
**Comparative Study of Electoral Systems,
1996–2000**

Supplementary Weight File Codebook

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems Secretariat

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS, 1996-2000
(ICPSR 2683)

Principal Investigator
Comparative Study of Electoral Systems Secretariat

Third ICPSR Version
June 2001

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems
Secretariat. COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL
SYSTEMS, 1996-2000 [Computer file]. 3rd ICPSR
version. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan,
Center for Political Studies [producer], 2000.
Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research [distributor],
2001.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems Secretariat

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS, 1996-2000 (ICPSR 2683)

SUMMARY: This study is an ongoing collaborative program of crossnational research among national election studies designed to advance the understanding of electoral behavior across polities. The data project, which is being carried out in over 50 consolidated and emerging democracies, was coordinated by social scientists from around the world who cooperated to specify the research agenda, the study design, and the micro- and macro-level data that native teams of researchers collected within each polity. This collection currently comprises data from surveys conducted during 1996-1998 in Argentina, Australia, the Czech Republic, Germany, Great Britain, Hungary, Israel, Japan, Lithuania, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Romania, Spain, Taiwan, Ukraine, and the United States. The format includes a common questionnaire module and background (demographic) characteristics of respondents, coded to agreed-upon standards. These data have been merged into a single crossnational dataset with a companion supplementary weighted data file. Measures included in the study focus on three main issues. The first topic explored is the impact of electoral institutions, with questions about parliamentary versus presidential systems of government (levels of accountability, responsiveness), the electoral rules on casting/counting of votes (issues of fairness, impact of voting), and political parties (identification, ideological distinction). The second major issue covered is the nature of political and social cleavages and alignments explored by questions such as left-right issue orientation of respondents vs. political parties. Lastly, the collection covers the evaluation of democratic institutions and processes through measures such as efficacy in political parties, elected officials, and respondents' satisfaction with democracy. Additionally, data were collected on voter turnout, voter choice, and respondents' age, sex, education, employment, and income.

UNIVERSE: All age-eligible citizens, or citizens of voting age of collaborating countries.

SAMPLING: The data collection is a pooled crossnational sample of age-eligible citizens, yielding 32,022 cases.

NOTE: (1) In the interest of consistency, the original study title, which reflects a time period of 1996-2000, has been retained, even though the data currently cover the period 1996-1998. It is

anticipated that data through the year 2000 will eventually be added to this study. (2) The crossnational dataset integrates data already fully processed by the study staffs of the individual countries, without further processing except for that which was essential for merging the data into the combined file. Where coded data for any deposited variables deviated too much from the coding scheme required by the CSES codebook, data for such variables were excluded. (3) For some countries, a machine-readable file for appendix documentation was not deposited or was incomplete. Wherever possible, Secretariat staff incorporated documentary information from other deposited sources, including printed matter such as tables of frequency distributions with assigned code labels. (4) Although provision was not made for weight variables in the design of the CSES codebook, many countries deposited one or more weights together with CSES variables. Proper integration of weight variables into the combined CSES file is planned for the future. As a temporary measure, this combined CSES dataset is being released with a mergeable "Supplementary Weight File" that contains respondent ID numbers and the individual weight variables received. (5) The codebook for Part 1 of this collection contains characters with diacritical marks used in many European languages, which are unprintable in the original character format being released. (6) The codebooks are provided by ICPSR as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided on the ICPSR Web site.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 2 data files + machine-readable documentation (PDF) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: MDATA.PR/ REFORM.DOC

DATA FORMAT: Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements

Part 1: Micro-Level Data
 File Structure: rectangular
 Cases: 32,022
 Variables: 139
 Record Length: 261
 Records Per Case: 1

Part 2: Supplementary Weight
 Data
 File Structure: rectangular
 Cases: 32,022
 Variables: 21
 Record Length: 149
 Records Per Case: 1

3RD CSES SUPPLEMENTARY WEIGHT FILE (FOR 3RD CSES MICRO-LEVEL DATASET)

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES)

SUPPLEMENTARY WEIGHT FILE
FOR THE 3RDCSES MICRO DATASET

CODEBOOK

The weights provided in the CSES Supplementary Weight File are weights which have been included, upon the initiative of study staffs of various countries, with deposited data for CSES micro-level variables.

