.4
5						9.1	11.3	10.3
6						3.8	4.3	4.1
7 SMALLER DIFFERENCES						1.3	2.1	1.7
8 DK						12.7	12.1	12.3
9 NA						.1	.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1754	3110
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	0	9997
MEAN						3.509	3.520	3.515
STD DEV						1.247	1.368	1.317
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1182	1541	2723

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
Item : Income differences - perception of PVDA
Question : Can you place the PVDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR211	VAR049	VAR2184
1 LARGER DIFFERENCES						1.8	2.9	2.4
2						3.0	3.2	3.1
3						2.3	1.9	2.1
4						3.4	3.5	3.4
5						8.3	10.2	9.4
6						26.5	28.3	27.5
7 SMALLER DIFFERENCES						44.2	40.5	42.2
8 DK						10.3	9.4	9.8
9 NA						.1	.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1754	3110
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	0	9997
MEAN						6.012	5.897	5.947
STD DEV						1.423	1.498	1.467
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1214	1587	2801

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - perception of VVD
 Question : Can you place the VVD on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR212	VAR050	VAR2185
1 LARGER DIFFERENCES						26.7	26.1	26.3
2						27.3	26.8	27.0
3						14.0	15.6	14.9
4						9.9	9.8	9.9
5						4.9	4.3	4.5
6						2.5	3.3	3.0
7 SMALLER DIFFERENCES						1.4	2.3	2.0
8 DK						13.1	11.8	12.3
9 NA						.2	.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1251	1754	3005
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	379	0	10102
MEAN						2.448	2.532	2.497
STD DEV						1.452	1.528	1.498
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1084	1546	2630

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - perception of D66
 Question : Can you place the D66 on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR213	VAR051	VAR2186
1 LARGER DIFFERENCES						.4	.6	.5
2						2.1	2.6	2.4
3						6.4	7.1	6.8
4						22.5	21.0	21.7
5						19.7	21.5	20.7
6						14.4	20.1	17.6
7 SMALLER DIFFERENCES						3.9	6.0	5.1
8 DK						30.3	20.8	25.0
9 NA						.3	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1754	3110
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	0	9997
MEAN						4.696	4.830	4.776
STD DEV						1.186	1.259	1.231
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	941	1387	2328

Topic : Political issues - differences in incomes
 Item : Income differences - respondent's preference
 Question : Can you place yourself on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR214	VAR052	VAR2187
1 LARGER DIFFERENCES						3.5	5.6	4.7
2						6.4	6.4	6.4
3						10.2	9.5	9.8
4						24.8	23.0	23.8
5						13.1	14.2	13.7
6						18.1	14.4	16.0
7 SMALLER DIFFERENCES						21.8	25.3	23.8
8 DK						2.2	1.5	1.8
9 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1754	3110
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	0	9997
MEAN						4.827	4.808	4.816
STD DEV						1.699	1.807	1.760
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1326	1727	3053

As you may know, the Netherlands is a member of NATO, and at a number of places in the Netherlands nuclear arms are stockpiled. Various opinions exist on nuclear armaments. Some people think that the Netherlands shouldn't be involved in it at all, others think that the Netherlands should keep fulfilling its now existing duties in NATO, and still others think that nuclear armaments should be reinforced. I'll now read you some statements which are also printed on this card.
<showcard presented>

Please indicate for each statement whether you agree completely, agree, don't agree and don't disagree, disagree, or disagree completely.

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - first item
 Question : The Netherlands should , if necessary independently from the rest of NATO, set a good example and ban all nuclear weapons from its territory.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR390	VAR1161	VAR222	VAR173	VAR2188
1 FULLY AGREE				25.0	28.6	24.3	20.7	24.7
2 AGREE				17.2	16.9	19.8	21.5	18.7
3 DON'T <DIS>AGREE				9.8	8.2	9.8	16.3	11.0
4 DISAGREE				26.7	24.9	29.6	29.7	27.6
5 FULLY DISAGREE				15.3	16.2	13.9	10.7	14.1
6 DK				5.8	5.1	2.6	1.2	3.8
8 NA				.2	.1			.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				2.894	2.822	2.885	2.881	2.871
STD DEV				1.474	1.517	1.437	1.332	1.442
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1702	1460	1321	1488	5971

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - second item
 Question : Whatever other countries do, the Netherlands must remove all nuclear weapons from her territory.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR221	VAR174	VAR2189
1 FULLY AGREE						21.8	21.2	21.5
2 AGREE						35.8	42.2	39.2
3 DON'T <DIS>AGREE						7.7	11.2	9.5
4 DISAGREE						24.7	19.9	22.2
5 FULLY DISAGREE						7.4	4.2	5.7
6 DK						2.6	1.3	1.9
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.588	2.429	2.505
STD DEV						1.286	1.155	1.221
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1321	1486	2807

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - third item
 Question : The Netherlands should try to establish that NATO reduces its level of nuclear armaments, regardless whether or not the Soviet Union does so as well.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89		
VARIABLE						VAR391	VAR1162	VAR223	VAR175	VAR2190
1 FULLY AGREE				19.1	19.7	19.5	17.9		19.1	
2 AGREE				28.7	27.1	34.6	41.0		32.6	
3 DON'T <DIS>AGREE				8.6	8.0	9.3	13.7		9.8	
4 DISAGREE				25.5	26.2	24.0	22.8		24.7	
5 FULLY DISAGREE				11.1	12.5	8.7	2.7		8.9	
6 DK				6.7	6.4	3.5	1.9		4.8	
8 NA				.2	.1	.3	.1		.2	
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506		6215	
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248		6892	
MEAN				2.795	2.836	2.665	2.504		2.704	
STD DEV				1.357	1.387	1.294	1.117		1.301	
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1686	1442	1304	1477		5909	

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - fourth item
 Question : The Netherlands should try to establish that NATO reduces its level of nuclear armaments, but only if the Soviet Union does so as well.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR392	VAR1163	VAR224	VAR176	VAR2191
1 FULLY AGREE				16.8	17.9	13.5	12.8	15.4
2 AGREE				31.8	32.0	33.0	32.5	32.3
3 DON'T <DIS>AGREE				7.9	7.9	8.7	11.6	8.9
4 DISAGREE				28.8	26.5	29.9	34.3	29.8
5 FULLY DISAGREE				7.7	8.6	11.2	7.1	8.5
6 DK				6.8	7.0	3.6	1.7	4.9
8 NA				.3	.1	.1		.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				2.770	2.740	2.920	2.901	2.829
STD DEV				1.286	1.306	1.294	1.217	1.278
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1684	1431	1306	1480	5901

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - fifth item
 Question : The Netherlands should try to establish that NATO reinforces its nuclear armaments so that it has a strong bargaining position vis-a-vis the Soviet Union.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR393	VAR1164	VAR225	VAR177	VAR2192
1 FULLY AGREE				7.2	7.6	3.4	1.9	5.2
2 AGREE				17.0	14.6	12.7	9.0	13.5
3 DON'T <DIS>AGREE				9.7	9.5	11.5	10.6	10.2
4 DISAGREE				33.3	32.0	38.1	47.4	37.4
5 FULLY DISAGREE				25.1	27.8	29.6	29.2	27.7
6 DK				7.6	8.4	4.6	2.0	5.8
8 NA				.2	.1	.1		.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				3.563	3.632	3.817	3.949	3.733
STD DEV				1.276	1.288	1.119	.971	1.184
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1671	1409	1292	1476	5848

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - opinion score
 Note : Constructed from VAR2188 - VAR2192. See note 51.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR394	VAR1165	VAR226	VAR178	VAR2193
0 <NOT ANTI-NUKES>				36.6	39.0	26.5	18.0	30.5
1				17.7	15.0	14.5	17.3	16.2
2				16.4	14.2	12.7	17.3	15.3
3				16.4	15.4	14.5	19.0	16.4
4				12.9	16.4	16.1	15.9	15.2
5 <ANTI-NUKES>						15.8	12.5	6.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				1.514	1.552	2.266	2.350	1.890
STD DEV				1.445	1.524	1.829	1.650	1.650
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - perception of CDA
 Question : Please look again at these five statements <same showcard as with the previous questions, see note 52>. Which of them comes in your opinion closest to the position of the CDA?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR395	VAR1166	VAR227	VAR179	VAR2194
1 FIRST STATEMENT				6.8	5.1	2.9	3.4	4.7
2 SECOND STATEMENT						6.9	10.4	4.0
3 THIRD STATEMENT				13.9	7.9	10.3	12.7	11.3
4 FOURTH STATEMENT				38.7	43.9	53.5	47.9	45.5
5 FIFTH STATEMENT				14.0	22.3	13.4	7.6	14.4
6 DK				26.2	20.5	12.6	17.9	19.8
8 NA				.4	.3	.2		.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				2.817	3.053	3.775	3.560	3.288
STD DEV				.847	.798	.919	.967	.964
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1330	1221	1182	1236	4969

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - perception of PVDA
 Question : Please look again at these five statements <same showcard as with the previous questions, see note 52>. Which of them comes in your opinion closest to the position of the PVDA?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR396	VAR1167	VAR228	VAR180	VAR2195
1 FIRST STATEMENT				36.6	58.9	59.1	28.8	45.1
2 SECOND STATEMENT						18.5	27.7	10.7
3 THIRD STATEMENT				24.7	14.6	6.9	18.8	16.9
4 FOURTH STATEMENT				8.3	3.2	2.1	6.1	5.1
5 FIFTH STATEMENT				3.4	4.4	2.1	2.5	3.1
6 DK				26.4	18.6	11.2	16.1	18.7
8 NA				.5	.3	.1		.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				1.707	1.422	1.528	2.114	1.696
STD DEV				.847	.806	.909	1.054	.945
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1324	1250	1202	1263	5039

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - perception of VVD
 Question : Please look again at these five statements <same showcard as with the previous questions, see note 52>. Which of them comes in your opinion closest to the position of the VVD?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR397	VAR1168	VAR229	VAR181	VAR2196
1 FIRST STATEMENT				2.7	3.6	1.6	2.3	2.6
2 SECOND STATEMENT						4.8	6.7	2.7
3 THIRD STATEMENT				7.2	6.7	7.9	9.0	7.7
4 FOURTH STATEMENT				21.9	30.6	32.2	33.6	29.1
5 FIFTH STATEMENT				35.3	34.0	35.6	23.9	32.3
6 DK				32.4	24.7	17.5	24.5	25.3
8 NA				.5	.5	.4		.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				3.337	3.268	4.162	3.928	3.664
STD DEV				.825	.816	.957	1.031	.986
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1216	1154	1114	1137	4621

Topic : Political issues - nuclear armaments
 Item : Nuclear weapons - perception of D66
 Question : Please look again at these five statements <same showcard as with the previous questions, see note 52>. Which of them comes in your opinion closest to the position of the D66?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR398	VAR1169	VAR230	VAR182	VAR2197
1 FIRST STATEMENT				21.7	14.2	9.1	14.5	15.3
2 SECOND STATEMENT						23.5	22.6	10.6
3 THIRD STATEMENT				24.8	24.4	19.3	19.0	22.1
4 FOURTH STATEMENT				11.6	23.1	13.5	11.3	14.8
5 FIFTH STATEMENT				4.0	5.3	1.7	2.4	3.4
6 DK				37.3	32.6	32.4	30.1	33.3
8 NA				.7	.4	.4	.1	.4
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1812	1541	1356	1506	6215
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	493	0	274	248	6892
MEAN				1.967	2.290	2.631	2.491	2.328
STD DEV				.892	.888	1.029	1.093	1.008
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1125	1032	910	1051	4118

Topic : Political issues - women's emancipation
 Item : Women's emancipation - first item
 Question : I will read to you a number of statements. Please indicate according to the alternatives on this card how much you agree or disagree.
 <showcard presented>

Compared to men, women are a disadvantaged group in the Netherlands.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR618	VAR1112			VAR2198
1 FULLY AGREE				5.4	9.3			7.3
2 AGREE				24.1	24.5			24.3
3 DONT <DIS>AGREE				16.3	13.0			14.7
4 DISAGREE				38.5	35.9			37.2
5 FULLY DISAGREE				14.0	15.3			14.6
6 DK				1.5	1.8			1.7
8 NA				.1	.1			.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	0	0	3161
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	1630	1754	9946
MEAN				3.321	3.239			3.281
STD DEV				1.151	1.250			1.201
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1594	1511	0	0	3105

Topic : Political issues - women's emancipation
 Item : Women's emancipation - second item
 Question : Male politicians can represent women's concerns and interests equally well as female politicians.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR619	VAR1113			VAR2199
1 FULLY AGREE				12.5	10.6			11.6
2 AGREE				44.2	34.3			39.4
3 DONT <DIS>AGREE				14.1	13.8			14.0
4 DISAGREE				24.1	27.3			25.7
5 FULLY DISAGREE				3.1	8.0			5.5
6 DK				2.0	5.8			3.8
8 NA				.1	.1			.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	0	0	3161
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	1630	1754	9946
MEAN				2.603	2.869			2.730
STD DEV				1.084	1.196			1.147
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1587	1450	0	0	3037

Topic : Political issues - women's emancipation
 Item : Women's emancipation - third item
 Question : A married woman has the same right to work as a man, also in periods of serious unemployment.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR620	VAR1114			VAR2200
1 FULLY AGREE				11.8	16.9			14.3
2 AGREE				26.2	29.4			27.8
3 DONT <DIS>AGREE				12.2	11.2			11.7
4 DISAGREE				34.6	24.3			29.6
5 FULLY DISAGREE				13.8	15.9			14.8
6 DK				1.3	2.0			1.6
8 NA				.1	.3			.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	0	0	3161
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	1630	1754	9946
MEAN				3.126	2.928			3.030
STD DEV				1.281	1.374			1.331
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1598	1505	0	0	3103

Topic : Political issues - women's emancipation
 Item : Women's emancipation - fourth item
 Question : A woman should not have a job outside the house if she has young children.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR621	VAR1115			VAR2201
1 FULLY AGREE				19.9	28.6			24.1
2 AGREE				29.6	25.2			27.5
3 DONT <DIS>AGREE				14.5	10.8			12.7
4 DISAGREE				25.3	21.0			23.2
5 FULLY DISAGREE				9.0	12.2			10.5
6 DK				1.6	2.1			1.8
8 NA				.1	.1			.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	1620	1541	0	0	3161
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	685	0	1630	1754	9946
MEAN				2.733	2.622			2.679
STD DEV				1.290	1.413			1.352
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	1592	1507	0	0	3099

I would like to ask you a question about EUTHANASIA; the action of a doctor to end the life of a patient at the latter's request. At the moment this is forbidden by law and some people feel this should remain so. Others feel that a doctor should always be allowed to end a life if the patient so requests. Of course there are also people whose opinion lies somewhere in between. Suppose that the people who would like to see euthanasia forbidden are at one end at this line (at number 1) and the people who feel that a doctor should always be allowed to end a life upon a patient's request are at the other end of the line (at number 7).

