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Women and children bear substantial morbidity and mortality as a result of armed conflicts. This Series paper focuses on the direct (due to violence) and indirect health effects of armed conflict on women and children (including adolescents) worldwide. We estimate that nearly 36 million children and 16 million women were displaced in 2017, on the basis of international databases of refugees and internally displaced populations. From geospatial analyses we estimate that the number of non-displaced women and children living dangerously close to armed conflict (within 50 km) increased from 185 million women and 250 million children in 2000, to 265 million women and 368 million children in 2017. Women’s and children’s mortality risk from non-violent causes increases substantially in response to nearby conflict, with more intense and more chronic conflicts leading to greater mortality increases. More than 10 million deaths in children younger than 5 years can be attributed to conflict between 1995 and 2015 globally. Women of reproductive ages living near high intensity conflicts have three times higher mortality than do women in peaceful settings. Current research provides fragmentary evidence about how armed conflict indirectly affects the survival chances of women and children through malnutrition, physical injuries, infectious diseases, poor mental health, and poor sexual and reproductive health, but major systematic evidence is sparse, hampering the design and implementation of essential interventions for mitigating the harms of armed conflicts.

Introduction

Reducing the frequency and intensity of armed conflicts is an explicit goal and a common theme of the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals.¹ The destructiveness of armed conflict presents persistent setbacks to the development and flourishing of human societies.² This Series paper focuses on the direct (due to violence) and indirect health effects of armed conflict on women and children (including adolescents), which are populations with unique vulnerabilities and risks that may be increased during periods of conflict.

We surveyed the evidence for the adverse health effects experienced by women and children exposed to armed conflict, acknowledging that every conflict-affected region, every conflict, and every affected community is different from all others, while also sharing common features. Information documenting the health consequences of armed conflict among affected populations is often weak, and data specifically about women and children are even more limited.

The attribution of adverse effects to a conflict—other than battle-related deaths of combatants and civilians (ie, conflict’s indirect effects)—is methodologically complex. Additionally, the context for conflict is changing, further complicating efforts to infer consistent effects across time and geography.¹ In this Series paper, we provide new estimates of the numbers of women and children affected by armed conflict, first for displaced and then for non-displaced populations. We then estimate the indirect mortality effects of conflicts by extending previous analyses of infant and child mortality and summarising findings on mortality from conflict among women of childbearing age. Finally, we review and reflect on the current evidence of the non-fatal adverse effects of conflicts, such as malnutrition and injuries among women and children, and highlight knowledge gaps and research priorities.

Key messages

- The effects of armed conflicts on the health and mortality of women and children far exceed the effects on those directly affected by the violence in conflicts.
- The number of women and children affected by armed conflict has grown steadily since 2000, due to a combination of increasing population sizes, urbanisation of many conflicts, and a steady rate of conflict events around the world. In 2017, at least 630 million women and children—10% of women and 16% of children worldwide—were either displaced by conflict or resided dangerously close to armed conflict events.
- Women’s and children’s mortality risk from non-violent causes increases substantially in response to nearby conflict, with more intense and more chronic conflicts leading to greater mortality increases. More than 10 million deaths in children under the age of 5 years, globally, can be attributed to conflict between 1995 and 2015.
- The ways in which health can be affected by conflict are protean but systematic evidence is sparse. Existing evidence links conflict to malnutrition, physical injuries, acute and infectious diseases, poor mental health, and poor sexual and reproductive health. However, aside from malnutrition, the evidence is typically localised and of low to moderate quality. Data on adolescents are sparse to non-existent.
- Clearer information on the indirect health effects of armed conflicts, including their duration and extent, could greatly aid in the design and implementation of essential interventions for mitigating the harms of armed conflicts.

Populations affected by armed conflict

Attempts to define and estimate the size of the populations affected by conflict are an intuitive starting point for estimating the bounds of the health burden from...
armed conflict. The populations affected by conflict can be conceptualised in different ways depending on the effects that are assessed. Unless noted otherwise, we define populations affected as women (≥18 years) and children (0–18 years) who are either forcibly displaced—including refugees, asylum seekers, and internally displaced people—or not displaced but living at increased risk of direct or indirect mortality and morbidity from nearby armed conflicts.