Immediately prior to construction of the first CSES dataset, a search was made by Secretariat staff for all weights which had been received together with CSES variables. All weights found for any of the countries represented in the 1st CSES Micro dataset were included in the 1st CSES Supplementary Weight File dataset, which was released in conjunction with the 1st CSES Micro dataset.

With the preparation of the 3rd CSES Micro dataset, which includes New Zealand data, a 3rd CSES Supplementary Weight File dataset was prepared for corresponding countries. This is the codebook for that dataset, which includes:

Country	Number of weights	Weight Name(s)
.....		
Great Britain	1	BRITWT
Lithuania	1	LITHWT
New Zealand	3	NZWT1, NZWT2, NZWT3
Poland	1	POLANDWT
Spain	1	SPAINWT
U.S.A	1	USAWT
Germany	2	GERWT1, GERWT2
Hungary	1	HUNWT
Japan	1	JAPANWT
Mexico	1	MEXICOWT

Note: New Zealand also provided a sample component variable (NZSAMP).
Germany also provided 2 sample component variables.

It is possible that some countries represented in CSES data have weights which were not deposited or were not located by Secretariat staff for inclusion in this file; in such circumstances, the CSES Secretariat should be notified.

For the next CSES dataset, the study staffs of individual countries will be consulted about integration of their weights within the CSES data file, and will be solicited for exact documentation for their own weight variables within the CSES codebook.

This file includes each individual weight as a separate variable. All cases (32,022) found in the CSES data file are also represented in this file, with respondents to which any particular weight does not apply coded to 0 within the data for the weight. Inclusion of all cases from all countries, even cases from countries for which no weight at all applies, prevents creation of system-missing values for those cases when merged with CSES data (0 weight is equivalent to dropping the case when the weight is applied).

This file is sorted by COUNTRY and RESPID and should be merged with CSES data using these variables.

DOCUMENTATION NOTE:

Provision of documentation for individual weights of countries is necessarily limited to what was discovered at the time that a search was made for weight variables included with deposit materials. Due to these limitations, the documentation provided here should not be considered complete nor necessarily reliable as an accurate description of the weights, and is not an authorized guide for their use. For additional information about any weights, the study staffs from the represented countries should be consulted.

DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION

GREAT BRITAIN

The following text was included in a document provided with the British deposit:

"Weighting

The British file needs to be weighted to take account of:

- (a) unequal selection probabilities (slightly simplified: households were selected with equal probability and weighting is required to take account of unequal household sizes)

- (b) an extra boost sample in Scotland non-response."

.....

GERMANY

No documentation for the weights was included with the deposit; the following text was provided in the sampling file and in e-mail comments. It is unclear whether implied (not in the physical file) decimal placement was intended for the 2 German weight variables.

XII. Sample Weight

- a) Are the data weighted? Yes ☒ No ☐ If yes:
- b) Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes ☐ No ☒
- c) Are the data weighted to match known demographic characteristics of the population? Yes ☒ No ☐
- d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes ☐ No ☒

GERWT1 - WEIGHT: RECALL X SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC

GERWT2 - WEIGHT: SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC

GERSAMP1 - Breaks down west and east 1=west 2=east

GERSAMP2 - weight east-west population size (necessary if running east and west together: sample size is almost equal, population size is not.

.....

HUNGARY

No documentation is available for the Hungarian weight.

.....

JAPAN

The weight to correct for sampling bias is generated by the following steps:

- 1) For each stratum, an adjusted target sample size is calculated by multiplying the original sample size by the overall response rate. Take the Hokkaido/13 Metropolitan Cities stratum as an example. The adjusted target sample is 21.19762 ($29 \times 1,535 / 2,100$) (See table below).
- 2) The weight, then is a factor that adjusts the actual to the adjusted target sample size. For the same strata, the weight is 1.009410 ($21.19762 / 21$).
- 3) In the SPSS weight data set (cese96JPv2 weight.sav), each respondent receives a weight value that corresponds with the stratum the respondent belongs to.