I will ask you first to place some political parties on the line. And then to place yourself. If you do not know what the view is of a party on this issue, just say so. <showcard presented>

Topic : Political issues - euthanasia
 Item : Euthanasia - perception of CDA
 Question : Can you place the CDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR205	VAR168	VAR2202
1 FORBID EUTHANASIA						19.8	24.5	22.3
2						28.8	30.1	29.5
3						18.1	17.8	18.0
4						12.9	12.0	12.4
5						4.7	4.4	4.5
6						1.7	1.6	1.6
7 ALLOW EUTHANASIA						1.8	1.0	1.4
8 DK						12.1	8.7	10.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.618	2.457	2.532
STD DEV						1.398	1.328	1.363
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1192	1375	2567

Topic : Political issues - euthanasia
 Item : Euthanasia - perception of PVDA
 Question : Can you place the PVDA on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR206	VAR169	VAR2203
1 FORBID EUTHANASIA						2.7	2.1	2.4
2						2.8	2.8	2.8
3						3.8	4.8	4.3
4						7.7	11.3	9.6
5						15.3	21.3	18.5
6						29.9	30.5	30.3
7 ALLOW EUTHANASIA						19.6	16.0	17.7
8 DK						18.1	11.2	14.4
9 NA						.1		.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						5.423	5.280	5.345
STD DEV						1.505	1.413	1.457
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1110	1338	2448

Topic : Political issues - euthanasia
 Item : Euthanasia - perception of VVD
 Question : Can you place the VVD on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR207	VAR170	VAR2204
1 FORBID EUTHANASIA						4.5	2.7	3.6
2						3.8	4.1	3.9
3						5.5	8.2	7.0
4						10.5	13.5	12.1
5						15.5	17.6	16.6
6						23.5	24.0	23.7
7 ALLOW EUTHANASIA						17.6	11.4	14.3
8 DK						19.0	18.5	18.8
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						5.094	4.922	5.003
STD DEV						1.695	1.549	1.622
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1098	1227	2325

Topic : Political issues - euthanasia
 Item : Euthanasia - perception of D66
 Question : Can you place the D66 on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR208	VAR171	VAR2205
1 FORBID EUTHANASIA						1.3	1.3	1.3
2						1.5	2.9	2.2
3						4.3	4.1	4.2
4						11.2	9.2	10.2
5						16.1	14.3	15.2
6						20.3	26.4	23.5
7 ALLOW EUTHANASIA						10.1	20.3	15.4
8 DK						35.0	21.6	27.9
9 NA						.3		.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						5.170	5.456	5.334
STD DEV						1.372	1.441	1.418
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	878	1181	2059

Topic : Political issues - euthanasia
 Item : Euthanasia - respondent's preference
 Question : Can you place yourself on this line?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR209	VAR172	VAR2206
1 FORBID EUTHANASIA						13.1	10.3	11.6
2						7.0	6.9	7.0
3						5.9	5.2	5.6
4						15.8	12.3	13.9
5						14.4	13.9	14.1
6						19.6	24.6	22.3
7 ALLOW EUTHANASIA						21.3	25.9	23.7
8 DK						2.7	.9	1.7
9 NA						.1		.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1356	1506	2862
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						4.600	4.917	4.768
STD DEV						2.035	1.978	2.011
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1317	1493	2810

Topic : Political issues - expectations of economic development
 Item : Expected effect CDA-VVD government on respondent's finances
 Question : If you consider the next four years and think about YOUR OWN FINANCIAL SITUATION during this period, do you think that a CABINET CONSISTING OF CDA AND VVD would have a favourable influence, an unfavourable influence or hardly any influence on your OWN financial situation?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR232	VAR184	VAR2207
1 POSITIVE EFFECT						21.7	27.4	25.2
2 HARDLY ANY EFFECT						49.6	46.7	48.7
3 NEGATIVE EFFECT						28.7	23.2	26.1
6 DK							2.7	1.2
8 NA								.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1289	1466	2755
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.070	1.956	2.009
STD DEV						.707	.720	.716
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1317	1493	2810

Topic : Political issues - expectations of economic development
 Item : Expected effect CDA-PVDA government on respondent's finances
 Question : And do you think that a CABINET CONSISTING OF CDA AND PVDA in the coming four years would have a favourable influence, an unfavourable influence or hardly any influence on your OWN financial situation?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR233	VAR185	VAR2208
1 POSITIVE EFFECT						23.3	25.2	24.7
2 HARDLY ANY EFFECT						46.4	48.5	48.0
3 NEGATIVE EFFECT						30.3	23.6	27.1
6 DK							2.7	1.2
8 NA								.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1261	1465	2726
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.070	1.984	2.024
STD DEV						.729	.708	.719
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1317	1493	2810

Topic : Political issues - expectations of economic development
 Item : Expectation general prosperity in 4 years
 Question : Do you think that GENERAL PROSPERITY IN THE NETHERLANDS will be larger in 4 years than it is now, smaller than it is now, or about the same as it now is?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR234	VAR186	VAR2209
1 LARGER						35.0	24.2	30.0
2 ABOUT THE SAME						50.9	59.0	56.8
3 SMALLER						14.0	11.9	13.2
6 DK							4.8	2.3
8 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1264	1432	2696
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						1.790	1.870	1.832
STD DEV						.668	.603	.635
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1317	1493	2810

Topic : Political issues - expectations of economic development
 Item : Expected effect CDA-VVD government on general prosperity
 Question : Do you think that a CABINET CONSISTING OF CDA AND VVD would have a favourable influence, an unfavourable influence or hardly any influence on the development of GENERAL PROSPERITY in the Netherlands?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR235	VAR187	VAR2210
1 POSITIVE EFFECT						49.6	40.4	45.6
2 HARDLY ANY EFFECT						32.5	37.6	36.1
3 NEGATIVE EFFECT						17.9	17.7	18.2
6 DK							4.2	2.2
8 NA							.1	.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1256	1441	2697
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						1.683	1.763	1.726
STD DEV						.758	.743	.751
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1317	1493	2810

Topic : Political issues - expectations of economic development
 Item : Expected effect CDA-PVDA government on general prosperity
 Question : Do you think that a CABINET CONSISTING OF CDA AND PVDA would have a favourable influence, an unfavourable influence or hardly any influence on the development of GENERAL PROSPERITY in the Netherlands?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE						VAR236	VAR188	VAR2211
1 POSITIVE EFFECT						27.7	32.0	30.9
2 HARDLY ANY EFFECT						39.2	41.2	41.4
3 NEGATIVE EFFECT						33.1	21.7	27.6
6 DK							5.1	2.6
8 NA								.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1237	1429	2666
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	274	248	10245
MEAN						2.053	1.892	1.967
STD DEV						.778	.745	.765
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	0	0	1317	1493	2810

PART II


Chapter 6

Religion in society

Topic : Confessional organizations
 Item : Should there be confessional parties?
 Question : Some people think political parties should be completely unrelated to religion. Others think political parties should be based on religion. Examples are CHRISTIAN("in algemeen christelijk verband") parties or separate Roman-Catholic and Protestant parties?
 What is your opinion as regards political parties?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR515	VAR633	V191	VAR399	VAR1086	VAR359	VAR261	VAR2212
1 UNRELATED	37.9	44.2	51.2	53.0	61.7	57.4	57.6	51.6
2 CHRISTIAN	43.6	41.4	37.0	38.7	28.2	30.4	30.2	35.9
3 SEPARATED	11.0	10.8	9.2	5.2	5.1	7.1	7.0	7.9
6 DK	6.3	2.5	2.5	2.9	4.6	5.1	3.7	4.0
8 NA	1.2	1.1	.1	.1	.3	.1	1.6	.6
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	11087
(N) DROPPED	515	490	0	493	0	274	248	2020
MEAN	1.709	1.654	1.569	1.507	1.405	1.470	1.466	1.542
STD DEV	.666	.672	.659	.598	.591	.631	.630	.643
(N) VALID CASES	1832	999	1808	1757	1465	1286	1427	10574


**Confessional organizations
 Should there be confessional parties?**



Topic : Confessional organizations
 Item : Should there be confessional trade unions?
 Question : Some people think trade unions should be completely unrelated to religion. Others think trade unions should be based on religion. Examples are CHRISTIAN("in algemeen christelijk verband") trade unions or separate Roman-Catholic and Protestant trade unions?
 What is your opinion as regards trade unions?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR516	VAR634	V193	VAR400	VAR1087	VAR360	VAR262	VAR2213
1 UNRELATED	43.0	48.7	55.1	58.1	64.4	65.2	65.9	56.8
2 CHRISTIAN	37.1	32.3	28.8	33.6	22.7	21.8	21.8	28.7
3 SEPARATED	11.1	12.5	8.4	3.7	4.0	6.7	6.0	7.3
6 DK	7.3	4.7	7.5	4.4	8.0	6.1	4.6	6.2
8 NA	1.5	1.7	.2	.2	.8	.1	1.6	.9
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	11087
(N) DROPPED	515	490	0	493	0	274	248	2020
MEAN	1.650	1.612	1.494	1.429	1.336	1.376	1.361	1.467
STD DEV	.687	.710	.657	.568	.557	.615	.599	.638
(N) VALID CASES	1805	969	1712	1728	1404	1271	1412	10301


**Confessional organizations
 Should there be confessional unions?**



Topic : Confessional organizations
 Item : Should there be confessional schools?
 Question : Some people think schools should be completely unrelated to religion. Others think schools should be based on religion. Examples are CHRISTIAN("in algemeen christelijk verband") schools or separate Roman-Catholic and Protestant schools?
 What is your opinion as regards schools?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR517	VAR635	V195	VAR401	VAR1088	VAR361	VAR263	VAR2214
1 UNRELATED	37.1	39.4	38.4	36.8	40.6	41.9	41.8	39.2
2 CHRISTIAN	36.4	35.3	30.3	38.5	30.8	29.4	31.7	33.4
3 SEPARATED	20.1	21.2	26.1	20.8	21.4	24.0	21.0	22.1
6 DK	5.2	2.7	4.9	3.2	6.3	4.5	3.7	4.4
8 NA	1.2	1.4	.3	.8	.9	.2	1.8	.9
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	11087
(N) DROPPED	515	490	0	493	0	274	248	2020
MEAN	1.819	1.811	1.870	1.833	1.794	1.813	1.781	1.820
STD DEV	.761	.772	.815	.756	.791	.811	.785	.784
(N) VALID CASES	1853	994	1759	1740	1430	1292	1424	10492


**Confessional organizations
 Should there be confessional schools?**



Topic : Confessional organizations
 Item : Should there be confessional radio and tv?
 Question : Some people think radio and tv should be completely unrelated to religion. Others think radio and tv should be based on religion. Examples are CHRISTIAN("in algemeen christelijk verband") radio and tv or separate Roman-Catholic and Protestant radio and tv?
 What is your opinion as regards radio and tv?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V196	VAR402	VAR1089	VAR362	VAR264	VAR2215
1 UNRELATED			43.5	43.6	48.6	47.8	53.5	47.1
2 CHRISTIAN			26.2	34.3	23.4	24.9	23.1	26.7
3 SEPARATED			22.9	17.5	18.0	20.6	17.6	19.4
6 DK			7.1	4.3	9.4	6.6	3.8	6.2
8 NA			.3	.2	.6	.1	2.0	.6
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	8071
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	493	0	274	248	5036
MEAN			1.777	1.727	1.660	1.709	1.619	1.703
STD DEV			.817	.753	.791	.806	.781	.791
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	1719	1730	1387	1265	1419	7520


Confessional organizations
Should there be confessional radio or tv ?