To gauge the populations affected, we started with a standard conceptualisation of armed conflict. The Uppsala Conflict Data Program (UCDP) has been collecting data about conflict, violence, and peacemaking for research purposes, with data going back to 1946. The programme categorises data about conflict events and fatalities in state-based conflict (in which a government is engaged in armed conflict against combatant groups such as another government or rebel group); non-state conflict (violence between non-state groups such as rebel groups, and no government is involved); and one-sided conflict (a government perpetrates violence against non-combatants, mostly civilians). In this Series paper, we consider the effects on women and children’s health irrespective of the type of conflict. We define armed conflict as any of the conflict types in the UCDP: use of force which results in at least 25 battle-related deaths per year in a specific country.4

Direct combat-related deaths in the UCDP are separated for combatants and non-combatants, but not by sex or age. Figure 1 shows the number of countries in which armed conflict (of any type) has occurred from 1989 to 2018, and the spatial distribution of armed conflict events, indicating that conflict is concentrated in parts of the world that are generally considered to be less developed (Africa, central Asia, and south Asia). Every year over the past three decades, armed conflict has occurred in between a quarter and a third of the world’s countries, without clear time trends. Some studies posit that the post-World War 2 era is among the most peaceful in human history, and that armed conflict has declined steadily since the end of World War 2.5 Although the evidence for inter-state conflicts shows meaningful and unambiguous decline since 1946, the patterns in intra-state conflicts, most notably in Africa, the Middle East, and parts of Asia and Latin America, suggest stable or intensified (and often protracted) fighting in the past three decades.16,7

**Women and children displaced by armed conflict**

Refugee statistics have to be interpreted cautiously because definitions and data collection methods often vary between countries and agencies.8 According to data compiled by the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) the number of refugees, defined as forcibly displaced people who cross an international border and who cannot return home safely, has almost doubled during the past decade, from 10·5 million in 2008 to 20·4 million by the end of 2018. Two-thirds of the refugees originated from five countries: Syria (6·7 million), Afghanistan (2·7 million), South Sudan (2·3 million), Myanmar (1·1 million) and Somalia (0·9 million). Additionally, there were 3·1 million asylum seekers in 2017. Another 5·5 million Palestinian refugees are under the mandate of the UN Relief and Works Agency, rather than the UNHCR, bringing the total number of refugees globally to 25·9 million in 2018.

Venezuela has a rapidly growing number of international migrants and refugees, exceeding 4 million in the course of 2019 according to the UNHCR, as a result of the country’s economic and political instability. Notably, displacement is a complex process, and these estimates are approximations that cannot accurately capture mixed
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**Figure 1: Geographical distribution of armed conflict events, 1989–2018, shown in log₁₀ scale**

Inset shows the number of countries in conflict in each year. Data are from the Uppsala Conflict Data Program.
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**Series**
The number and proportion of children (<18 years; panel A) and women (aged 18–24 years; panel B) living within 50 km of conflict in Africa, Asia (including the Middle East), and the Americas (Latin America and the Caribbean). The denominator is the total age-matched population in those three world regions.

Figure 2: Estimated number of children and women displaced by conflict, 2009–17

Figure 3: Women and children exposed to conflict within 50 km

The number and proportion of children (<18 years; panel A) and women (aged 18–49 years; panel B) living within 50 km of conflict in Africa, Asia (including the Middle East), and the Americas (Latin America and the Caribbean). The denominator is the total age-matched population in those three world regions.

