Solving Overparametrized Systems of Random Equations

Andrea Montanari

Stanford University

June 26, 2024

The optimization puzzle in modern machine learning

- Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM) is highly non-convex
- ▶ Gradient methods find global optima

Working hypothesis

 $ERM \ becomes \ `easy' \ if \ sufficiently \ overparametrized$

The optimization puzzle in modern machine learning

- Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM) is highly non-convex
- Gradient methods find global optima

Working hypothesis

 $ERM\ becomes\ `easy'\ if\ sufficiently\ overparametrized$

The optimization puzzle in modern machine learning

Working hypothesis

ERM becomes 'easy' if sufficiently overparametrized

Can we understand this in a simple model?





- 2 Gradient descent: Local analysis
- 3 Hessian descent
- 4 Exact solutions



Eliran Subag Weizmann Institute

A small experiment with a small neural net

An experiment: 2-Layer ELU network

$$f(z; W) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} s_i \sigma(\langle w_j, z \rangle) , \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}^D.$$

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ e^x - 1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}, \qquad \|\mathbf{W}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{w}_i\|_2^2 \leq m.$$

Empirical Risk Minimization via SGD

$$R_{n}(\boldsymbol{W}) := \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - f(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}; \boldsymbol{W}))^{2},$$

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{W}^{k} - \frac{\eta_{k}}{2} \sum_{i \in B(k)} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} \big(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}; \boldsymbol{W}^{k}) \big)^{2} \,, \\ & \boldsymbol{W}^{k+1} = \mathsf{Proj}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{k+1}) \,. \end{split}$$

 $\eta_k = \mathrm{lr}/(1+\mathrm{epoch}(k))^{1/2}~\textbf{W}^0 \sim N(0,\epsilon^2 \mathbf{I}_{mD}/D),~\epsilon = 0.03$

Data distribution

$(z_i,y_i) \sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}_D) \otimes \mathrm{Unif}(\{+1,-1\})$

Varying number of epochs; $\alpha = n/mD$



• m = D = 20, lr = 0.1

Averages over 20 realizations; one std bands

Varying learning rate; $\alpha = n/mD$



•
$$m = D = 20, N_{it} = 2,000$$

Averages over 20 realizations

A simple model with a long history

General problem

${\small \bigcirc} \ F_1,\ldots,F_n:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R} \text{ i.i.d. random functions.}$

② Find $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that $F_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $i \leq n$.

Gaussian model

 $F_{\rm i}$ centered, rotation invariant (in distr) Gaussian processes

• Covariance
$$\mathbb{E} \big[F_i(\mathbf{x}^1) F_j(\mathbf{x}^2) \big] = \delta_{ij} \xi \big(\langle \mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2 \rangle \big) \,.$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{x}))^\mathsf{T}.$$

Cost function and approximate solutions

$$R_n(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2$$

► At a fixed
$$x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$
, $R_n(x_0) = n\xi(1)/2 + o(n)$

► Solutions

$$\mathsf{Sol}_{n,d}(\epsilon) := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 \le n\xi(1) \cdot \epsilon \right\}.$$

$$\blacktriangleright n, d \to \infty, n/d \to \alpha.$$

Q1 Do exact solutions exist: $Sol_{n,d}(0) \neq \emptyset$? Do approximate solution exist, $Sol_{n,d}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$?

Q2 Can we find them in polytime?

Q1 Do exact solutions exist: $Sol_{n,d}(0) \neq \emptyset$? Do approximate solution exist, $Sol_{n,d}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$?

Q2 Can we find them in polytime?