Topic : Confessional attitudes
 Item : Is religion a good guide in politics?
 Question : Do you fully agree, agree, disagree, or fully disagree with the statement "religion is a good guide in politics"?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR519	VAR637	V197	VAR403	VAR1090	VAR363	VAR265	VAR2216
1 FULLY AGREE	10.7	11.1	10.1	15.1	13.6	9.7	9.8	11.5
2 AGREE	24.1	23.6	24.1	25.3	22.7	26.4	28.0	24.9
3 DISAGREE	37.3	40.3	32.5	28.4	30.0	33.5	41.2	34.4
4 FULLY DISAGREE	15.4	13.2	18.6	25.3	27.0	25.1	18.9	20.6
6 DK	11.0	8.8	14.2	5.6	6.6	5.2	2.0	7.9
8 NA	1.6	3.0	.5	.3	.1	.2		.7
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	11087
(N) DROPPED	515	490	0	493	0	274	248	2020
MEAN	2.656	2.630	2.699	2.678	2.754	2.782	2.707	2.701
STD DEV	.906	.886	.940	1.038	1.028	.952	.891	.955
(N) VALID CASES	1730	914	1583	1705	1438	1283	1476	10129


**Confessional attitudes
 Is religion a good guide in politics?**



Topic : Confessional attitudes
 Item : Confessional attitude score
 Note : Constructed from VAR2212 - VAR2216, see note 61 for details.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR856	VAR857	V641	VAR404	VAR1091	VAR364	VAR266	VAR2217
0 <LOW>	28.2	31.1	29.0	27.8	35.2	31.7	35.1	30.9
1	15.7	15.1	14.3	15.6	16.2	17.1	15.9	15.7
2	10.5	10.8	13.1	11.5	13.8	13.6	12.8	12.3
3	25.2	20.6	10.8	9.4	9.5	10.4	8.1	13.5
4	20.5	22.5	13.3	12.5	8.9	10.3	10.0	13.9
5 <HIGH>			19.3	23.1	16.4	16.8	18.1	13.8
(N) OF CASES	1980	1036	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	11087
(N) DROPPED	515	490	0	493	0	274	248	2020
MEAN	1.940	1.883	2.231	2.327	1.900	2.010	1.964	2.053
STD DEV	1.534	1.577	1.907	1.959	1.868	1.863	1.917	1.823
(N) VALID CASES	1980	1036	1856	1812	1541	1356	1506	11087

Confessional attitudes score



PART II

Chapter 7

Background data

The interviewer was instructed to assess the total number of persons in the household and sex, age and status in the household for each of these. As far as this status is concerned, the interviewer was instructed to distinguish between 'head of household' (defined as the person contributing most to the total household income), 'housewife' (defined as the person performing the greatest part of housekeeping work), 'children' and 'other persons' (defined as those persons who obtain food and lodging for four days or more per week). On the basis of the answers to this questions VAR2031 to VAR2036 have been coded

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Sex of respondent

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR374	VAR393	V299	VAR127	VAR1265	VAR096	VAR093	VAR2218
1 MALE	59.8	48.6	47.6	46.6	47.0	46.9	50.9	50.1
2 FEMALE	40.2	51.4	52.4	53.4	53.0	53.1	49.1	49.9
8 NA						.1		.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Age of respondent
 Note : Recoded entries. The means and deviations are based on the actual coding of the age in years

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR807	VAR806	V300	VAR128	VAR1266	VAR097	VAR092	VAR2219
1 17-20 YEARS		5.5	6.6	6.0	5.9	4.3	3.6	4.3
2 21-25	13.5	13.2	12.2	11.8	10.9	10.3	7.2	11.5
3 26-30	12.0	12.3	13.0	11.5	11.9	11.7	13.1	12.2
4 31-35	10.7	9.2	10.3	13.1	14.3	12.3	13.1	11.8
5 36-40	10.0	7.2	8.0	10.1	11.2	12.3	12.3	10.1
6 41-45	8.8	8.8	7.2	7.9	8.2	8.5	11.1	8.6
7 46-50	10.2	9.1	7.4	6.1	6.6	6.2	6.7	7.6
8 51-55	8.3	6.9	7.7	6.2	6.3	6.0	5.3	6.8
9 56-60	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.7	6.3	6.4	4.8	6.4
10 61-65	6.6	6.7	6.4	6.0	5.0	6.9	6.8	6.4
11 66-70	5.1	6.5	5.7	6.0	5.2	4.8	6.0	5.6
12 71-75	3.5	3.1	4.6	4.1	3.8	4.5	3.9	3.9
13 OVER 75 YEARS	4.2	4.7	3.9	4.1	4.4	5.8	6.0	4.6
98 NA	.1		.3	.4				.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	44.534	43.699	43.271	43.041	42.555	44.281		43.608
STD DEV	16.381	17.567	17.694	17.487	17.082	17.606		17.268
(N) VALID CASES	2493	1526	1851	2296	1541	1630	0	11337

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Number of persons in household

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR808	VAR807	V240	VAR129	VAR1267	VAR098		VAR2220
1 ONE PERSON	18.6	20.1	10.2	19.7	18.8	22.7		19.2
2 TWO PERSONS	22.2	24.8	27.4	31.3	29.9	32.8		28.2
3 THREE PERSONS	18.4	15.5	19.9	15.2	16.9	16.0		16.8
4 FOUR PERSONS	20.3	21.8	22.5	23.3	22.2	19.4		21.4
5 FIVE PERSONS	10.5	10.4	11.5	7.8	9.0	7.0		9.1
6 SIX PERSONS	5.2	3.9	5.0	1.7	2.5	1.3		3.2
7 SEVEN PERSONS	2.0	1.9	1.9	.7	.6	.4		1.2
8 EIGHT OR MORE PERS.	2.5	1.5	1.6	.3	.2	.4		1.0
98 NA	.1	.2						.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754
MEAN	3.182	3.046	3.281	2.776	2.838	2.626		2.921
STD DEV	1.728	1.650	1.562	1.393	1.406	1.358		1.531
(N) VALID CASES	2492	1523	1856	2305	1541	1630		13101

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Number of adult persons in household

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR809	VAR808	V241	VAR130	VAR1268	VAR099		VAR2221
1 ONE PERSON	20.7	22.9	11.1	21.0	20.6	24.8		21.2
2 TWO PERSONS	65.3	64.4	62.7	63.3	61.3	61.8		62.2
3 THREE PERSONS	10.3	9.4	16.1	10.6	13.0	8.8		11.3
4 FOUR PERSONS	2.9	2.8	6.9	4.1	3.8	3.4		4.0
5 FIVE PERSONS	.6	.3	2.1	.8	1.0	.9		.9
6 SIX PERSONS	.1	.1	.4	.1	.2	.2		.2
7 SEVEN PERSONS			.4	.1	.1			.1
8 EIGHT OR MORE PERS.			.3	.1	.1			.1
98 NA	.1	.3						.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754
MEAN	1.976	1.930	2.309	2.013	2.045	1.944		2.022
STD DEV	.703	.681	.976	.785	.805	.768		.797
(N) VALID CASES	2492	1522	1856	2305	1541	1630		13100

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Status of respondent in household

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR131	VAR1269	VAR100		VAR2222
1 HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD				42.0	35.6	33.9		37.7
2 HOUSEWIFE				36.5	35.8	32.1		35.0
3 BOTH H OF HH AND HW				14.6	21.5	28.9		20.8
4 DAUGHTER<IN-LAW>				2.6	3.7	1.8		2.7
5 SON<IN-LAW>				4.1	3.0	2.6		3.3
6 SOMEONE ELSE				.2	.4	.6		.3
8 NA						.1		.0
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	2305	1541	1630	0	5476
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	0	0	0	1754	7631

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Typology of household composition
 Note : See note 71 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V579	VAR132	VAR1270	VAR101	VAR099	VAR2223
1			5.6	7.1	7.5	7.6	8.7	7.3
2				12.6	11.2	15.0	16.4	11.0
3			38.7	11.1	11.2	13.1	8.8	16.7
4				29.8	27.1	29.3	28.4	22.9
5			13.3	8.6	8.9	8.2	8.7	9.5
6			8.1	7.9	7.7	7.1	4.7	7.1
7			12.1	8.2	12.3	8.3	7.9	9.6
8			6.8	6.8	6.3	4.7		5.0
9			.3	.4	.3	.2		.3
10			9.7	7.0	7.2	5.0		5.9
11			.8	.4	.3	.6		.4
12							10.6	2.0
13							4.3	.8
14							1.4	.3
98 NA			4.6	.1		.9	.2	1.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	9086
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	0	0	4021

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Respondent's birthdate - day

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR133	VAR1271	VAR102		VAR2224
1				3.6	2.8	2.6		3.0
2				3.3	2.5	3.2		3.0
3				3.2	2.9	3.5		3.2
4				3.0	4.0	3.7		3.5
5				3.2	3.3	2.8		3.1
6				3.6	3.2	2.9		3.3
7				3.8	2.9	3.7		3.5
8				3.5	3.5	3.9		3.6
9				3.0	2.4	2.8		2.8
10				3.4	3.8	3.2		3.5
11				3.0	3.2	3.7		3.3
12				3.6	2.4	3.2		3.1
13				3.3	3.6	3.6		3.5
14				3.1	4.3	3.9		3.7
15				3.2	3.5	2.7		3.1
16				3.6	3.8	2.5		3.3
17				4.2	3.8	2.8		3.7
18				3.7	3.2	3.7		3.6
19				3.6	3.0	3.6		3.4
20				2.8	2.7	3.8		3.1
21				3.2	3.0	2.7		3.0
22				2.9	3.5	3.3		3.2
23				3.1	3.2	3.6		3.3
24				2.7	3.2	3.4		3.0
25				3.6	3.3	3.2		3.4
26				3.4	3.0	3.6		3.4
27				3.5	2.8	3.7		3.3
28				2.3	3.0	2.8		2.6
29				2.2	3.4	2.9		2.8
30				2.6	3.2	3.1		2.9
31				2.0	2.2	1.5		1.9
98 NA				.9	1.4	.3		.9
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	2305	1541	1630	0	5476
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	0	0	0	1754	7631
MEAN				15.369	15.902	15.734		15.627
STD DEV				8.645	8.702	8.713		8.684
(N) VALID CASES	0	0	0	2284	1520	1625	0	5429

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Respondent's birthdate - month

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR297	VAR309		VAR134	VAR1272	VAR103		VAR2225
1 JANUARY	8.3	9.5		9.0	8.2	8.7		8.7
2 FEBRUARY	9.1	6.9		8.3	8.0	8.5		8.3
3 MARCH	8.5	9.8		8.3	8.7	10.1		9.0
4 APRIL	9.0	8.7		9.0	8.1	8.4		8.7
5 MAY	9.3	9.0		8.3	8.8	8.6		8.8
6 JUNE	7.5	8.7		7.7	8.2	8.8		8.1
7 JULY	7.9	7.9		8.5	9.1	7.9		8.2
8 AUGUST	8.1	7.1		8.5	7.8	8.4		8.1
9 SEPTEMBER	8.4	9.2		8.3	7.9	8.3		8.4
10 OCTOBER	7.4	8.0		7.8	8.5	6.6		7.6
11 NOVEMBER	7.1	6.9		7.5	7.3	8.6		7.4
12 DECEMBER	8.7	8.3		7.9	7.9	6.9		8.0
98 NA	.9			.9	1.4	.2		.7
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	0	2305	1541	1630	0	9497
(N) DROPPED	0	0	1856	0	0	0	1754	3610
MEAN	6.361	6.346		6.370	6.427	6.278		6.357
STD DEV	3.457	3.446		3.449	3.419	3.419		3.440
(N) VALID CASES	2473	1526	0	2285	1520	1626	0	9430

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Respondent's birthdate - year
 Note : The year of birth of the respondent is recoded in four digits

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR296	VAR308	V217	VAR135	VAR1273	VAR104		VAR2226
1879	.0							.0
1880		.1		.1				.0
1881	.1	.1						.0
1882		.1						.0
1883	.1	.1						.0
1884	.1		.1					.0
1885	.1							.0
1886	.2	.2	.1	.0				.1
1887	.1	.1	.1					.0
1888	.4	.3						.1
1889	.3	.3						.1
1890	.5	.5	.1		.1			.2
1891	.4	.2	.2	.0	.1			.2
1892	.5	.7	.1	.1	.1	.1		.3
1893	.4	.4	.2	.1				.2
1894	.3	.6	.2	.2	.1			.2
1895	.5	.6	.3	.2		.1		.3
1896	.6	.5	.3	.2		.1		.3
1897	.7	.7	.4	.1	.3	.1		.4
1898	.6	.7	.3	.2	.1	.2		.4
1899	.9	.4	.2	.2	.5	.2		.4
1900	.8	.7	.4	.3	.5	.2		.5
1901	.8	.7	1.0	.4	.1	.3		.6
1902	1.3	1.0	1.1	.5	.7	.2		.8
1903	.7	1.1	1.0	.7	.5	.3		.7
1904	1.0	1.4	.6	.6	.3	.6		.7
1905	1.2	1.4	1.0	.7	.6	.7		1.0
1906	1.4	1.6	1.0	.9	.5	.4		1.0
1907	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	.5	.4		.9
1908	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.1	.6	.9		1.2
1909	1.5	1.0	1.0	.4	1.0	.7		1.0
1910	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.0	.6	.7		1.1
1911	1.6	1.6	.9	1.1	1.1	.9		1.2
1912	1.0	.9	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.0		1.2
1913	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.0	.9		1.3
1914	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.2	.8	.9		1.2
1915	1.2	1.7	1.4	1.2	.5	1.0		1.2
1916	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.6	.9		1.4
1917	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.1	.8	.7		1.3
1918	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.3	.6	1.0		1.1
1919	1.6	.9	.9	1.0	.6	1.1		1.1
1920	2.2	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5		1.7
1921	1.8	1.4	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.2		1.5
1922	2.2	1.8	2.0	.9	.8	1.5		1.6
1923	2.1	2.3	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.7		1.7
1924	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.2		1.6
1925	2.2	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.5		1.6
1926	1.7	2.0	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.0		1.4
1927	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.1		1.4
1928	2.3	1.1	1.7	1.3	.8	1.7		1.6
1929	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.3		1.5