Displacement patterns and distinguish forced displacement due to conflict from other causes, such as droughts. Armed conflicts also lead to displacement of populations that do not cross international borders, and therefore remain uncounted by the UNHCR. Based on data from the Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, the number of internally displaced people due to conflict and violence increased from approximately 25 million in 2009–10, to 45.7 million in 2019, of whom almost 21 million (46%) were women and girls. Two-thirds of internally displaced people were based in six countries: Syria, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Yemen, Afghanistan, and Somalia. The quality of statistics on internally displaced people is often poor because of challenges in counting mobile populations, differences in statistical definitions (eg, on nomads or children born to internally displaced people), poor measurement of so-called end events (eg, return migration, urban settlement, death), and incentives to both under-report and over-report.22

Most forcibly displaced people in the world are women and children. Since 2009, the UNHCR has published data about the age and sex distribution of internally displaced and refugee populations. Between 2009 and 2017, the number of displaced people for whom age and sex data were available increased from 12 million to 27 million. The proportion of displaced people who were children increased gradually since 2009, reaching 52.6% in 2017. The proportion of displaced people who were women decreased gradually, from 26.5% in 2009 to 23.6% in 2017, similar to the proportion of displaced men aged 18 years or older (23.8%).

Applying the age–sex distribution from available data to all displaced populations, we can estimate the number of women and children displaced by armed conflicts. We used regional age–sex distributions and applied these to the regional numbers of displaced people from the UNHCR and Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre databases. For the Palestinian refugee population, we used the age–sex distribution for the state of Palestine as reported by the UN Population Division.21 In 2009, an estimated 18.5 million children and 10.6 million women were displaced (figure 2). By 2017, there was an increase in both the numbers of displaced children (35.7 million, 1.4% of all children worldwide) and women (16.2 million, 0.6% of all women worldwide; appendix pp 2–3).

Non-displaced women and children affected by conflict

Women and children can be affected by conflict even without being displaced from their homes. Populations that do not move away from nearby conflict—often poor and vulnerable groups—are at increased risk of morbidity and mortality from direct violence and from the deterioration of local conditions. In 2012, there were an estimated 149 million non-displaced people affected by conflict globally.22 A more recent estimate indicated that approximately 420 million children younger than 18 years were living in areas affected by conflict in 2017.23

Figure 3 presents the estimated time trend of the conflict-affected non-displaced population sizes from 2000 to 2017, based on the geospatial methods described in the appendix (pp 4–5). We estimate that the number of non-displaced women and children living dangerously close to armed conflict (ie, within 50 km) increased from 185 million women (6.1% of women globally) and 250 million children (11.3% of children globally) in 2000, to 265 million women (7.3%) and 368 million children (16.1%) in 2017, a total of more than 630 million. The greater increase in the global share of children affected by conflict (4.8% increase) compared with women (1.2% increase) could be accounted for by a greater concentration of conflicts in countries with higher fertility.
These estimates of non-displaced populations deserve several additional observations. First, the number of women and children living in conflict-affected areas has been gradually growing since 2000, due to the confluence of population growth, urbanisation of conflicts (putting more people at risk), and the persistence of conflicts.\textsuperscript{7} The spike observed in 2014 (figure 3) is the result of more intense fighting in Afghanistan, Iraq, Nigeria, Pakistan, and Somalia in that year. Syria has had between 7 million and 9·5 million non-displaced women and children affected every year since 2011, and therefore contributes substantially to the total over time, but not to the spike in the trend. Second, although the estimates vary from year to year, the combined total of conflict-affected women and children did not decrease below 350 million over the 18-year period examined. Third, every year, between a fifth and a sixth of all women and children living in Africa, Asia, and the Americas are affected by nearby armed conflict events. Fourth, underlying population data are often based on estimates that might not fully account for displacement because of armed conflict. In that case, the estimates of the affected non-displaced population might represent an upper bound on the true numbers. This potential bias is, however, relatively small since the size of the affected non-displaced population is more than ten times larger than that of the displaced population (estimated 633 million, compared with 52 million displaced women and children). Lastly, countries in Asia and Africa are home to the greatest number of affected populations due to a combination of population size and conflict density. In 2017, women and children in Pakistan, Nigeria, and India accounted for about a third of the global total affected by conflict.