History: Classical (complex) setting

$$F:\mathbb{C}^d\to\mathbb{C}^n,\ \mathrm{homogeneous},\,\mathrm{deg}(F_i)=p_i$$

```
Q1 Bezout's theorem (1779)
For n = d - 1, deterministically:
```

$$\operatorname{Sol}_{n,d}(0)| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$$

Q2

Smale 17th problem (1993-1998)

- ▶ Positive answer (Lairez, 2020)
- Homotopy methods

History: Classical (complex) setting

$$F:\mathbb{C}^d\to\mathbb{C}^n,\ \mathrm{homogeneous},\ \mathrm{deg}(F_i)=p_i$$

Q1 Bezout's theorem (1779) For n = d - 1, deterministically:

$$|\mathsf{Sol}_{n,d}(0)| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$$

Q2

Smale 17th problem (1993-1998)

▶ Positive answer (Lairez, 2020)

► Homotopy methods

History: Classical (complex) setting

$$F:\mathbb{C}^d\to\mathbb{C}^n,\ \mathrm{homogeneous},\ \mathrm{deg}(F_i)=p_i$$

Q1 Bezout's theorem (1779) For n = d - 1, deterministically:

$$\operatorname{Sol}_{n,d}(0)| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$$

Q2

Smale 17th problem (1993-1998)

Positive answer (Lairez, 2020)

► Homotopy methods

History: Real setting

Q1 Homogeneous case, n = d - 1.

▶ Subag 2022: With high probability

$$|\mathsf{Sol}_{n,d}(0)| = (1 + o(1)) \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{p_i}$$

Q1 Non-homogeneous case, $n/d \to \alpha \in (0, \infty)$.

Next slide

Q2 The rest of this talk

History: Real setting

Q1 Homogeneous case, n = d - 1.

▶ Subag 2022: With high probability

$$|Sol_{n,d}(0)| = (1 + o(1)) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_i}$$

Q1 Non-homogeneous case, $n/d \to \alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Next slide

Q2 The rest of this talk

History: Real setting

Q1 Homogeneous case, n = d - 1.

▶ Subag 2022: With high probability

$$|\mathsf{Sol}_{n,d}(0)| = (1 + o(1)) \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{p_i}$$

 $\label{eq:Q1} \mbox{Non-homogeneous case}, \, n/d \to \alpha \in (0,\infty).$

- Next slide
- Q2 The rest of this talk

Q1: $\xi(q) = \xi_0 + q^{11}$ (polynomial of degree 11)





See paper for formal statements.

Gradient descent: Local analysis

Projected Gradient Descent

Gradient descent

$$\begin{aligned} z^{k+1} &= x^k - \eta \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{T}, x^k} \nabla \mathsf{R}_n(x^k) \,, \\ x^{k+1} &= \frac{z^{k+1}}{\|z^{k+1}\|_2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Projected gradient flow

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = -\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{T},\mathbf{x}(t)} \nabla \mathsf{R}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) \,.$$

Difficult to analyze sharply!

Projected Gradient Descent

Gradient descent

$$\begin{aligned} z^{k+1} &= x^k - \eta \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{T}, x^k} \nabla \mathsf{R}_n(x^k) \,, \\ x^{k+1} &= \frac{z^{k+1}}{\|z^{k+1}\|_2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Projected gradient flow

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = -\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{T},\mathbf{x}(t)} \nabla \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{n}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) \,.$$

Difficult to analyze sharply!

Local analysis: Taylor expand around initialization



State of the art in ML Theory: Jacot, Gabriel, Hongler, 2018; Du, Zhai, Poczos, Singh 2018; Allen-Zhu, Li, Song 2018; Chizat, Bach, 2019; Arora, Du, Hu, Li, Salakhutdinov, Wang, 2019; Oymak, Soltanolkotabi, 2019; ...

Local analysis

$$\underline{\alpha}_{\rm GD}(\xi) := \frac{c_0 \xi'(1)^2}{\xi''(1)\xi(1) \big(\log(\xi'''(1)/\xi''(1)) \vee 1\big)} \,.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Theorem} \ (M, \, {\rm Subag}, \, 2023) \\ {\it If} \ \alpha < \underline{\alpha}_{\rm GD}(\xi), \ {\it and} \ \eta < 1/(C_1 d), \ {\it then} \ {\it whp} \ {\it for} \ {\it all} \ k \geq 1, \end{array}$

 $\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2^2 \le 2n\xi(1) e^{-c_2(\sqrt{d}-\sqrt{n})^2(\eta k)}$.