1930	1.6	2.1	1.6	1.3	1.5	.9	1.5	
1931	2.2	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.7	1.6	
1932	2.3	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.4	.9	1.6	
1933	1.8	1.9	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.0	1.4	
1934	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.4	
1935	1.8	1.4	2.0	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.5	
1936	1.9	1.2	1.0	1.7	1.1	1.3	1.4	
1937	1.5	2.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.4	
1938	2.1	1.9	1.5	1.8	1.8	.9	1.7	
1939	2.4	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.8	
1940	2.8	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.7	2.0	
1941	2.1	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.7	
1942	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.7	1.6	2.0	
1943	2.7	2.9	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.2	
1944	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.1	2.5	
1945	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.5	1.5	2.2	
1946	3.0	2.7	2.2	3.0	2.4	2.8	2.7	
1947	3.6	2.7	3.1	2.6	3.1	3.3	3.1	
1948	2.8	2.8	2.2	2.3	3.9	2.8	2.7	
1949	3.0	3.6	3.0	2.7	2.7	1.7	2.8	
1950	1.1	1.8	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.1	1.8	
1951		2.4	2.6	2.5	2.5	3.6	2.1	
1952		1.8	2.8	2.7	2.1	2.9	2.0	
1953		1.9	2.4	2.5	2.6	1.8	1.8	
1954		1.8	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1	1.7	
1955			2.4	2.9	2.7	2.6	1.7	
1956			2.4	2.9	2.0	1.8	1.5	
1957			2.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	1.5	
1958			1.8	2.6	1.7	2.1	1.4	
1959			2.0	1.4	2.3	2.5	1.3	
1960				2.2	2.5	2.2	1.1	
1961				1.8	2.0	2.0	.9	
1962				1.8	2.7	2.4	1.1	
1963				.5	1.8	2.1	.6	
1964					.8	1.8	.4	
1965						1.8	.3	
1966						.9	.1	
1967						1.5	.2	
1968						.6	.1	
9998 NA	.1		.3	.4	1.0	.1	.3	
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754
MEAN	1926.5	1928.3	1933.7	1937.1	1939.2	1941.1		1933.9
STD DEV	16.381	17.567	17.694	17.503	17.041	17.585		18.090
(N) VALID CASES	2493	1526	1851	2296	1525	1629	0	11320

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Electoral cohort of respondent
 Note : It has been determined at which of the elections for the Second Chamber the respondent was eligible to vote for the first time, on the basis of birthdate; thus in which election he or she was part of the entering cohort of newly enfranchised electors.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR812	VAR812	V610	VAR136	VAR1274	VAR105		VAR2227
1 BEFORE 46	38.7	36.4	29.2	13.2	10.8	9.4		21.1
2 COHORT OF 46	4.0	3.9	3.2	11.0	8.6	9.8		7.2
3 COHORT OF 48	7.6	6.6	5.3	3.1	3.0	2.9		4.6
4 COHORT OF 52	7.8	7.4	6.0	4.9	4.9	5.3		6.1
5 COHORT OF 56	5.6	4.3	4.6	4.9	5.5	4.5		4.8
6 COHORT OF 59	7.9	6.9	5.3	2.9	3.8	3.4		4.9
7 COHORT OF 63	14.8	13.1	11.9	7.2	6.3	5.2		9.2
8 COHORT OF 67	12.4	11.7	10.5	12.0	11.6	9.7		11.1
9 COHORT OF 71	1.1	7.9	9.9	10.9	12.7	11.3		8.8
10 COHORT OF 72		1.8	11.7	10.8	10.5	11.3		7.9
11 COHORT OF 77			2.0	11.0	9.9	10.1		6.2
12 COHORT OF 81				7.2	9.2	9.1		4.9
13 COHORT OF 82					1.9	2.1		.8
14 COHORT OF 86						5.7		1.4
15 COHORT OF 89								.6
98 NA	.1		.3	.9	1.4			.4
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

Topic : Demographic background
 Item : Marital status
 Question : Are you married or cohabitating, or unmarried, or have you been married previously ?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR298	VAR310		VAR137	VAR1275	VAR106	VAR095	VAR2228
1 UNMARRIED/SINGLE	14.3	15.5		18.0	21.7	22.9	25.4	19.2
2 MARRIED/COHAB.	78.8	76.4		69.2	66.6	61.7	59.1	69.3
3 WAS MARRIED	6.8	8.0		12.8	11.6	15.1	15.4	11.4
6 DK							.1	.0
8 NA	.1	.1		.1		.3	.1	.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	0	2305	1541	1630	1754	11251
(N) DROPPED	0	0	1856	0	0	0	0	1856

Topic : Profession
 Item : Respondent is (no) housewife
 Note : Completed by interviewer

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR813	VAR813	V612	VAR138	VAR1276	VAR107	VAR096	VAR2229
1 HOUSEWIFE	30.5	40.3	38.0	51.1	57.3	32.1	24.0	38.8
2 NO HOUSEWIFE	69.5	59.7	62.0	48.9	42.7	67.9	76.0	61.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Profession
 Item : Does housewife also have paying job
 Question : Do you have a job in addition to your household ?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2229 is code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR814	VAR814	V613	VAR139	VAR1277	VAR108		VAR2230
1 YES			1.0	14.9	23.1	10.6		7.0
2 NO	30.5	40.3	37.0	36.1	34.1	21.6		31.8
8 NA				.1	.1			.1
9 INAP	69.5	59.7	62.0	48.9	42.7	67.9		61.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

Topic : Profession
 Item : Employment status of respondent
 Question : Can you indicate, with the help of this card, what your present occupation is?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2230, codes 1 or 9
 <the alternatives were listed on a card, handed over to the respondents>

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR321	VAR331	V265	VAR140	VAR1278	VAR109	VAR096	VAR2231
1 EMPLD BY GOVERNMENT	10.8	9.8	9.4	11.5	14.7	13.3	11.3	11.5
2 EMPLD-PRIVATE EMP		28.0		27.9	25.2	26.5	35.9	19.2
3 SELF-EMPLOYED	9.4	6.0	4.7	5.7	4.7	6.4	5.9	6.3
4 EMPLOYED-ELSEWHE	37.5		30.0	1.6	.8	1.7	2.8	12.2
5 WAS EMPLOYED-DIS			3.7	2.8	4.2	2.8	5.5	2.5
6 WAS EMPLD-UNEMPL	1.0	1.0	.8	2.2	2.6	3.3	1.7	1.7
7 WAS EMPLOYED-RET	9.7	11.2	8.0	6.8	6.9	12.3	7.2	8.8
8 WAS EMPLD-OTHER			.5		.5	3.5	5.0	1.1
9 NEVER EMPLD-STUD	1.3	3.3	5.0	4.6	5.4	3.6		3.2
10 NEVER EMPLD-UNEM				.3	.5	.6		.2
11 NEVER EMPLD-OTHE				.3	.3	3.5	1.8	.8
97 CONSCRIPTED	.2	.4						.1
98 NA			.1	.1	.1	1.2	.1	.7
99 HOUSEWIFE, NO JOB	29.9	40.3	38.0	36.2	34.2	21.6	23.0	31.8
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Profession
 Item : Profession and function of respondent
 Question : What is your trade or profession and what is your function?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2231, codes 1 thru 4. See note 78 for details.
 Coded on the basis of responses to the open-ended question

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR322	VAR332	V271	VAR141	VAR1279	VAR110	VAR097	VAR2232
1 MANAGER =>10 EMP				.8	.8	.3	8.3	1.4
2 MANAGER <10 EMPL				2.5	2.5	4.1	13.7	3.1
3 HIGHER PROFESSIO				.7	.7	.7	11.5	1.8
4 FARMER-MARKETGAR				1.5	.7	1.0	.7	.6
5 HIGHER LEVEL EMP				2.4	1.7	2.1	1.8	1.1
6 MIDDLE LEVEL EMP				13.4	16.9	15.2	.9	6.4
7 LOWER LEVEL EMPL				9.8	9.1	12.1	3.0	4.7
8 SKILLED LABOURER				9.0	7.9	6.9	5.0	4.0
9 UNSKILLED LABOUR				6.1	4.9	5.3	9.2	3.5
10 A PROFESSION	56.8	43.7	43.8				1.5	22.3
97 UNCODABLE	.3		1.0					.2
98 NA	.7	.1	.1	.5	.3	.1	.9	.6
99 INAP	42.2	56.2	55.2	53.4	54.5	52.1	44.1	50.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Profession
 Item : Branch of industry respondent's job is in
 Question : In what branch of industry or commerce are you employed?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2231, codes 1 thru 4. See note 72 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR323	VAR333	V270	VAR142	VAR1280	VAR111		VAR2233
1	4.6	2.0	1.9	2.3	1.4	1.5		2.4
2	.4	.1	.1	.3		.1		.2
3	.1	.1		.1	.1	.1		.1
4	1.1	1.4	.5	.7	.1			.7
5	.5	.3	.5	1.1	.6	.4		.6
6	.3	.3	.3	.2	.2	.3		.3
7	1.2	.6	.5	.5	.2	.1		.6
8	.3	.3	.3	.4	.1			.3
9	.4	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1		.2
10	.8	.4	.4	.7	.3	.2		.5
11	.4	.2	.5	.1	.1	.1		.3
12	.9	1.1	.9	.9	.8	4.5		1.4
13	.3	.3	.2	.2	.2	.2		.2
14	1.4	1.0	1.1	.9	.6	.9		1.0
15	.5	.4	.4	.8	.4	.3		.5
16	.6	.2	.4	.7	.4	.2		.4
17	3.0	2.3	2.6	1.3	1.9	2.5		2.3
18	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.5	.6	.8		1.3
19	1.0	.5	.7	.8	.3	.8		.7
20	.4	.1	.4	.7	.4	1.5		.6
21	.5	.4	.4	2.6	1.4	.4		1.0
22	6.8	5.0	4.5	2.9	3.3	2.9		4.4
23	6.9	6.5	5.0	2.7	3.4	5.9		5.1
24	.7	.7	.3	.8	.7	.7		.7
25	.7	.9	.5	.3	.2	.4		.5
26	.8	.4	.8	.6	.5	.7		.6
27	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.1	1.0	.6		1.3
28	1.0	.7	.5	.1	.8	1.4		.7
29	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.9	1.2	1.8		1.5
30	.2	.3	.2	.2	.1	.1		.2
31	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.3	4.5	2.2		2.1
32	1.8	1.2	3.1	5.1	4.3	3.7		3.2
33	.8	.5	.3	.8	.8	4.3		1.2
34	10.7	8.7	10.6	11.2	13.6	6.9		10.3
98 NA	1.8	.7	1.7	.9	.7	1.2		1.2
99 INAP	42.2	56.2	55.2	53.4	54.5	52.1		51.6
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

Topic : Profession
 Item : Number of staff respondent employs
 Question : Do you employ or supervise any staff? And if so, how many people do you employ or supervise?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2231, codes 1 thru 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR326	VAR339	V272	VAR143	VAR1281	VAR112		VAR2234
0 NONE	10.2	5.4	32.2	34.1	27.7	29.3		27.6
1 1 TO 4	5.0	2.9	4.8	4.6	4.8	6.3		5.4
2 5 TO 9	1.3	.5	2.2	2.6	3.0	3.7		2.6
3 10 TO 19	1.1	.4	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9		2.0
4 20 OR MORE	.5	.3	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.9		2.3
96 DK				.0	.2			.0
98 NA		.1	1.0	.5	5.3	3.7		1.9
99 INAP	81.8	90.3	55.2	53.4	54.5	52.1		58.2
(N) OF CASES	1289	950	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	9571
(N) DROPPED	1206	576	0	0	0	0	1754	3536

Topic : Profession
 Item : Number of hours respondent works
 Question : How many hours per week are you employed?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2231, codes 1 thru 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR328	VAR335	V274	VAR144	VAR1282	VAR113		VAR2235
1 1 TO 5 HRS	.2			.5	.4	.4		.2
2 6 TO 15 HRS	1.1			2.7	3.2	3.3		1.7
3 16 TO 20 HRS	1.0	3.4	6.1	3.0	2.3	2.9		3.0
4 21 TO 30 HRS	1.4			2.6	2.3	3.3		1.6
5 31 TO 34 HRS	.0			.7	.7	1.8		.5
6 35 TO 40 HRS	53.7	40.2	38.5	25.5	23.6	21.3		35.0
7 41 OR MORE	.1			11.0	12.1	14.2		5.9
96 DK	.3							.1
98 NA		.1	.6	.6	.9	.7		.4
99 INAP	42.2	56.2	54.8	53.4	54.5	52.1		51.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

Topic : Profession
 Item : Was respondent employed previously
 Question : Were you employed previously?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2230, codes 2 thru 8

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR329			VAR145	VAR1283	VAR114		VAR2236
1 YES	16.3			26.2	25.3	17.1		20.9
2 NO				9.1	8.8	3.9		5.4
6 DK				.1	.1	.1		.1
8 NA				.9		.6		.3
9 INAP	83.7			63.8	65.7	78.4		73.3
(N) OF CASES	1710	0	0	2305	1541	1630	0	7186
(N) DROPPED	0	1526	1856	0	0	0	1754	5136