**Mortality**

The burden of mortality that is attributable to armed conflict has been a topic of substantial debate (panel).\textsuperscript{25} Considerable uncertainty surrounds estimates of direct and indirect deaths associated with armed conflict. Some have argued that the overlap of conflict-prone countries
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**Panel: Divergent estimates of direct and indirect mortality due to conflicts**

The measurement of the number of deaths among women, children, and adolescents due to conflicts is complex. During active conflict, measurement of casualties due to violence is often limited and subject to much speculation. Global efforts to collect data about violent events and fatalities, such as the Uppsala Conflict Data Program or the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data, are crucial, but they collect only information that is available in news media or field reports and generally do not contain information about indirect mortality or specific population characteristics. A dataset from the Violation Documentation Center that documents violent deaths in Syria was used to estimate that 70% of all violent deaths were civilians.\textsuperscript{13} The proportions of civilians who were women and children increased during 2011–16. By 2016, 23·3% of violent deaths were children and 13·8% were adult women.

The estimation of death and disease related to conflict from causes other than violence—often referred to as indirect harms, such as from the breakdown of health services, food security, water supply, and shelter—is even more difficult than direct counts, because it requires high quality measurements as well as a counterfactual (death and disease from the same causes in the absence of conflict) based on assumptions about morbidity and mortality in the absence of conflict. Most estimates of civilian casualties suggest that indirect mortality is considerably higher than direct mortality. Indirect mortality has been estimated to be associated with at least 75% of total excess mortality in 11 of 15 armed conflicts.\textsuperscript{15,16} Household surveys before, during, and after a conflict are often the primary source of estimation of excess mortality. National Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) are a useful source because they provide retrospective mortality data (birth and sibling survival histories), provided that the potential exclusion of the most insecure areas in the survey is taken into account. A DHS-based comparison of mortality trends in children younger than 5 years in 13 conflict-affected countries in sub-Saharan Africa during 1990–2016 with sub-regional trends showed that, during the active conflict phase, the median annual rate of reduction in child mortality was 1·1% slower in the affected countries in comparison with regional trends.\textsuperscript{17} In the first 5 years after conflict cessation, the annual rate of reduction was 1·7% faster in conflict-affected countries compared with sub-regional trends.

Special national surveys have been used to estimate excess mortality in several conflicts, such as those in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Afghanistan, and Iraq, often resulting in much debate about the methods and results.\textsuperscript{18–21} Large numbers of small-scale surveys, generally conducted by non-government organisations involved in the response, are frequently conducted in crisis situations but might give a biased picture of overall mortality trends.

In South Sudan, data from 210 local household surveys conducted during the conflict were used to estimate excess mortality during the period December, 2013–April, 2018. Mortality was estimated at 382 000 including 190 000 deaths due to violence.\textsuperscript{22} Among the violent deaths, 10·6% were children and 7·9% were women. A synthesis of 63 local surveys in Darfur region in Sudan showed almost 300 000 excess deaths during 2004–08, of which about 80% were not related to violence and greatly affected child survival.\textsuperscript{23} A detailed discussion of the multiple methodological issues and limitations related to national and local surveys, as well as other modes of mortality data collection during and after armed conflicts, is beyond the scope of this paper and is discussed in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{24,25}
and high child and maternal mortality is evidence of high mortality toll of conflicts. However, the correspondence between countries with many deaths and many conflicts does not reflect the fact that conflicts are a marker of generalised under-development, which in turn is associated with other conditions related to elevated mortality among women and children.

A 2018 estimate of the mortality consequences of armed conflicts on infants and children younger than 5 years (under-5s) in Africa was made by aggregating, across 35 African countries, the observed mortality rates during periods of conflict, and comparing them with the observed mortality rates in the same region during periods free of conflict.27 The results suggest that, from 1995 to 2015, an infant exposed to armed conflict in their first year of life had a 7·7% higher chance of dying before reaching age 1 year than expected in that region without armed conflict. This corresponded with 3·1–3·5 million infants (and 4·9–5·5 million children younger than 5 years) whose deaths were attributable to armed conflict over this period in Africa.

In this paper, we extend the scope of that analysis to consider an additional 18 countries in Asia and 11 countries in the Americas for which we also have georeferenced child survival data from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS).