Special case: $\xi(q) = \xi_0 + q^p$

$$\underline{\alpha}_{\rm GD}(\xi_0,p) \asymp \frac{1}{\xi_0 \log p}\,.$$

To be compared with

$$\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{LB}}(\xi_0,p) = \frac{\log p}{\xi_0} \cdot \left(1 + o_p(1)\right).$$

Hessian descent

- $\blacktriangleright \operatorname{Need} \|\nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2 \geq \epsilon.$
- ► Cannot be true uniformly.
- \blacktriangleright \Rightarrow Local analysis :(

- ► Need $\|\nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2 \ge \epsilon$.
- ► Cannot be true uniformly.
- $\blacktriangleright \Rightarrow \text{Local analysis} : ($

- $\blacktriangleright \operatorname{Need} \|\nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2 \geq \epsilon.$
- ► Cannot be true uniformly.
- \blacktriangleright \Rightarrow Local analysis :(

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Need } \|\nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_2 \geq \epsilon.$
- ► Cannot be true uniformly.
- ▶ \Rightarrow Local analysis :(

Idea: Use Hessian

Problem with Hessian Descent

$$\mathbf{D}^2 R_n(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x})} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \mathbf{I}$$

- \blacktriangleright **D**² = Riemannian Hessian
- ▶ ∇^2 = Euclidean Hessian
- $\blacktriangleright \mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{Tangent \ space \ at \ } \mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Problem: $\langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ does not concentrate

Problem with Hessian Descent

$$\mathbf{D}^2 R_n(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x})} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \mathbf{I}$$

- \blacktriangleright **D**² = Riemannian Hessian
- ▶ ∇^2 = Euclidean Hessian
- $\blacktriangleright \mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{Tangent space at } \mathbf{x}$
- ▶ Problem: $\langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla R_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ does not concentrate

Problem with Hessian Descent

$$\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{R}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla^{2}\mathbf{R}_{n}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x})} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \mathbf{I}$$

Idea: Relax sphere constraint

Subag, 2020 (spherical spin glasses)

Algorithm: Sketch



Algorithm: Orthogonal steps



Algorithm: Simplified

 $\nu_{\min}(A) := \mathrm{eigenvector} \ \mathrm{associated} \ \mathrm{to} \ \mathrm{smallest} \ \mathrm{eigenvalue} \ \mathrm{of} \ A$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Initialize } \mathbf{x}^1 \sim \sqrt{\delta} \cdot \text{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}); \\ & \text{for } \mathbf{k} \in \{1, \dots, \mathsf{K} := 1/\delta - 1\} \text{ do} \\ & \quad \text{Compute } \mathbf{\nu}(\mathbf{x}^k) = \mathbf{\nu}_{\min}(\nabla^2 \mathsf{R}_n(\mathbf{x}^k)|_{\mathsf{T}_{\mathbf{x}^k}}); \\ & \quad s_k := \operatorname{sign}(\langle \mathbf{\nu}(\mathbf{x}^k), \nabla \mathsf{R}_n(\mathbf{x}^k) \rangle); \\ & \quad \mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k - s_k \sqrt{\delta} \, \mathbf{\nu}(\mathbf{x}^k); \end{split}$$

 \mathbf{end}

return $\mathbf{x}^{\text{HD}} = \mathbf{x}^{\text{K}};$

Full algorithm

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{Initialize} \ \mathbf{x}^{1} &\sim \sqrt{\delta} \cdot \mathrm{Unif}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}); \\ \mathbf{for} \ \mathbf{k} \in \{1, \ldots, \mathsf{K} := 1/\delta - 1\} \ \mathbf{do} \\ & \quad \mathrm{Compute} \ \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}^{k}) \in \mathsf{T}_{\mathbf{x}^{k}} \ \mathrm{such} \ \mathrm{that} \ \|\mathbf{v}\|_{2} = 1 \ \mathrm{and} \\ & \quad \langle \mathbf{v}, \nabla^{2}\mathsf{R}_{n}(\mathbf{x}^{k})\mathbf{v} \rangle \leq \lambda_{\min}(\nabla^{2}\mathsf{R}_{n}(\mathbf{x}^{k})|_{\mathsf{T},\mathbf{x}^{k}}) + d\delta; \\ & \quad \mathbf{s}_{k} := \mathrm{sign}(\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}^{k}), \nabla\mathsf{R}_{n}(\mathbf{x}^{k}) \rangle); \\ & \quad \mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^{k} - \mathbf{s}_{k}\sqrt{\delta} \ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}^{k}); \\ \mathbf{end} \\ & \quad \mathbf{return} \ \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{HD}} = \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{K}}; \end{split}$$