Topic : Profession
 Item : Previous profession and function of respondent
 Question : What was your trade or profession and function?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2231, codes 5 thru 8 or VAR2236, code 1.
 See note 78 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR330	VAR340	V271	VAR146	VAR1284	VAR115	VAR098	VAR2237
1 MANAGER =>10 EMP				.1	.4	.4	2.6	.5
2 MANAGER <10 EMPL				1.6	1.4	2.1	5.2	1.4
3 HIGHER PROFESSIO					.3	.2	10.5	1.5
4 FARMER-MARKETGAR				.7	.8	.8	.5	.4
5 HIGHER LEVEL EMP				.7	.7	.9	1.1	.5
6 MIDDLE LEVEL EMP				6.7	10.0	8.7	.6	3.5
7 LOWER LEVEL EMPL				8.5	9.7	12.4	1.5	4.4
8 SKILLED LABOURER				7.6	7.3	5.8	2.6	3.3
9 UNSKILLED LABOUR				11.7	8.7	7.8	11.8	5.6
10 A PROFESSION	10.5	12.3	12.9				1.3	5.4
96 DK			.1					.0
97 UNCODABLE	.2	.2	.3					.1
98 NA	.5	.2	.2	.4	.1	1.5	.4	.4
99 INAP	88.9	87.4	86.5	62.0	60.5	59.4	61.8	73.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Profession
 Item : Does respondent work part-time
 Question : Do you work occasionally part-time?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2231, codes 5 thru 99

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR334	VAR345	V267	VAR147				VAR2238
1 YES	1.3	4.1	1.9	6.0				3.3
2 NO	39.6	51.9	41.3	47.1				44.4
6 DK	.2			.1				.1
8 NA	2.4	.2		.2				.8
9 INAP	56.5	43.8	56.8	46.6				51.4
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	0	0	8182
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	1541	1630	1754	4925

Topic : Profession
 Item : Employment of father in respondents adolescence
 Question : When you were 10 to 16 years old, was your (foster)father employed,
 not employed, or what?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V210	VAR151	VAR1288	VAR119		VAR2239
1 EMPLD INCL PART-			90.1	89.0	91.0	92.3		90.5
2 NOT EMPLD-DISABL			4.2	1.6	1.4	1.0		2.1
3 NOT EMPLD-UNEMPL				.5	.9	.8		.5
4 NOT EMPLOYED-RET				.4	.5	.4		.3
5 NOT EMPLOYED-STU				.1	.1	.1		.1
6 DK				2.6		.9		1.0
8 NA			.2	.4	2.5	.2		.7
9 INAP			5.5	5.5	3.6	4.2		4.8
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	7332
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	0	1754	5775

Topic : Profession
 Item : Employer of father of respondent
 Note : Only asked if VAR2239, code 1

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V211	VAR152	VAR1289	VAR120		VAR2240
1 EMPLD BY GOVERNMENT			13.6	15.8	16.3	16.3		15.5
2 EMPLD-PRIVATE EM			46.6	42.2	48.5	45.6		45.4
3 SELF-EMPLOYED			28.1	29.3	25.8	27.7		27.9
6 DK				.2	.1	.1		.1
8 NA			1.9	1.5	.3	2.7		1.6
9 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.7		9.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	7332
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	0	1754	5775

Topic : Profession
 Item : Profession and function of father of respondent
 Question : What was his trade or profession and what was his function?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2240, codes 1 thru 3. See note 78 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V214	VAR153	VAR1290	VAR121		VAR2241
1 MANAGER =>10 EMP				1.7	2.9	2.9		1.8
2 MANAGER <10 EMPL				14.9	11.1	12.6		9.8
3 HIGHER PROFESSIO				1.0	2.8	.7		1.1
4 FARMER-MARKETGAR				10.2	9.0	9.9		7.3
5 HIGHER LEVEL EMP				4.3	2.9	3.4		2.7
6 MIDDLE LEVEL EMP				11.6	16.0	14.2		10.2
7 LOWER LEVEL EMPL				7.7	7.9	11.3		6.6
8 SKILLED LABOURER				18.7	21.4	20.0		14.8
9 UNSKILLED LABOUR				18.0	15.8	16.6		12.7
10 A PROFESSION			87.3					22.1
96 DK			.2					.1
97 UNCODABLE			1.9					.5
98 NA			.7	1.0	1.4	.9		1.0
99 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.4		9.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	7332
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	0	1754	5775

Topic : Profession
 Item : Branch of industry father's job was in
 Question : In what branch of industry or commerce was he employed?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2240, codes 1 thru 3. See note 72 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V213	VAR154	VAR1291	VAR122		VAR2242
1			20.2	14.5	12.1	14.5		15.4
2			1.2	1.7	1.2	1.8		1.5
3			.7	.7	.2	.4		.5
4			1.7	2.4	2.6			1.7
5			.5	4.3	3.8	2.6		2.8
6			1.3	.9	.6	1.0		.9
7			1.7	2.1	2.3	2.3		2.1
8			.7	.8	.5	.4		.6
9			.8	1.0	.6	.6		.8
10			2.0	2.3	1.5	1.2		1.8
11			.8	.6	.3	.4		.5
12			.8	1.1	1.4	3.5		1.6
13			.4	.6	.7	.9		.6
14			1.3	1.5	1.0	1.5		1.4
15			1.0	2.2	1.6	1.0		1.5
16			1.1	1.5	.5	.6		1.0
17			2.9	3.0	3.2	3.9		3.2
18			.9	1.3	1.9	1.0		1.3
19			1.7	1.1	1.0	1.5		1.3
20			.6	1.0	1.6	4.5		1.8
21			.9	3.9	2.4	.8		2.1
22			9.5	6.9	8.6	9.9		8.6
23			10.1	4.3	8.5	11.3		8.2
24			.6	1.0	1.0	1.7		1.1
25			1.2	.9	.9	1.3		1.1
26			2.6	2.9	1.9	3.3		2.7
27			3.8	3.0	3.2	1.1		2.8
28			1.5	.3	.8	1.2		.9
29			1.0	1.6	1.8	1.8		1.6
30			.1	.2	.1	.1		.1
31			.9	1.5	5.2	1.5		2.1
32			.7	1.3	1.8	1.2		1.2
33			.3	.7	.4	1.2		.6
34			10.9	13.3	13.2	9.3		11.8
98 NA			3.9	2.9	2.6	3.0		3.1
99 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.7		9.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	7332
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	0	1754	5775

Topic : Profession
 Item : Number of staff father of respondent employed
 Question : Did he employ or supervise any staff, and if so, how many people did he employ or supervise?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2240, codes 1 thru 3

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V215	VAR155	VAR1292	VAR123		VAR2243
0 NONE			61.4	65.9	55.4	52.1		59.5
1 1 TO 4			12.0	9.0	8.8	11.8		10.4
2 5 TO 9			3.7	4.1	5.3	6.6		4.8
3 10 TO 19			2.6	2.8	4.9	4.5		3.6
4 20 OR MORE			5.7	5.4	4.7	6.3		5.5
96 DK			1.7	.0	.1	2.2		1.0
98 NA			3.1	1.8	11.8	8.8		5.8
99 INAP			9.9	11.0	9.0	7.7		9.5
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	7332
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	0	1754	5775

Topic : Profession
 Item : Head of household is (not) respondent
 Note : Completed by interviewer

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR336	VAR351	V242	VAR156	VAR1293	VAR124	VAR100	VAR2244
1 H. OF H. IS THE R	60.9	48.2	45.3	56.6	57.2	62.8	66.6	57.0
2 H. OF H. ISN'T R	39.1	51.8	54.7	43.4	42.8	37.2	33.4	43.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Profession
 Item : Employment status of head of household
 Question : Can you indicate with the help of this card what the present occupation of the head of the household is?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2244, code 2
 <the alternatives were listed on a card handed over to respondent>

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR337	VAR352	V246	VAR157	VAR1294	VAR125		VAR2245
1 EMPLD BY GOVERNMENT	5.4	9.2	7.8	7.5	7.6	6.6		7.2
2 EMPLD-PRIVATE EM		23.2		19.4	20.0	15.0		11.9
3 SELF-EMPLOYED	6.6	8.3	6.1	5.3	3.8	6.0		6.0
4 EMPLOYED-ELSEWHE	19.8		22.6	.3	.1	.2		8.1
5 WAS EMPLOYED-DIS			4.3	3.1	2.9	2.2		2.0
6 WAS EMPLD-UNEMPL	.3	.9	.6	.9	1.6	1.5		.9
7 WAS EMPLOYED-RET	5.3	8.3	7.2	5.1	5.5	4.2		5.8
8 WAS EMPLD-HOUSEW			6.0	.2	.2	.4		1.1
9 NEVER EMPLOYED-S	.4	.2	.1	.2	.1	.1		.2
10 NEVER EMPLD-UNEM				.1	.1	.2		.1
11 NEVER EMPLD-HOUS	1.2	1.6		.7		.6		.7
12 NEVER EMPLD-OTHE				.2	.8			.1
96 DK				.0	.2			.0
97 CONSCRIPTED		.1						.0
98 NA			.1	.3	.1	.3		.1
99 INAP	60.9	48.2	45.3	56.6	57.1	62.8		55.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

Topic : Profession
 Item : Profession and function of head of household
 Question : What is the trade or profession of the head of the household, and what is his or her function?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2245, codes 1 thru 4. See note 78 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR338	VAR353	V252	VAR158	VAR1295	VAR126	VAR102	VAR2246
1 MANAGER =>10 EMP				.7	.8	1.0	4.7	1.0
2 MANAGER <10 EMPL				2.4	1.6	2.8	5.1	1.6
3 HIGHER PROFESSIO				.5	1.0	.4	3.6	.7
4 FARMER-MARKETGAR				2.0	1.0	1.7	.6	.7
5 HIGHER LEVEL EMP				2.5	1.5	1.2	.7	.8
6 MIDDLE LEVEL EMP				6.9	10.6	8.0	1.4	3.6
7 LOWER LEVEL EMPL				4.6	5.1	4.4	2.2	2.3
8 SKILLED LABOURER				8.9	6.6	5.0	3.9	3.5
9 UNSKILLED LABOUR				4.0	3.0	3.4	3.2	1.9
10 A PROFESSION	31.1	39.8	35.6				.3	15.6
97 UNCODABLE	.3	.2	.8					.2
98 NA	.4	.7	.2	.2	.2	.4	.6	.3
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4	68.5	71.9	73.7	67.7
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Profession
 Item : Branch of industry head of household works
 Question : In what branch of industry or commerce is the head of the household employed?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2245, codes 1 thru 4. See note 72 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR339	VAR354	V251	VAR159	VAR1296	VAR127		VAR2247
1	2.8	3.2	3.1	2.4	1.2	2.0		2.5
2	.1	.4	.1	.1				.1
3	.1			.2				.1
4	.7	.7	.6	.5	.3			.5
5	.3	.3	.3	.9	.5	.7		.5
6	.3	.2	.1	.3		.3		.2
7	.8	.5	.2	.2	.1	.1		.4
8	.1	.1	.2	.0	.1	.1		.1
9	.2		.1	.1	.1	.2		.1
10	.6	.5	.5	.7	.5	.2		.5
11	.1	.3	.3	.2	.2	.4		.2
12	.4	.7	.5	.5	.5	2.2		.7
13	.2	.3	.3	.1	.3			.2
14	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.0	.6	.6		1.0
15	.4	.8	.3	.7	.6	.2		.5
16	.3	.5	.5	1.0	.3	.2		.5
17	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.9		1.8
18	.9	1.2	1.0	1.6	1.0	.6		1.1
19	.7	.9	1.0	.6	.6	.3		.7
20	.4	.3	.5	.6	.6	.9		.5
21	.3	.7	.3	2.3	.6	.2		.8
22	4.0	4.8	4.3	2.8	3.0	2.8		3.6
23	3.9	4.5	3.7	1.4	1.8	2.8		3.0
24	.3	.5	.3	.4	.5	.4		.4
25	.4	.9	.5	.4	.4	.5		.5
26	.9	.7	.5	.6	.6	.2		.6
27	1.5	1.6	2.2	1.0	1.0	.2		1.3
28	.4	.5	.9	.2	.5	.6		.5
29	.7	1.1	.8	.6	1.6	1.0		.9
30		.1	.1	.2	.1	.1		.1
31	.8	1.1	.8	1.1	2.8	1.0		1.2
32	.4	1.0	.9	1.3	1.8	1.0		1.0
33	.2	.2	.3	.4	.3	.9		.4
34	5.1	7.6	6.8	5.8	6.6	4.3		5.9
98 NA	.9	1.4	1.3	.7	.8	1.3		1.0
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4	68.5	71.9		66.6
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

Topic : Profession
 Item : Number of staff head of household employs
 Question : Does the head of the household employ any staff?
 And if so, how many people does he or she employ?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2245, codes 1 thru 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR342	VAR360	V253	VAR160	VAR1297	VAR128		VAR2248
0 NONE	4.3	4.9	25.1	22.6	17.7	14.7		15.8
1 1 TO 4	2.7	3.6	4.3	3.7	4.2	2.9		3.7
2 5 TO 9	.7	.5	2.4	1.7	2.3	2.6		1.9
3 10 TO 19	.6	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.8	2.5		1.5
4 20 OR MORE	.5	.8	1.8	2.8	1.9	2.1		1.9
96 DK			.6		.1			.1
98 NA		1.4	.9	.6	3.5	3.3		1.3
99 INAP	91.2	87.7	63.5	67.4	68.5	71.9		74.0
(N) OF CASES	1866	1032	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	10230
(N) DROPPED	629	494	0	0	0	0	1754	2877