The patterns in the three regions of the world are similar. Figure 4 presents the results of data from the 64 countries (35 in Africa, 18 in Asia, and 11 in the Americas) that represent more than 93% of all conflict events recorded in the UCDP during 1995–2015. The regional pooled effect sizes range from a 3·2% increase in the expected probability of dying before reaching age 1 year in Asia, to 9·4% in Africa, with a combined effect of 7·4%. When we apply this region-specific effect size to the observed number of births within 50 km of conflicts, there is a cumulative total of 6·7–7·5 million infants and 10·1–11·2 million children younger than 5 years whose deaths can be attributable to conflict from 1995 to 2015. This translates into 5·7–6·3% of all infant and child deaths during that period, based on the total number of deaths provided by the UN Interagency Group on Child Mortality Estimation.28

In a separate analysis, we examined the effect of armed conflict on mortality among women of childbearing age (15–49 years), using data about the survival of the sisters of the respondents in DHS surveys (appendix pp 6–8).29 Figure 5 shows the increase in the probability of a woman of childbearing age dying when exposed to armed conflict within 50 km (proxied by the index sister’s place of residence), compared with years without conflict in the area.
The overall relationship is a 21% (95% CI 18·2–23·9) increase in the risk of death among women of childbearing age in Africa. However, the shape of the mortality response to conflict is different from that for infants: conflict confers no appreciable risk when the nearby conflict was of relatively low intensity, and there is a steep rise in the risk from conflicts with an intensity greater than the median number of battle-related deaths. In Africa, the risk of dying among women in the vicinity of conflicts at the top decile in terms of conflict-related deaths was more than three times higher than during non-conflict periods. Nearly all conflicts with intensity in the top decile were concentrated in a few countries: Rwanda, Burundi, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Liberia, and Sierra Leone accounted for most of those events, and only 92 491 (4%) out of 2·25 million women in our sample were exposed to such conflicts.

Malnutrition

Famines, characterised by widespread acute malnutrition and high mortality, have been associated with political instability, disastrous economic strategies of totalitarian regimes, and climatic factors. As the global frequency of famines and associated mortality have been decreasing over time, protracted armed conflicts have become an increasingly important driver of food insecurity, hunger, and malnutrition in populations. Conflicts, by themselves or in combination with natural disasters and decisions made about food supplies and rationing, can lead to a decrease in food availability, social disruption, higher food prices, and eventually hunger. Often, excess mortality is caused by starvation and especially by concomitant diseases such as measles, typhoid fever, and cholera, particularly in children.

Since 1990, eight famines with estimated mortality exceeding 50,000 deaths have been recorded and all, except in North Korea, were associated with conflicts. As of January, 2019, the Famine Early Warning Systems network identified four countries with populations with acute food insecurity and emergency situations (Afghanistan, Nigeria, South Sudan, and Yemen), all of which are countries with extensive recent history of armed conflicts.

Small-scale cross-sectional household surveys are the main source of data for malnutrition during conflicts. Wasting prevalence in under-5s (weight for height below two standard deviations from the WHO reference population), with the presence of oedema, has become one of the key indicators of the severity of a crisis. Large numbers of local surveys using the Standardized Monitoring and Assessment of Relief and Transitions (SMART) methods are conducted to monitor nutritional status. A database that compiled survey data from complex emergencies identified 3309 SMART surveys conducted between 2000 and 2013. All local survey results have to be interpreted cautiously and take into account the specifics of each setting, because sampling issues and data quality problems are common. Seasonality and issues related to population displacement also affect survey findings.

An analysis of national surveys in sub-Saharan Africa showed that conflict-affected countries had higher levels of wasting in under-5s than the sub-regional averages for the same year during the conflict phase (1·0% higher), but had lower prevalence in surveys conducted 0–4 years (1·2% lower) and 5–9 years (2·2% lower) after the end of the conflict.