Table: Sampling stratification and weight generation scheme

PR block	City Size 13 Metro Cities	Cities >= 200th	Cities >= 100th	Other Cities	Towns& Villages	Total
HOKKAIDO						
Prime	2	1	2	1	2	8
Second	29	11	17	15	23	95
Actual	21	8	14	8	16	67
Adjusted	21.19762	8.04048	12.42619	10.96429	16.81190	
Weight	1.009410	1.005060	0.887585	1.370536	1.050744	
TOHOKU						
Prime	1	3	1	3	4	12
Second	15	39	10	40	62	166
Actual	12	26	8	30	46	122
Adjusted	10.96429	28.50714	7.30952	29.23810	45.31905	
Weight	0.913690	1.096429	0.913690	0.974603	0.985197	
KITA-KANTO						
Prime	NA	5	3	4	4	16
Second	-	68	37	59	61	225
Actual	-	51	29	49	44	173
Adjusted	-	49.70476	27.04524	43.12619	44.58810	
Weight	-	0.974603	0.932594	0.880126	1.013366	
MINAMI-KANTO						
Prime	6	5	3	2	2	18
Second	90	71	34	27	27	249
Actual	63	50	21	19	20	173
Adjusted	65.78571	51.89762	24.85238	19.73571	19.73571	
Weight	1.044218	1.037952	1.183447	1.038722	0.986786	
TOKYO						
Prime	9	2	2	1	0	14
Second	141	18	31	15	0	205
Actual	98	13	22	10	0	67
Adjusted	103.06429	13.15714	22.65952	10.96429	0	
Weight	1.051676	1.012088	1.029978	1.096429	-	

TOKAI						
Prime	3	5	3	3	4	18
Second	36	65	37	48	55	241
Actual	27	50	30	35	42	184
Adjusted	26.31429	47.51190	27.04524	35.08571	40.20238	
Weight	0.974603	0.950238	0.901508	1.002449	0.957200	

HOKURIKU/SHINETSU						
Prime	NA	2	2	3	3	10
Second	-	31	17	39	45	132
Actual	-	21	15	31	34	101
Adjusted	-	22.65952	12.42619	28.50714	32.89286	
Weight	-	1.079025	0.828413	0.919585	0.967437	

KINKI						
Prime	6	7	3	4	3	23
Second	92	111	38	52	48	341
Actual	60	71	25	36	37	229
Adjusted	67.24762	81.13571	27.77619	38.00952	35.08571	
Weight	1.120794	1.142757	1.111048	1.055820	0.948263	

CHUGOKU						
Prime	2	2	2	2	3	11
Second	18	31	20	26	36	131
Actual	15	24	11	19	35	104
Adjusted	13.15714	22.65952	14.61905	19.00476	26.31429	
Weight	0.877143	0.944147	1.329004	1.000251	0.751837	

SHIKOKU						
Prime	NA	2	0	2	2	6
Second	-	25	0	19	28	72
Actual	-	14	0	16	24	54
Adjusted	-	18.27381	0	13.88810	20.46667	
Weight	-	1.305272	-	0.868006	0.852778	

KYUSHU						
Prime	3	4	1	4	6	18
Second	37	51	15	58	82	243
Actual	29	33	10	49	64	185
Adjusted	27.04524	37.27857	10.96429	42.39524	59.93810	
Weight	0.932594	1.129654	1.096429	0.865209	0.936533	

TOTAL						
Prime	32	38	22	29	33	154
Second	458	521	256	398	467	2,100
Actual	325	361	185	302	362	1,535

.....

LITHUANIA

No text could be found describing the Lithuanian weight.

However, the Macro Variable checklist gives the following indications:

"Are the data weight to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level?" NO

"Are the data weighted to match known demographic characteristics of the population?" YES

"Are the data weighted to correct for non-response?" No

.....

MEXICO

The following information was provided in the sampling documentation; no other description of the weight was included with the deposit.

XII. Sample Weight

- a) Are the data weighted? Yes X No If yes:
- b) Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes No X
- c) Are the data weighted to match known demographic characteristics of the population? Yes X No
- d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes X No

Describe the procedure used to construct the sample weight:

The weight is the inverse of the probability of selection of the respondent, adjusted by the non-response rate, using quotas by sex and age.

.....