Topic : Profession
 Item : Number of hours per week h.of h. works
 Question : How many hours per week is the head of the household employed?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2245, codes 1 thru 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR344	VAR356	V255	VAR161				VAR2249
1 1 TO 5 HRS	.0							.0
2 6 TO 15 HRS	.0			.1				.0
3 16 TO 20 HRS	.2	.8	.8	.3				.5
4 21 TO 30 HRS	.2			.7				.3
5 31 TO 34 HRS	.0			.4				.1
6 35 TO 40 HRS	31.1	39.1	35.5	21.3				30.8
7 41 OR MORE	.0			9.4				2.7
96 DK	.0							.0
98 NA	.1	.9	.3	.4				.4
99 INAP	68.2	59.3	63.5	67.4				65.3
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	0	0	0	8182
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	1541	1630	1754	4925

Topic : Profession
 Item : Former profession and function of h.of h.
 Question : What was the trade or profession and function of the head of the household?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2245, codes 5 thru 8. See note 78 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR346	VAR361	V252	VAR162	VAR1298	VAR129	VAR103	VAR2250
1 MANAGER =>10 EMP				.1	.4	.2	1.0	.2
2 MANAGER <10 EMPL				.8	.8	.4	1.0	.4
3 HIGHER PROFESSIO					.2	.1	.8	.1
4 FARMER-MARKETGAR				.7	.2	.2	.1	.2
5 HIGHER LEVEL EMP				.2	.6	.4	.1	.2
6 MIDDLE LEVEL EMP				1.6	1.6	1.8	.3	.7
7 LOWER LEVEL EMPL				.9	.9	.8	.5	.4
8 SKILLED LABOURER				2.3	3.6	2.4	1.4	1.3
9 UNSKILLED LABOUR				2.5	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.1
10 A PROFESSION	5.4	8.8	17.1				.2	4.5
96 DK			.2					.0
97 UNCODABLE			.6					.1
98 NA	.1	.4	.1	.1	.2	.1		.1
99 INAP	94.5	90.8	82.0	90.7	89.7	91.7	93.0	90.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Profession
 Item : Does head of household work part-time
 Question : Does the head of the household occasionally work part-time?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2245, codes 5 thru 98

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR366	V259	VAR163					VAR2251
1 YES		1.5	.6	.7				.9
2 NO		8.7	.1	9.7				6.3
8 NA		.7	7.2	.4				2.7
9 INAP		89.1	92.1	89.2				90.1
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1856	2305	0	0	0	5687
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	0	0	1541	1630	1754	7420

Topic : Education
 Item : Education of respondent
 Question : Can you say what your highest level of education is?
 Note : The alternatives were listed on a card handed over to respondent.
 See note 73.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR313	VAR323	V263	VAR167	VAR1301	VAR132	VAR094	VAR2252
1 LA <LOW>	25.9	30.6	41.2	20.0	20.4	16.2		22.3
2 LB	13.5	12.4	16.4	5.6	7.5	10.4	21.4	12.4
3 LV	31.2	34.0	15.1	22.6	17.3	15.7	26.0	23.5
4 U	12.9	11.8	7.5	14.7	15.1	16.0	33.4	15.7
5 UV	4.8	6.0	5.8	7.2	5.7	4.5	15.0	6.9
6 M	1.0	1.7	.8	5.9	5.6	10.2	4.2	4.0
7 MV	.8	.3	8.5	5.9	6.8	7.5		4.2
8 HBO	1.0	1.3	1.5	10.2	13.4	11.5		5.3
9 S			2.5	1.5	2.0	1.5		1.1
10 H <HIGH>				5.6	5.6	5.3		2.3
11 OTHER EDUCATION	.2	1.4						.2
97 UNCODABLE		.1						.0
98 NA	8.8	.4	.8	.8	.6	1.0		2.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Education
 Item : Education of respondent (not) completed
 Question : Did you complete this education, didn't you, or are you still studying to complete it?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V264	VAR168				VAR2253
1 COMPLETED			76.0	80.8				78.7
2 NOT COMPLETED			16.9	12.4				16.4
3 NOT COMPLETED YET			5.5	5.3				3.8
8 NA			1.6	1.5				1.1
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	0	0	0	4161
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	1541	1630	1754	8946

Topic : Education
 Item : Education of father of respondent
 Question : Can you say what the highest level of education of your (foster)father
 is (was)?
 Note : The alternatives were listed on a card handed over to respondent.
 See note 73.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE		VAR307	V209	VAR169	VAR1302	VAR133		VAR2254
1 LA <LOW>		67.0	63.6	46.3	47.2	43.6		53.2
2 LB		12.8	12.7	5.1	4.5	7.7		8.4
3 LV		10.4	6.7	12.8	11.2	10.6		10.4
4 U		3.2	4.1	6.5	7.3	6.4		5.5
5 UV			2.9	3.8	3.9	2.3		2.7
6 M			.3	2.8	3.0	4.4		2.1
7 MV			3.3	2.4	2.9	4.3		2.6
8 HBO			.8	4.5	4.3	5.0		3.0
9 S			1.0	1.0	1.4	1.5		1.0
10 H <HIGH>				3.0	3.2	2.4		1.8
96 DK		5.6	4.1	10.7	10.8	11.0		8.5
98 NA		.9	.6	1.1	.2	.9		.8
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	8858
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	0	0	0	0	1754	4249

Topic : Education
 Item : Recapitulation concerning head of household
 Note : Completed by interviewer

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V618	VAR170	VAR1303	VAR134	VAR100	VAR2255
1 H. OF H. IS RESP			45.3	56.6	57.1	62.8	66.6	57.4
2 H. OF H. IS FATH				4.9	5.5	3.3		20.4
3 H. OF H. IS OTHE			54.7	38.5	37.4	33.9	33.4	22.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	9086
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	0	0	0	0	4021

Topic : Education
 Item : Education of head of household
 Question : Can you say what the highest level of education of the head of the household is?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2244, code 2. The alternatives were listed on a card handed over to respondent. See note 73.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR317	VAR327	V244	VAR171	VAR1304	VAR135	VAR101	VAR2256
1 LA <LOW>	8.7	14.4	21.8	8.8	8.2	4.4	6.3	9.5
2 LB	4.7	4.7	13.6	2.1	2.9	4.7	26.9	5.5
3 LV	8.5	15.1	5.7	10.6	8.0	6.8		9.1
4 U	4.0	6.9	3.8	4.4	4.7	4.8		5.5
5 UV	2.0	2.3	2.2	3.4	3.8	2.1		2.8
6 M	.3	.7	.5	2.0	2.3	2.5		1.4
7 MV	.3	.3	4.1	2.9	3.8	4.1		2.2
8 HBO	1.0	.9	.8	5.2	4.3	4.2		2.3
9 S			1.2	.8	.8	.8		.5
10 H <HIGH>				2.0	2.9	2.2		1.0
11 OTHER EDUCATION		.5						.1
96 DK	.2	.1	.1	.7	.6	.2	.2	.3
97 UNCODABLE		.1						.0
98 NA	9.3	6.0	1.1	.7	.4	.4	.1	2.8
99 INAP	60.9	48.2	45.3	56.6	57.1	62.8	66.6	57.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2304	1541	1630	1754	13107


Topic : Education
 Item : Education of head of household (not) completed
 Question : Did he/she complete this education, didn't he/she, or is he/she still studying to complete it?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2244, code 2

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE			V245	VAR172				VAR2257
1 COMPLETED			45.1	34.0				35.4
2 NOT COMPLETED			7.6	3.6				5.7
3 NOT COMPLETED YE			.8	.8				.6
6 DK				.2				.1
8 NA			1.2	.4				.6
9 INAP			45.3	60.9				57.7
(N) OF CASES	0	0	1856	2191	0	0	0	4047
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	0	114	1541	1630	1754	9060

Topic : Social class
 Item : Social class - self image
 Question : It is not unusual to divide society into various social classes. Do you think you belong to a particular social class: If so which one?
 Note : The alternatives were listed on a card handed over to respondent

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR357	VAR369	V287	VAR173	VAR1305	VAR136	VAR122	VAR2258
1 UPPER CLASS	1.6	1.3	1.8	2.5	2.2	2.0	2.1	1.9
2 UPPER MIDDLE CLA	9.2	9.6	6.5	9.8	11.6	14.0	13.2	10.4
3 MIDDLE CLASS	37.0	43.4	39.8	40.8	45.9	43.7	50.4	42.5
4 UPPER WORKING CL			13.1	10.3	10.0	10.9	8.0	7.3
5 WORKING CLASS	36.1	37.0	32.7	31.0	26.4	25.6	23.2	30.7
6 LOWER WORKING CL	3.6	3.8						1.1
96 DK	7.0	2.2	4.6	4.6	3.6	3.3	1.9	4.1
98 NA	5.5	2.7	1.6	1.0	.3	.6	1.2	2.0
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107


Social class - self image



Topic : Religion
 Item : Religion of respondent
 Question : Do you consider yourself as belonging to a particular religion or denomination? And if so, to which one?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR362	VAR384	V290	VAR174	VAR1306	VAR138	VAR108	VAR2259
1 ROMAN CATHOLIC	35.5	34.0	33.9	35.7	34.1	31.0	29.1	33.6
2 DUTCH REFORMED	21.2	22.4	20.0	17.4	16.2	14.4	14.5	18.2
3 CALVINIST	9.1	10.3	9.0	8.9	7.9	5.8	8.2	8.5
4 OTHER RELIGION	4.5	3.5	2.4	3.2	4.9	4.2	5.4	4.0
5 NO RELIGION	29.4	29.6	33.8	34.4	36.6	44.2	42.7	35.4
6 DK			.5	.2	.1	.1	.1	.1
8 NA	.4	.3	.3	.2	.2	.3	.1	.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Religion
 Religion of respondent



Topic : Religion
 Item : Dutch Reformed denomination of respondent
 Question : Do you consider yourself as belonging to a particular religion or denomination? And if so, to which one?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2259 code 2.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR386	V292	VAR175	VAR1307	VAR139	VAR110	VAR2260	
1 VRIJZINNIG	3.5	1.9	3.9	3.6	2.6	2.4	3.0	
2 MIDDEN ORTHODOX	1.0	1.5	1.9	3.4	1.5	1.5	1.8	
3 GERE.F. BOND	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	
4 CONFESSIONEEL	1.8	1.5			1.6	1.4	1.0	
5 OTHER	1.0	.3	5.4	.5	.9	.2	1.6	
6 NONE	12.9	.8		6.4	5.2	7.2	4.9	
96 DK	.5	.3	2.1	.8	.8	.2	.8	
98 NA	.1	.4	2.4		.1		.6	
99 INAP	77.6	91.6	82.6	83.8	85.6	85.5	84.6	
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	10612
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	0	0	0	0	0	2495

Topic : Religion
 Item : Calvinist denomination of respondent
 Question : Do you consider yourself as belonging to a particular religion or denomination? And if so, to which one?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2259 code 3.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	GEREF	GEREF	VAR176	VAR1308	VAR140	VAR111	VAR2261	
1 SYNODAAL	8.5	11.0	2.6	4.3	3.6	5.1	5.4	
2 VRIJGEMAAKT-ART.31	.7	1.6	.5	.5	.7	1.0	.8	
3 BUITEN VERBAND			.1	.7	.4	.3	.3	
4 CHR. GERE.F. KERK			.3	.5	.2	.7	.3	
5 GERE.F. GEMEENTEN	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.6	.6	1.0	1.1	
6 OUD GERE.F. GEMEENTE					.1		.0	
7 OTHER			3.1			.1	.7	
96 DK			.4	.2	.1	.1	.1	
98 NA			.8	.1	.1	.1	.2	
99 INAP	89.7	86.2	91.1	92.1	94.2	91.8	91.1	
(N) OF CASES	0	1526	1213	2305	1541	1630	1754	9969
(N) DROPPED	2495	0	0	0	0	0	0	2495

Topic : Religion
 Item : Attendance of religious services
 Question : How often do you attend religious services?
 Note : Only asked if VAR2259, code 1 thru 4

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR364	VAR387	V293	VAR177	VAR1309	VAR141	VAR112	VAR2262
1 AT LEAST ONCE A W	38.9	31.4	25.5	23.6	19.7	18.3	17.8	25.8
2 AT LEAST ONCE A M	8.5	10.4	12.4	11.4	11.6	9.6	11.6	10.7
3 SEVERAL TIMES A Y	10.3	13.0	14.7	13.7	15.6	13.2	13.2	13.2
4 <ALMOST>NEVER	11.7	13.0	12.1	16.1	16.2	13.9	14.6	13.9
6 DK	.8	.1	.2	.1	.1	.1		.2
8 NA		2.2	.6	.3	.1	.6		.5
9 INAP	29.8	29.8	34.5	34.7	36.9	44.3	42.8	35.7
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107
MEAN	1.926	2.115	2.208	2.344	2.449	2.411	2.430	2.239
STD DEV	1.174	1.189	1.153	1.205	1.579	1.190	1.174	1.196
(N) VALID CASES	1732	1037	1201	1496	971	896	1003	8334