Stunted growth in under-5s is indicative of chronic malnutrition and can affect future health and development outcomes. Children who resided in conflict-affected areas during the Ethiopia–Eritrea war in 1999–2000 experienced higher levels of stunting than did other children living outside the conflict-affected areas in those countries. A similar effect of conflict on stunting was observed in Burundi. In a geospatial analysis of all national surveys in sub-Saharan Africa done during 1995–2005, stunting in children born near a conflict was 2·9% higher than the 34·4% average prevalence.

Non-fatal physical injuries and disabilities

Even though physical trauma is a strikingly visible direct consequence of explosives and gunfire, burden estimates are surprisingly scarce. Weapons that are commonly implicated in physical injuries include firearms and explosive devices such as mines, artillery shells, bombs, and improvised explosive devices. Explosive devices can cause injury either at the time of intended detonation, or as unexploded remnants and ordnance that cause injuries after the acute conflict event. Injuries sustained by remnants of war are borne predominantly by non-combatant civilians, including women and children. A systematic review suggested that most injuries from the remnants of war are caused...
in the course of daily economic necessities, such as transportation for work or household duties. In that review, between 22% and 55% (median 38%) of landmine casualties were children younger than 18 years, and 0–31% were in women and girls. Although the overall burden of physical injuries was unclear, the frequency of injuries decreased in most studies included in the systematic review, possibly because of improved ordnance (with less unexploded ordnance), and reduced use of landmines. The most common type of injury was a limb amputation, reported in 20–83% of victims.41 Surveys of traumatic brain injuries among refugees and internally displaced people suggest those are similarly caused by landmines and explosive devices, and are further associated with mental health concerns.42

Some single-conflict studies attempt enumeration of physical injuries, and include injuries sustained by both combatants and non-combatants.43,44 However, composite evidence about the burden of conflict-related physical injuries is lacking at this time.

Acute and infectious illnesses

Infectious disease outbreaks are often a feature of war-affected regions, stemming from reduced capacity to prevent infectious disease outbreaks, to control infection spread, and to address infections once they are recognised.45 Population displacement often forces people into living conditions that include crowding and mixing with large groups of other displaced individuals, in camps or elsewhere. These crowded conditions promote the spread of infections. A systematic review found that incidence and prevalence of active tuberculosis was twice that of the reference population in crisis-affected populations.46 Measles and hepatitis B outbreaks—diseases transmitted most readily among children—are relatively common among displaced populations from Yemen and Syria.47,48

Cholera outbreaks have occurred in refugee camps close to conflict zones, such as in Yemen, Democratic Republic of the Congo, and Somalia.49,50

Reports of widespread rape and sexual violence in conflict settings have prompted investigations into the effects of conflict on HIV. A systematic review51 of data from seven conflict-affected African countries did not find increased prevalence of HIV in conflict settings or in refugee camps compared with reference populations, but it could not assess the effects of conflict on HIV incidence. Rape and sexual violence remain widely recognised war-related behaviours, but their effects on the transmission of infectious diseases, especially among women, are unknown.52,53

Armed conflicts also pose a threat for emerging infectious diseases by eroding surveillance and disease control systems. Fundamental disease control measures such as vaccinations, sanitation, and safe drinking water all come under threat in conflict settings. The erosion of conditions that enable control of multiple infectious diseases suggest that the effects can be widespread. Efforts to eradicate poliovirus have been successful in most parts of the world, except for regular outbreaks in war-torn regions such as northern Nigeria, eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo, Syria, and Afghanistan.54 However, challenging environments, including attacks on health workers, mean that vaccination and eradication campaigns repeatedly fail to achieve sufficient coverage in conflict-affected regions, especially in northern Nigeria and central Asia.55,56 Although the burden of polio is modest—a handful of wild poliovirus cases are detected each year—billions of USD are invested into reaching populations living in conflict-affected areas to maintain current gains and prevent disease recrudescence. The response to the 2018–20 Ebola virus outbreak in conflict-affected North Kivu, Democratic Republic of the Congo, is fraught with pitfalls, a striking illustration of how immediate and lingering effects of armed conflict hinder response to re-emerging infectious diseases and create conditions for their rapid spread.57