NEW ZEALAND

The following material was provided by E-mail (exact text); "PWT" refers to CSES variable NZWT1, "QWTM" refers to CSES variable NZWT2, "CHWT" refers to CSES variable NZWT3, and the documentation for sample components refers to CSES variable NZSAMP.

SECTORS Sample Components

SECTOR - identifies different samples

1 = postal new sample (including Maori Sample)

2 = postal panel from 1993 and 1990

6 = postal campaign

Post-Election Study (1=postal new sample): Respondents to the post election survey were randomly selected from the 1996 electoral roll and sent a self completion questionnaire.

Maori Sample (1=postal new sample): As part of the post-election survey, persons on the Maori roll were oversampled allowing for an analysis of Maori political attitudes and behaviour. Persons randomly selected from the Maori electoral rolls were mailed self-administered questionnaires.

Panel Study (2 = postal panel from 1993 and 1990): Each elector survey includes a panel of respondents carried through from the previous study, making it possible to track patterns of individual-level change. The 1996 survey includes the final wave of a panel of respondents who participated in the 1993 and 1990 NZES.

The Campaign Wave (6 = postal campaign): A pre-election survey was conducted over the course of the campaign to track short-term changes in voting behaviour. The rolling cross section was conducted 5 September through 11 October, during which time approximately 120 computer-assisted telephone interviews per day were conducted with randomly selected New Zealanders of voting age. Respondents' names and addresses were also requested and those who supplied them were sent a postal questionnaire after the election.

The post-election wave of the campaign sample was added to the post-election sample from the electoral rolls, the Maori sample and the panel and these components comprise the complete post-election data set.

Weight Variables

PWT - to be used with the new sample and panel (SECTORS = 1 or SECTORS = 2) based on party vote.
QWTM - to be used with all sectors. Based on party vote and household size.
CHWT - to be used with campaign sample (sectors=6). Weight constructed based on household size and party vote.

.....

POLAND

No text could be found describing the Polish weight.
However, the Macro Variable checklist gives the following indications:
"Are the data weight to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level?" YES
"Are the data weighted to match known demographic characteristics of the population?" YES
"Are the data weighted to correct for non-response?" No

.....

SPAIN

No description of the Spanish weight variable was provided.
E-mail information indicates that the weight variable adjusts "by sex and age, according to the sex-age distribution of the Spanish population 18 years and over (which is the population with a right to vote)".

.....

U.S.A.

This weight was created for the primary purpose of correcting for under-representation in study data of younger and less-educated respondents, and is post-stratified to match the Current Population Study (CPS) estimate of the distribution of age group by education level. It is the product of a within-household selection weight, a household-level nonresponse adjustment factor, and the person-level post-stratification factor described above. The nonresponse adjustment factor compensates for differential response rates by Census Region and metropolitan status.

VARIABLE	LABEL	COLUMNS
-----	-----	-----
COUNTRY	COUNTRY ID	1 - 3
RESPID	RESPONDENT ID	4 - 8
LITHWT	LITHUANIA WEIGHT	9 - 18
POLANDWT	POLAND WEIGHT	19 - 28
SPAINWT	SPAIN WEIGHT	29 - 38
USAWT	U.S.A. WEIGHT	39 - 48
BRITWT	GREAT BRITAIN WEIGHT	49 - 58
NZWT1	NEW ZEALAND WEIGHT 1	59 - 68
NZWT2	NEW ZEALAND WEIGHT 2	69 - 78
NZWT3	NEW ZEALAND WEIGHT 3	79 - 88
NZSAMP	NEW ZEALAND SAMPLE COMPONENT	89 - 89
GERWT1		90 - 94
GERWT2		95 - 99
GERSAMP1		100 - 100
GERSAMP2		101 - 105
HUNWT		106 - 115
JAPANWT		116 - 125
MEXICOWT		126 - 135
ICPSRNO	ICPSR STUDY NUMBER	136 - 139
DSETNO	DATASET NUMBER	140 - 147
VERSION	VERSION OF CSES WEIGHT FILE	148 - 149

VAR	VERSION	VERSION OF CSES WEIGHT FILE	NUMERIC
WIDTH	2	COLUMNS 102 - 103	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

This variable is prepared by the Secretariat staff and is not the responsibility of countries depositing CSES data. The 2-column data for this variable appears in the data file after all other CSES variables; its column locations can change if variables are added.