Topic : Religion
 Item : Religion respondent is brought up in
 Question : Were you brought up in a particular religion or denomination? And if so, in which one?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR366	VAR389	V295	VAR178	VAR1310	VAR142	VAR113	VAR2263
1 ROMAN CATHOLIC	39.4	37.8	40.2	42.6	41.2	42.1	40.9	40.6
2 DUTCH REFORMED	23.9	25.1	24.0	22.7	20.7	20.2	20.5	22.6
3 CALVINIST	10.9	11.5	10.3	10.5	11.2	8.5	12.1	10.7
4 OTHER RELIGION	4.6	3.1	2.6	3.4	4.3	5.5	4.0	4.0
5 NO RELIGION	20.9	21.3	22.2	19.9	22.0	23.1	22.4	21.6
6 DK		.1	.1	.2				.0
8 NA	.2	1.0	.5	.7	.5	.5	.1	.5
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Income
 Item : Income of respondent's household
 Note : The respondent has been asked to indicate, with the help of categories on a showcard, the level of household income. The interviewer emphasized that the information provided would remain strictly confidential and that the question referred to the net total income of the household (i.e. the sum of net incomes of all members of the household, including social security, unemployment benefits, etc., after deduction of taxes).
 See note 74

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR372	VAR373	V282	VAR179	VAR1311	VAR154	VAR123	VAR2264
1 A <LOW>	5.4	3.7	2.3	2.2	2.3	10.1	17.6	6.0
2 B	7.0	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.8	8.7	10.7	6.1
3 C	6.9	6.7	8.5	4.6	5.5	8.1	13.0	7.5
4 D	6.7	6.1	12.4	9.0	6.7	8.9	13.6	9.0
5 E	7.8	9.2	10.0	8.3	7.1	8.6	15.4	9.4
6 F	7.1	9.9	8.0	9.5	9.5	6.1	11.6	8.7
7 G	10.6	11.2	5.1	9.4	9.2	7.2	12.7	9.4
8 H	10.9	11.0	2.0	6.2	6.7	9.3		6.7
9 J	8.9	11.1	1.2	5.6	4.9	4.3		5.3
10 K	4.6	3.6	.9	7.0	8.2	7.2		4.5
11 L	3.3	2.5	.5	5.7	6.5	4.8		3.3
12 M <HIGH>			1.2	8.2	10.3	4.0		3.3
96 DK	7.8	7.7	19.4	7.4	8.5	5.0	3.2	8.5
97 REFUSED TO ANSWE				12.3	10.3			3.4
98 NA	13.1	13.0	24.4	.6	.4	7.7	2.2	8.9
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Income
 Item : Health insurance - head of household
 Question : Is head of household required by law to have health insurance?
 Note : In the Netherlands, privately employed wage earners under a certain level of income are by law required to have health insurance via a "ziekenfonds". For privately employed persons then, this question yield a simple dichotomy of income. The relevant gross income level at time of interviewing (1981) was fl. 42.300,-

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR180	VAR1312	VAR157	VAR125	VAR2265
1 YES				55.3	53.5	66.3	62.5	59.1
2 NO				43.8	45.2	33.1	37.5	40.2
6 DK				.5	1.0	.2		.4
8 NA				.4	.3	.5		.3
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	2305	1541	1630	1754	7230
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	0	0	0	0	5877

Topic : Income
 Item : Is respondent house owner-occupier
 Question : Do you (or does the head of household) own the house in which you live?

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR359	VAR377	V576	VAR181	VAR1313	VAR158	VAR126	VAR2266
1 YES	32.3	42.7	41.5	45.4	43.9	47.0	48.5	42.5
2 NO	67.3	56.5	52.9	54.1	55.7	52.5	51.4	56.3
6 DK		.1		.1	.1	.2	.1	.1
8 NA	.5	.7	5.5	.4	.3	.4	.1	1.1
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Miscellaneous
 Item : Degree of urbanization
 Note : Coded by interviewing agency on the basis of the address of the household sampled. See note 76 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR384	VAR399	V313	VAR187	VAR1319	VAR173	VAR105	VAR2267
1 A1 <LOW>	2.5	2.8	2.6				12.7	1.2
2 A2	6.2	5.4	5.5	.3	.5	.4		2.7
3 A3	9.0	8.7	8.7	2.5	2.9	2.5		5.5
4 A4	5.9	6.3	6.0	7.7	6.5	6.8		6.9
5 B1	5.1	3.7	4.9	8.4	8.1	7.5	21.7	6.4
6 B2	11.3	13.0	15.3	14.6	13.1	13.8		13.6
7 B3	8.1	7.2	7.9	14.1	14.5	14.2	13.6	11.3
8 C1	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.3	2.9	2.4	10.9	2.6
9 C2	6.5	8.1	7.9	9.2	10.5	7.9		8.1
10 C3	4.5	4.8	3.6	6.3	5.2	6.1	19.6	5.4
11 C4	11.1	11.5	11.2	9.2	10.8	9.1		10.5
12 C5	11.6	13.3	13.4	14.1	14.3	14.1	21.4	13.3
13 C6 <HIGH>	15.9	12.6	10.2	11.4	10.8	15.3		12.4
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Miscellaneous
 Item : Size of municipality
 Note : Coded by interviewing agency on the basis of the address of the household sampled.

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE				VAR188	VAR1320	VAR174		VAR2268
1 <=5000				5.5	4.4	2.9		4.3
2 5000-10000				11.1	10.2	9.0		10.9
3 10000-20000				18.1	18.4	18.8		17.4
4 20000-50000				25.6	25.8	22.6		26.1
5 50000-100000				12.8	14.3	16.1		14.3
6 =>100000				15.5	16.1	15.3		15.5
7 THE HAGUE				2.9	3.6	3.7		2.5
8 ROTTERDAM				3.6	2.4	4.3		2.6
9 AMSTERDAM				4.9	4.8	7.3		4.2
(N) OF CASES	0	0	0	2305	1541	1630	0	5476
(N) DROPPED	2495	1526	1856	0	0	0	1754	7631

Topic : Miscellaneous
 Item : Province
 Note : Coded by interviewing agency on the basis of the address of the household sampled

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR383	VAR398	V314	VAR189	VAR1321	VAR175		VAR2269
1 GRONINGEN	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.9	4.0	4.4		4.3
2 FRIESLAND	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.0		4.5
3 DRENTHÉ	3.2	2.9	3.8	3.3	2.8	3.5		3.3
4 OVERYSSEL	7.1	7.7	7.5	6.8	6.2	7.1		7.0
5 GELDERLAND	11.8	12.5	12.4	13.2	12.2	11.0		12.2
6 UTRECHT	6.3	5.9	5.9	6.0	7.3	6.3		6.4
7 NOORD HOLLAND	9.9	11.2	11.1	10.5	10.4	10.6		10.8
8 ZUID HOLLAND	12.0	12.6	15.9	13.8	16.0	12.3		13.8
9 ZEELAND	2.4	3.5	2.3	2.1	2.9	2.5		2.5
10 NOORD BRABANT	14.4	14.2	14.1	14.6	15.0	14.8		14.6
11 LIMBURG	7.9	8.5	8.2	8.9	7.3	7.2		8.0
12 METROPOLITAN ARE	15.9	12.6	10.2	11.4	10.8	15.3		12.4
13 Z-YSSELMEERPOLDE	.2			.3	.6	1.0		.4
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

Topic : Miscellaneous
 Item : Region
 Note : Coded by interviewing agency on the basis of the address of the household sampled. See note 75 for details

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-89
VARIABLE	VAR829	VAR831	V312	VAR190	VAR1322	VAR176	VAR106	VAR2270
1 DISTRICT I	15.9	12.6	10.2	11.4	10.8	15.3	45.2	12.4
2 DISTRICT II	25.0	26.9	28.5	26.2	29.5	26.0		28.0
3 DISTRICT III	12.2	11.3	12.2	12.5	11.4	12.0	12.2	12.0
4 DISTRICT IV	19.0	20.2	19.9	20.3	19.0	18.7	20.0	19.6
5 DISTRICT V	24.7	26.1	24.7	25.6	25.1	24.5	22.6	24.8
6 DISTRICT VI	3.2	2.9	4.4	4.0	4.1	3.6		3.2
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	1754	13107

Topic : Miscellaneous
 Item : Identification number of municipality
 Note : Coded by interviewing agency on the basis of the address of the household sampled. See note 77

	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989	1971-86
VARIABLE	VAR382	VAR397	V305	VAR191	VAR1324	VAR177		VAR2271
<no table presented>								
(N) OF CASES	2495	1526	1856	2305	1541	1630	0	11353
(N) DROPPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1754

PART III

Appendices

Appendix 1

national election statistics

Election :	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989
Entitled voters :	8 048 726	8 916 947	9 506 318	10 040 121	10 216 627	10 727 701	11 112 189
Number of votes :							
absolute	6 364 719	7 445 287	8 365 829	8 738 238	8 273 631	9 199 621	8 919 787
percentage	79.1	83.5	88.0	87.0	81.0	85.8	80.3
of the number of votes were :							
blank	22 697	21 387	18 658	17 693	14 788	10 364	26 485
invalid	23 870	29 885	29 559	29 708	22 327	17 098	
valid	6 318 152	7 394 045	8 317 612	8 690 837	8 236 516	9 172 159	8 893 302
% valid	99.3	99.3	99.4	99.5	99.6	99.7	99.7
quota	42 121	49 294	55 451	57 939	54 910	61 148	59 289
of the valid votes were for the :							
PVDA	1 554 280	2 021 454	2 813 793	2 458 452	2 503 517	3 051 678	2 835 251
CDA	(2 321 510)	(2 313 473)	2 652 278	2 677 259	2 420 441	3 172 918	3 140 502
KVP	1 379 662	1 305 401					
ARP	542 742	653 609					
CHU	399 106	354 463					
VVD	653 370	1 068 375	1 492 689	1 505 311	1 900 763	1 596 991	1 295 402
D66	428 067	307 048	452 423	961 121	351 278	562 466	701 934
GROEN LINKS	(453 356)	(796 489)	(362 363)	(578 945)	(528 212)	(305 230)	362 304
CPN	246 569	330 398	143 481	178 292	147 753	57 847	
PPR	116 049	354 829	140 910	171 042	136 446	115 203	
PSP	90 738	111 262	77 972	184 422	187 547	110 182	
EVP				45 189	56 466	21 998	
DS70	336 719	304 714	59 487	48 568	31 047		
SGP	148 192	163 114	177 010	171 324	156 636	159 740	166 082
GPV	101 790	131 236	79 421	70 878	67 163	88 381	109 637
BP(RVP)	69 656	143 239	69 914	17 371	21 987		
RKPN		67 658	33 227	20 812	12 689		
RPF			53 220	108 364	124 235	83 582	85 231
NVU			33 434	10 641	1 632		
CP(CD)				12 242	68 423	49 018	81 427
SP			24 420	30 380	44 959	32 144	38 870
OTHER PARTIES	251 212	77 245	13 933	19 169	3 534	70 011	76 662

Election :	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989
In percentages of the valid votes :							
PVDA	24.6	27.3	33.8	28.3	30.4	33.3	31.9
CDA	(36.7)	(31.3)	31.9	30.8	29.4	34.6	35.3
KVP	21.8	17.7					
ARP	8.6	8.8					
CHU	6.3	4.8					
VVD	10.3	14.4	17.9	17.3	23.1	17.4	14.6
D66	6.8	4.2	5.4	11.1	4.3	6.1	7.9
GROEN LINKS	(7.2)	(10.8)	(4.4)	(6.7)	(6.4)	(3.3)	4.1
CPN	3.9	4.5	1.7	2.1	1.8	0.6	
PPR	1.8	4.8	1.7	2.0	1.7	1.3	
PSP	1.4	1.5	0.9	2.1	2.3	1.2	
EVP				0.5	0.7	0.2	
DS70	5.3	4.1	0.7	0.6	0.4		
SGP	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.9
GPV	1.6	1.8	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.2
BP(RVP)	1.1	1.9	0.8	0.2	0.3		
RKPN		0.9	0.4	0.2	0.2		
RPF			0.6	1.2	1.5	0.9	1.0
NVU			0.4	0.1	0.0		
CP(CD)				0.1	0.8	0.5	0.9
SP			0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4
OTHER PARTIES	4.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.9

Election :	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989
National turnout:	79.1	83.5	88.0	87.0	81.0	85.8	80.3
Turnout by province :							
Groningen	83.0	85.9	89.0	88.8	84.4	87.8	83.9
Friesland	83.9	87.2	91.7	91.6	87.9	90.5	87.0
Drenthe	82.7	85.9	90.9	91.1	87.3	90.5	87.1
Overijssel	83.8	87.4	91.8	91.1	86.4	89.9	85.6
Flevoland						86.5	80.1
Gelderland	80.4	84.8	89.7	89.0	83.4	87.9	82.8
Utrecht	80.0	85.1	89.9	88.0	82.4	87.0	81.5
Noord-Holland	79.0	84.0	87.5	85.7	79.7	83.7	78.0
Zuid-Holland	78.7	83.2	87.7	85.8	79.5	84.0	78.0
Zeeland	79.4	82.8	88.6	88.0	82.3	86.6	81.6
Noord-Brabant	75.4	80.6	86.0	85.6	78.3	84.9	78.3
Limburg	73.4	77.3	84.0	84.0	74.9	83.1	76.9
Turnout by degree of urbanization :							
Rural municipalities	83.3	86.1	91.6	91.5	87.4	90.8	
Urbanized rural municipalities	80.1	84.6	89.1	89.1	83.7	88.3	
Industrialized R.M.	79.4	83.6	88.7	89.1	83.5	88.3	
Commuters municipalities	81.5	86.7	90.0	89.1	84.0	88.3	
Urbanized municipalities	77.0	81.9	86.0	84.8	77.8	82.9	
Country and small towns	80.4	84.4	88.5	87.9	82.7	86.9	
Medium sized towns	77.8	82.8	86.7	86.0	79.0	84.4	
Large towns	75.6	80.6	84.6	82.7	75.1	80.2	