Analysis

Theorem (M, Subag, 2023) For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, define $z_*(\alpha, a, b) := \inf_{m>0} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} - \frac{\alpha b}{1+hm} + a^2 m \right\}.$ Let $\mathfrak{u}(\cdot; \alpha, \xi) : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be the unique solution of the ODE $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{t}) = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} z_* \left(\alpha; \sqrt{2\alpha \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t})\xi''(\mathbf{t})}, \xi'(\mathbf{t}) \right), \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{2}\xi(\mathbf{0}).$ Then whp $\frac{1}{n} R_n(\mathbf{x}^{HD}) \leq u(1; \alpha, \xi) + C_0 \delta.$

Recall $R_n(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^{HD})\|_2^2/2.$

$$\nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n F_\ell(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^2 F_\ell(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{D} F(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{D} F(\mathbf{x})$$

Distribution as \mathbf{x} , $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = q$

$$\nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{R_n(\mathbf{x})\xi''(q)} \, \mathbf{W} + \xi'(q) \, \mathbf{Z}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{Z} \,,$$

 $(W, Z) \sim \operatorname{GOE}(d-1) \otimes \operatorname{GOE}(n, d-1)$

$$\lim_{n,d\to\infty}\frac{1}{d}\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}_{n,d}) = -z_*(\alpha, a, b) := -\inf_{m>0}\frac{1}{m} - \frac{\alpha b}{1+bm} + a^2m.$$

$$\nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n F_\ell(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^2 F_\ell(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{D} F(\mathbf{x})^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{D} F(\mathbf{x})$$

Distribution as \mathbf{x} , $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = q$

$$\nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{R_n(\mathbf{x})\xi''(q)} \, \mathbf{W} + \xi'(q) \, \mathsf{Z}^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{Z} \,,$$

 $(W, Z) \sim \operatorname{GOE}(d-1) \otimes \operatorname{GOE}(n, d-1)$

$$\lim_{n,d\to\infty}\frac{1}{d}\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}_{n,d}) = -z_*(\alpha, a, b) := -\inf_{m>0}\frac{1}{m} - \frac{\alpha b}{1+bm} + a^2m.$$

$$\nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n F_\ell(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^2 F_\ell(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{D} F(\mathbf{x})^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{D} F(\mathbf{x})$$

Distribution as \mathbf{x} , $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = q$

$$\nabla^2 R_n(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{R_n(\mathbf{x})\xi''(q)} \, \mathbf{W} + \xi'(q) \, \mathbf{Z}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{Z} \,,$$

$$(\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{Z})\sim\operatorname{GOE}(d-1)\otimes\operatorname{GOE}(n,d-1)$$

$$\lim_{n,d\to\infty}\frac{1}{d}\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}_{n,d}) = -z_*(\alpha, a, b) := -\inf_{m>0}\frac{1}{m} - \frac{\alpha b}{1+bm} + a^2m.$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathsf{R}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \mathsf{F}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^2 \mathsf{F}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{D} \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{x})^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{D} \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{x})$$

Distribution as \mathbf{x} , $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = q$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\xi''(\mathbf{q})} \mathbf{W} + \xi'(\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{Z}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Z},$$
$$(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{Z}) \sim \operatorname{GOE}(d-1) \otimes \operatorname{GOE}(\mathbf{n}, d-1)$$

$$\lim_{n,d\to\infty}\frac{1}{d}\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}_{n,d}) = -z_*(\alpha, a, b) := -\inf_{m>0}\frac{1}{m} - \frac{\alpha b}{1+bm} + a^2m.$$

Special case: $\xi(q) = \xi_0 + q^p$

$$\frac{4(p-1)}{p\xi_0+4(p-1)} \leq \alpha_{\rm HD}(\xi_0,p) \leq \frac{4(p-1)}{p\xi_0}\,.$$