Finally, destruction of clean water and sanitation facilities, especially in refugee and displaced populations, imposes unique risks. The bombing of water facilities in Yemen has enabled a cholera outbreak affecting more than 500,000 people, mostly children.58 Hepatitis B and E outbreaks are also associated with refugee camps. Hepatitis E, in particular, can be lethal to pregnant women, and recent outbreaks have been documented among refugees in South Sudan and Ethiopia.59 In post-conflict northern Uganda, hepatitis B prevalence was 2–2.5 times higher than the national average.60

Chronic and non-communicable diseases (NCDs)

Chronic conditions are increasingly important in conflict settings because of the general rise in the prevalence of NCDs in low-income countries, the spread of conflicts to middle-income countries where NCDs are often the leading cause of death, and the protracted and urban nature of current conflicts.61,62

The evidence on effects of armed conflict on the incidence, severity, and case fatality of NCDs is limited, in terms of both immediate and long-term effects.63,64 In theory, protracted conflicts can have unpredictable effects on major behavioural risk factors for NCDs, because they can lead to increased or decreased tobacco use, alcohol consumption, poor diet, and lack of physical exercise. The economic, social, logistical, and mental health events surrounding armed conflict might lead to stressors associated with, for example, cancer, respiratory diseases, or risk factors such as hypertension. Although stress can exacerbate NCD risk factors, the evidence for armed conflicts exacerbating NCDs through this pathway, especially among women and children, is weak.65

Poor access to health services and lack of continuity of care in conflict settings can result in disruption to the effective care of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular conditions, diabetes, chronic respiratory diseases, cancers,
and other NCDs. The increases in targeted attacks on health facilities and health workers in conflict settings further complicate the provision of health services, affecting all ages and both sexes.

**Mental health**

Women and children affected by armed conflicts are exposed to increased levels of traumatic experiences, which include direct exposure to violence, disruption of family structure, and social disintegration. Many people are affected by displacement, including prolonged confinement to refugee camps. The trends towards protracted complex conflicts in often urban settings with greater effects on civilians are likely to lead to greater exposure to traumatic experiences for all civilians.

Exposure to armed conflicts is associated with increased prevalence of anxiety disorders, such as post-traumatic stress disorder, and depression among children, adolescents, and women, both during and after conflicts. The quantification of the effects of warfare on mental health is complex, not only because the results on the prevalence and risk factors for mental disorders can vary widely between conflicts but also because of methodological issues related to the measurement of population mental health in general, and in conflict settings in particular. It has been estimated that the average prevalence of anxiety disorders and major depression among conflict-affected populations is two to four times as high as global prevalence estimates, with a large effect of conflict exposure on women’s mental health. Several studies have reported greater effect of conflicts on women than men, often associated with gender-based violence.

The mental health burden among children and adolescents has been documented among child soldiers and among those exposed to conflict. Inter-generational effects of armed conflicts are also a major concern, due to, for instance, increased levels of family violence and breakdown of family structures. Sexual and domestic violence have been documented as further precipitating factors of mental health problems in children and adolescents. Caregiver mental health issues such as maternal depression and post-traumatic stress are associated with poorer psychosocial outcomes of conflict-affected children. However, there are major data gaps on the effect of conflict on, for instance, depression among children and adolescents.

**Sexual and reproductive health**

Conflict and displacement leave women and children vulnerable to sexual violence, early marriage, harassment, isolation, and exploitation. A review of 19 studies estimated that 21% of displaced women experienced sexual violence, which is possibly an underestimate because of social stigma, poor law enforcement systems, and inadequate services. There was considerable variation in reports of sexual violence between conflicts, and the overall extent is difficult to document given the lack of standardised definitions (and definitions that may be influenced by cultural factors). Intimate partners are the most common perpetrators in general and there is some evidence of increased risk of intimate partner violence during conflicts. Sexual and gender-based violence has major effects on physical and mental health, including injuries from rape, HIV, reproductive health problems, and social isolation. Previous analyses have also shown that maternal mortality is increased by 11% on average and 28% in relatively more intense conflicts, compared with conflict-free periods.