Election :	1971	1972	1977	1981	1982	1986	1989
National turnout:	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
In percentages of the national turnout :							
Groningen	104.9	102.9	101.1	102.1	104.2	102.3	104.5
Friesland	106.1	104.4	104.2	105.3	108.5	105.5	108.3
Drenthe	104.6	102.9	103.3	104.7	107.8	105.5	108.5
Overijssel	105.9	104.7	104.3	104.7	106.7	104.8	106.0
Flevoland						100.8	99.8
Gelderland	101.6	101.6	101.9	102.3	103.0	102.4	103.1
Utrecht	101.1	101.9	102.2	101.1	101.7	101.4	101.5
Noord-Holland	99.9	100.6	99.4	98.5	98.4	97.6	97.1
Zuid-Holland	99.5	99.6	99.7	98.6	98.1	97.9	97.1
Zeeland	100.4	99.2	100.7	101.1	101.6	100.9	101.6
Noord-Brabant	95.3	96.5	97.7	98.4	96.7	99.0	97.5
Limburg	92.8	92.6	95.5	96.6	92.5	96.9	95.8
Rural municipalities	105.3	103.1	104.1	105.2	107.9	105.8	
Urbanized rural municipalities	101.3	101.3	101.3	102.4	103.3	102.9	
Industrialized R.M.	100.4	100.1	100.8	102.4	103.1	102.9	
Commuters municipalities	103.0	103.8	102.3	102.4	103.7	102.9	
Urbanized municipalities	97.3	98.1	97.7	97.5	96.0	96.6	
Country and small towns	101.6	101.1	100.6	101.0	102.1	101.3	
Medium sized towns	98.4	99.2	98.5	98.9	97.5	98.4	
Large towns	95.6	96.5	96.1	95.1	92.7	93.5	

Sources : **CBS , Statistiek der verkiezingen, Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal**
's-Gravenhage: Staatsuitgeverij (several years)

Appendix 2

Notes

As mentioned, the code book of the 1981 parliamentary election study has been used as the starting-point for the construction of this source book. The notes in this section provide a concise elucidation of the coding schemes for several open-ended questions as well as some basic information on scaling procedures and classifications. See the original code book of the 1981 study (NKO81)* for extensive information on coding schemes, scaling procedures, and the exact meaning of several codes.

Note 1: Motivation for party choice

The answers to the open-ended question on party choice have been coded according to a scheme containing more than 50 distinct categories (interests, satisfaction, ideology, candidates, parties, policy areas, traditions, impact of media, etc.). Each entrance is illustrated with a few typical responses. A maximum of two different answers has been coded. See Appendix 10 (NKO81; p. 343).

Note 2: Motivation for not-voting

The answers to the open-ended question on motivations for not-voting have been coded according to a scheme containing 9 distinct categories (circumstances, interests, beliefs, protest, etc.). Each entrance is illustrated with a few typical responses. A maximum of two different answers has been coded. See Appendix 11 (NKO81; p. 352).

Note 3: Previously voted for a party

The open-ended question on voting behavior at previous elections has been presented to voters who also said which party they voted for, and who did not always vote for the same

* C. van der Eijk, B. Niemöller, and A.Th.J. Eggen, **Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1981**, Amsterdam: Department of Political Science (FSW-A), 1981.

party (VAR2012, code 1). The question is also presented to non-voters who said to have voted at previous elections (VAR2019, code 1). See page 217 (NKO81).

Note 4: Party identification

The questionnaires of the distinct studies contain a series of questions on the concept 'party identification'. With this information two variables are constructed: (a) a typology of party identification, and (b) a scale reflecting the strength of identification with the first party. Due to differences in question wording, no common measure of party identification is available for the six Dutch election studies. Especially the 1981 coding for this variable is incommensurable with the other data sets. The range of the scale for the strength of party identification can be used for comparative research: the scales run from 0 (no identification) to 6 or 9 (convinced adherent). See Appendix 15 (NKO81; p. 427).

Note 5: Party choice for graph

In the graph the categories of the answers have been recoded in the following groups;

8,9,10,17 and 21 to	1 ; SMALL(GREEN) LEFT
1	to 2 ;PVDA
7	to 3 ;D66
2,3,4 and 5	to 4 ;CDA
6	to 5 ;VVD
12,13 and 16	to 6 ;SMALL RIGHT
11,14,15,18,19,20 AND 60	to 7 ;OTHER PARTIES
90 THRU 98	to 8 ; MISSING

Note 21: Political interest scale

The four questions on aspects of political interest (VAR2074 to VAR2077) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct 'political interest'. The homogeneity of these four items is assessed with the stochastic cumulative scaling model, known as Mokken-scaling.* A simple scale score is computed by counting the number of positive responses of the respondent. Positive responses included in the resulting scale for political interest are: VAR2074, code 1; VAR2075, code 1,2; VAR2076, code 1,2; and VAR2077, code 1. Results of the scaling procedure are reported in the distinct code books. See Appendix 14, Ch.2 (NKO81; p. 418).

Note 22: Political efficacy scale

The four questions on several aspects of political efficacy (VAR2105 to VAR2108) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct 'political efficacy'. The homogeneity of these four items is assessed with the stochastic cumulative scaling model, known as Mokken-scaling. A simple scale score is computed by counting the number of positive responses of the respondent. Positive responses included in the resulting scale for political efficacy are code 2 for each variable in this set. Results of the scaling procedure are reported in the distinct code books. See Appendix 14, Ch.3 (NKO81; p. 419).

Note 31: Sense of civic competence score

The questions concerning perceived effects of political actions (VAR2116 to VAR2131) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct 'sense of civic competence'. The homogeneity of these items is assessed with the stochastic cumulative scaling model, known as Mokken-scaling. A simple scale score is computed by counting the number of positive responses of the respondent. Positive responses included in the resulting scale for the sense of civic competence are code 1 and 2 for each variable in this set. Results of the scaling procedure are reported in the distinct code books. See Appendix 14, Ch.7 (NKO81; p. 423).

* See R.J. Mokken, *A theory and procedure of scale analysis*, The Hague: Mouton, 1971.

Note 32: Civic participation score

The questions concerning actual participation in distinct modes of political actions (VAR2133 to VAR2151) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct 'civic participation'. The homogeneity of these items is assessed with the stochastic cumulative scaling model, known as Mokken-scaling. A simple scale score is computed by counting the number of positive responses of the respondent. Positive responses included in the resulting scale for civic participation are code 1 for each variable in this set. Results of the scaling procedure are reported in the distinct code books. See Appendix 14, Ch.8 (NKO81; p. 424).

Note 33: Legitimacy of social protest score

The questions concerning the respondents (dis)approval of various modes of social protest, as well as various forms of government reaction to such protest (VAR2154 to VAR2160) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct 'legitimacy of social protest'. The homogeneity of these items is assessed with the stochastic cumulative scaling model, known as Mokken-scaling. A simple scale score is computed by counting the number of positive responses of the respondent. Positive responses included in the resulting scale for the legitimacy of social protest are VAR2154, code 1; VAR2155, code 3; VAR2156, code 1; VAR2157, code 3; VAR2158, code 1; VAR2159, code 3; and VAR2160, code 1. Results of the scaling procedure are reported in the distinct code books. See Appendix 14, Ch.9 (NKO81; p. 425).

Note 41: National problems

Up to five different answers have been coded for the open ended question on the most important problems of the country. An extensive coding scheme with about 100 distinct categories is used. See Appendix 1 (NKO81, p. 301) for the exact description of these categories and the examples presented to the coders.

Note 51: Nuclear weapons opinion score

The five questions on opinions about several aspects of Dutch policy on nuclear armaments (VAR2188 to VAR2192) can be considered as operationalizations of the

single construct 'opinion on nuclear weapons'. The homogeneity of these items is assessed with the stochastic cumulative scaling model, known as Mokken-scaling. A simple scale score is computed by counting the number of positive responses of the respondent. Positive responses included in the resulting scale for the opinion on nuclear weapons are: VAR2188, code 1,2; VAR2189, code 1,2; VAR2190, code 1,2; VAR2191, code 4,5; and VAR2192, code 5. Results of the scaling procedure are reported in the distinct code books. See Appendix 2, Ch.4 (NKO86; p. 224).*

Note 52: Nuclear weapons; perception of political parties

The respondents are invited to indicate which of some statements best represents the position of a particular party. In the 1981 and 1982 election studies these four statements have been taken directly from the previous opinion question on nuclear weapons (see Note 51). For the 1986 and 1989 election studies, however, a fifth item has been added to the list (reduce the number of nuclear weapons independently of NATO). The codes in VAR2194 to VAR2197 refer to the following items:

item:	NKO81 & NK082:	NKO86 & NK089:
- remove nuclear weapons	item 1	item 1
- reduction independent of NATO	--	item 2
- reduction irrespective of USSR	item 2	item 3
- reduction with respect to USSR	item 3	item 4
- increase arsenal	item 4	item 5

Note 61: Confessional attitudes score

The five questions concerning religion as a guide in politics (VAR2212 to VAR2216) can be considered as operationalizations of the single construct 'confessional attitude'. The homogeneity of these items is assessed with the stochastic cumulative scaling model, known as Mokken-scaling. A simple scale score is computed by counting the number of positive responses of the respondent. Positive responses included in the resulting scale for

* C. van der Eijk, G.A. Irwin, B. Niemöller, **Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1986**, Amsterdam: Steinmetz Archive, 1988.

confessional attitudes are: VAR2212, code 2,3; VAR2213, code 2,3; VAR2214, code 2,3; VAR2215, code 2,3; and VAR2216, code 1,2. Results of the scaling procedure are reported in the distinct code books. See Appendix 14, Ch.6 (NKO81; p. 422).

Note 71: Typology of household composition

A typology is constructed combining information on age, marital status, and number and age of children in the household of the respondent. See Appendix 4 (NKO81, p. 327).

Note 72: Branches of industry

A classification of different branches of industry is used for the answers to this question. See Appendix 5 (NKO81, p. 328).

Note 73: Education

No common coding scheme is used for education in the distinct election studies. Broadly speaking, each coding scheme represents the level of education. The tables for variables VAR2252 to VAR2257, however, contain incommensurable codes if one is looking for the exact identification of the school types referred to. The information in this table consist of a broad recoding of the level of education in the distinct studies. See Appendix 6 (NKO81, p. 329) for the outlines of the coding scheme applied here.

Note 74: Income

It turned out to be impossible to convert the distinct codes of the questions on income into a single common scale. Besides, inflation and growing affluence make it hard to compare figures on income for the time span under consideration. The common characteristic of the scales is that low codes indicate low incomes, and higher codes represent higher levels of income. See Appendix 7 (NKO81, p. 330) and the information in the original code books of the other election studies.

Note 75: Region

The codes for the regions are a simple classification of the four regions of the country (II=West, III=North, IV=East, and V=South), and two distinct codes for the metropolitan

areas (one for the three largest cities (I), and another for their suburbs and adjacent municipalities (VI)). See Appendix 8 (NKO81, p. 332).

Note 76: Urbanization

The coding scheme for this variable is identical to the one employed by the Dutch census bureau (CBS). The entries of the classification represent the nature of the area (rural, urbanized, towns). See Appendix 8 (NKO81, p. 331).

Note 77: Municipalities

Municipalities are identified by the codes which are in use by the Dutch census bureau (CBS). See Appendix 9 (NKO81, p. 334).

Note 78: Occupation

Codes for the 1971, 1972, and 1977 studies are included in the integrated data file but not presented here. No common classification scheme for the six studies could be applied. Users are referred to the code books of the distinct studies for further details.

Appendix 3

file information

	date and study fieldwork	principal investigators	Steinmetz study number	respon- dents	vari- ables
1971	feb - may NV v/h NSS (The Hague)	R.J. Mokken F.M. Roschar	P0131	2495	795
1972	nov - dec 72 june - aug 73 NV v/h NSS (The Hague)	L.P.J. De Bruyn J.W. Foppen	P0353	1526	782
1977	march - aug Interact b.v. (Dongen)	G.A. Irwin J. Verhoef C.J. Wiebrens	P0354	1856	582
1981	jan - june Intomart b.v. (Hilversum)	C. van der Eijk B. Niemöller A.Th.J. Eggen	P0350	2305	796
1982	sept - oct Intomart b.v. (Hilversum)	C. van der Eijk M.J. Koopman B. Niemöller	P0633	1541	334
1986	march - june Inter\View (Amsterdam)	C. van der Eijk G.A. Irwin B. Niemöller	P0866	1630	385
1989	july - nov CBS (Voorburg)	H. Anker E.V. Oppenhuis	P1000	1754	310

All data sets are available without restrictions from the Steinmetz Archive. This institute can be contacted for information about files and code books. The Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies are also distributed by the ICPSR (Michigan, USA).

Address:

Steinmetz Archive
Herengracht 410-412
1017 BX Amsterdam
the Netherlands
Phone: 020 - 6225061
Fax: 020 - 6238374
E-mail: steinm@swidoc.nl