To be compared with

$$\begin{split} \underline{\alpha}_{\rm GD}(\xi_0,p) &\asymp \frac{1}{\xi_0 \log p} \,, \\ \alpha_{\rm LB}(\xi_0,p) &= \frac{\log p}{\xi_0} \cdot \left(1 + o_p(1)\right). \end{split}$$

Phase diagram $(\xi(q) = \xi_0 + q^3)$



- ► Above gray region, $\alpha > \alpha_{\rm UB}(\xi_0)$: $\mathsf{Sol}_{n,d}(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$
- ► Below gray region, $\alpha < \alpha_{LB}(\xi_0)$: $\mathsf{Sol}_{n,d}(0) = \emptyset$
- ► Red line: $\alpha_{HD}(\xi_0, p)$

Phase diagram $(\xi(q)=\xi_0+q^p)$



Is HD optimal (among polytime algs)?

- ▶ No! Suboptimal when F(x) has degree-1 term
- ▶ $Sol_{n,d}(0)$ not centered at 0
- ▶ See paper for the fix/general algorithm
- Conjectured to be optimal among 'stable algorithms'



Is HD optimal (among polytime algs)?

- ▶ No! Suboptimal when F(x) has degree-1 term
- ▶ $Sol_{n,d}(0)$ not centered at 0
- \blacktriangleright See paper for the fix/general algorithm
- Conjectured to be optimal among 'stable algorithms'



Is HD optimal (among polytime algs)?

- ▶ No! Suboptimal when F(x) has degree-1 term
- ▶ $Sol_{n,d}(0)$ not centered at 0
- \blacktriangleright See paper for the fix/general algorithm
- ▶ Conjectured to be optimal among 'stable algorithms'



What about exact solutions?

What is an exact solution?

Definition (Shub, Smale, 1993)

 \mathbf{x}_* is an approximate solution of $F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ if letting $(\mathbf{x}^k)_{k \ge 0}$ be Newton iterates with $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{x}_*$, then, for all k

$$\|\textbf{F}(\textbf{x}^k)\| \leq \|\textbf{F}(\textbf{x}^0)\| \cdot \exp\left\{-c \cdot 2^k\right\}.$$

Smale 17th problem over the reals: Can we find approximate solutions in polytime?

What is an exact solution?

Definition (Shub, Smale, 1993)

 \mathbf{x}_* is an approximate solution of $F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ if letting $(\mathbf{x}^k)_{k \geq 0}$ be Newton iterates with $\mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{x}_*$, then, for all k

$$\|\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}^k)\| \leq \|\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}^0)\| \cdot \exp\left\{-c \cdot 2^k\right\}.$$

Smale 17th problem over the reals:

Can we find approximate solutions in polytime?

What is an exact solution?

Theorem (M, Subag, 2024)

Assume F_i homogeneous, arbitrary (possibly different) degrees. Then there exists a deterministic polytime algorithm such that, if

$$n \leq d - C\sqrt{d\log d},$$

then it return a an approximate solution, with high probability wrt F.

Conclusion #1

- ▶ Random systems of nonlinear equations
- ▶ Rich computational/probabilistic structure
- > Quantitative comparison with neural nets lanscape?

Conclusion #1

- ▶ Random systems of nonlinear equations
- ▶ Rich computational/probabilistic structure
- ▶ Quantitative comparison with neural nets lanscape?

Conclusion #2



It is an honor to celebrate Andrew! Thanks!

Epilogue: Revisiting the original experiment

Empirical Risk Minimization

$$f(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{W}) = rac{a}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=1}^m s_i \, \sigma(\langle \boldsymbol{w}_j, \boldsymbol{z} \rangle) \;, \qquad \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^D \,.$$

$$\begin{split} R_n(\boldsymbol{W}) &\coloneqq \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - f(\boldsymbol{z}_i; \boldsymbol{W}) \right)^2, \\ \| \boldsymbol{W} \|_F^2 &\leq m. \end{split}$$

Experiments vs Gaussian Theory: a = 1



Red: Approx matching covariance

Experiments vs Gaussian Theory: a = 2



Experiments vs Gaussian Theory: a = 5