Fertility in conflict settings might decrease because of demographic changes (reduced frequency of marriages and spousal separation) and biological effects (reduced fecundity or increased abortion). Conversely, reduced access to modern contraceptives and increased sexual violence might increase the number of unintended pregnancies and abortions. Studies have shown a decrease in fertility during the active phase of conflict. For instance, in Cambodia, fertility decreased by about a third from pre-civil war levels during 1975–79. In Angola, Ethiopia, and Eritrea, decreases in fertility were observed in relation to conflicts. Common reasons for these fertility decreases were the separation of spouses, reductions in marriage, lower fecundity, and increased risk of spontaneous abortion, especially when famine coexisted. In virtually all of these settings, the decrease in fertility was temporary and a post-conflict rebound of fertility occurred.

A systematic review of adolescent marriage and fertility patterns during conflicts concluded that both increases and decreases in numbers of adolescent marriage have been observed. There was an increase in adolescent marriages during the active phase of conflicts in Palestine, Syria (among refugees in Jordan), Mali, Nepal, and Tajikistan, whereas in other settings (Cambodia, Eritrea, Ethiopia, and Lebanon) adolescent marriage rates decreased. For instance, data from the Jordanian Population and Health Surveys from 2010 and 2015 indicate that the percentage of Syrian girls (≤18 years) being married in Jordan increased from 33% in 2010 to 44% in 2015.

There is little scientific evidence on the extent to which the demand for family planning methods is affected during conflict, and to what extent this affects fertility in protracted conflicts with large numbers of refugees. The availability of modern family planning in conflicts is often low, and unmet need for family planning tends to be high in conflict settings. There is ample evidence of reduced access to maternal and newborn health services, especially for the poorest and least educated women.

**Conclusion**

Ample but incomplete evidence exists of the devastating effects of armed conflict on the health of women and
children. Our estimate of the number of women and children affected by conflict—more than 630 million in 2017, including over 50 million women and children displaced by conflict—is, at more than 8% of the world’s population, strikingly large. The number of women and children affected by conflict has increased since 2000, partly due to population growth, a stable-to-increasing number of armed conflicts, the urbanisation of conflict, and a growing number of refugees and internally displaced people. The underlying data for estimating displaced and non-displaced population sizes contain uncertainties, although the increasing counts are unambiguous.

Armed conflict increases indirect mortality among children and women. Armed conflict within 50 km of a place of residence increases the risk of death among children and women of childbearing age in a dose–response relationship with conflict intensity. Exposure to conflicts in the highest quartile of intensity increases infants’ risk of death by more than 25%, and the risk of death in women of childbearing age by three times in Africa. As with our estimates of the size of the population affected by conflict, our retrospective computations of mortality consequences from armed conflict are limited by data availability and by the quality of data on exposure to conflict and on mortality recalled through surveys.

Food security is threatened during conflict, and increases in the number of children affected by acute malnutrition are widely documented. Modern-day famines are restricted to countries in conflict. Increased levels of chronic malnutrition in children are also common and more pronounced among children living in the proximity of severe conflict.

Less evidence exists for the effect of conflicts on morbidity from infectious diseases. Eroded water and sanitation capabilities, crowding, mobility, and breakdown of immunisation services putatively increases the risk of contagions. Visible local effects (eg, cholera and typhoid fever) and global effects (eg, polio virus and Ebola virus disease outbreaks) are common concerns in conflict-affected areas. The evidence on NCDs remains limited, but effects on mental health, especially post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and anxiety disorders have been widely documented. Sexual violence against women and children is common, with variability between conflicts and major challenges in obtaining reliable population-based data. Data about adolescents, in particular, is sparse to non-existent.

In most conflict-affected areas, data about the health of women and children are inadequate. Although challenges to data collection using traditional surveillance tools are intuitive, such data are urgently needed for context-specific programming and policy making to mitigate the health consequences of armed conflict on women and children, especially in the context of the changing nature of conflicts.
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