According to practices of the Secretariat organization, release of this study constitutes release of the following component files:

- 1) ASCII data file plus SAS and SPSS transport data files,
- 2) ASCII codebook file(s), and
- 3) SAS/SPSS data definition files.

Because any one of these components may be revised without revision to other components, a recently instituted policy establishes that each component be assigned an independent version number each time a modified version of the component is released (note: SAS/SPSS data definition files are not released separately, and neither the ASCII data file nor either of the transport data files are released separately).

Consequently, the version number that appears within a machine-readable component file documents the version number of the file itself and of the dataset component to which it belongs. Data in variable VERSION provides the version number of the CSES data component [1) above], unrelated to the version number of the CSES codebook file or version number of the CSES SAS/SPSS data definition files.

For more information about releases of specific versions of Study component files, see the National Election Studies Web site at <http://www.umich.edu/~nes/errata/versiont.htm>.

Note: the version number of a codebook file appears in the first line of the file; the version number of a SAS/SPSS data definition appears in the 1st line comment.

VAR	DSETNO	DATASET NUMBER	CHARACTER
WIDTH	8	COLUMNS 94 - 101	

This variable is prepared by the Secretariat staff and is not the responsibility of countries depositing CSES data.

VAR	ICPSRNO	ICPSR STUDY NUMBER	NUMERIC
WIDTH	4	COLUMNS 90 - 93	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

This variable contains the Study Number issued for the CSES study by the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan (U.S.A.). The ICPSR Study Number is used to identify the CSES study within ICPSR's archive.

The 4-column data for this variable appears in the data file after all CSES deposited variables.

2683. ICPSR Study number

VAR	COUNTRY	COUNTRY ID	NUMERIC
WIDTH	3	COLUMNS 1-3	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

033 Lithuania
003 Australia
015 Czech Republic
028 Israel
060 Ukraine
043 Poland
045 Romania
053 Spain
056 Taiwan
059 USA
061 Great Britain

VAR	RESPID	RESPONDENT ID	NUMERIC
WIDTH	5	COLUMNS 4-8	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Unique ID number for each survey respondent.

NOTE: Unique respondent ids in the international dataset are created by adding the country code to the front of this variable.

VAR LITHWT	LITHUANIA WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 9-18	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondents not in Lithuania) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR POLANDWT	POLAND WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 19-28	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondents not in Poland) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR SPAINWT	SPAIN WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 29-38	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondents not in Spain) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR USAWT	U.S.A WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 39-48	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondents not in United States of America) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR BRITWT	GREAT BRITAIN WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 49-58	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondent not in Great Britain) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR NZWT1	NEW ZEALAND WEIGHT 1	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 59-68	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondent not in New Zealand) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR NZWT2	NEW ZEALAND WEIGHT 2	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 69-78	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondent not in New Zealand) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR NZWT3	NEW ZEALAND WEIGHT 3	NUMERIC
WIDTH 10	COLUMNS 79-88	DEC 7
	NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this weight does not apply (respondent not in New Zealand) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	NZSAMP	NEW ZEALAND SAMPLE COMPONENT	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 89	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in New Zealand) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	GERWT1	GERMAN WEIGHT 1	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 90-94	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in Germany) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	GERWT2	GERMAN WEIGHT 2	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 95-99	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in Germany) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	GERSAMP1	GERMAN SAMPLE COMPONENT 1	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 100-100	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in Germany) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	GERSAMP2	GERMAN SAMPLE COMPONENT 2	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 101-105	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in Germany) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	HUNWT	HUNGARY WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 106-115	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in Hungary) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	JAPANWT	JAPAN WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 116-125	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in Japan) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.

VAR	MEXICOWT	MEXICO WEIGHT	NUMERIC
WIDTH	1	COLUMNS 126-135	DEC 0
		NO MISSING DATA CODES	

Note: all cases to which this var does not apply (respondent not in Mexico) are coded 0.

.....
General note: See "DOCUMENTATION INFORMATION" above.