MS&E 214 Optimization via Case Studies

Week 4: Pattern classification

Ashish Goel

(based on slides by Professor Benjamin Van Roy)

Roadmap

- We are on module 2: Machine Learning
- Two major canonical problems:
 - Regression: Already completed
 - Used the Max-Min trick for absolute value
 - Introduced Quadratic programming
 - Binary Classification: Next
 - Using the max-min trick
 - Using quadratic programming
- Class goals after this:
 - Comfortable with Basic LPs, Min-Max/Max-Min trick, Quadratic Programming
 - Understand the optimization behind basic ML algorithms
- Discussion Point: Why study optimization when there are libraries available for all this?

Pattern Classification



Examples

• Spam detection



• Face recognition



• Medical diagnosis

Hyperplanes

 $S = \{ \mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{z} = \alpha \}$ where $\mathbf{x} \in \Re^N, \alpha \in \Re^N$



Scaling (x, α) does not change the hyperplane

Hyperplanes





z will represent points in the data set

Linear Separation of Data

• A hyperplane defines two half spaces



• Goal

- Positive samples in first half space, Negative samples in second half space, nothing on the boundary
- This general classification technique is known as a Perceptron

- Data
 - Positive samples $\mathbf{u}^1, ..., \mathbf{u}^M \in \Re^N$
 - Negative samples $\mathbf{v}^1, ..., \mathbf{v}^K \in \Re^N$
- Linear program

min 0
s.t.
$$-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha \leq 0 \qquad \forall m = 1, ..., M$$

 $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha \leq 0 \qquad \forall k = 1, ..., K$

It is always infeasible

The objective function is always 0

It has a trivial solution regardless of whether the data is separable

All of the above

min 0
s.t.
$$-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha \leq 0$$
 $\forall m = 1, ..., M$
 $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha \leq 0$ $\forall k = 1, ..., K$

• What is wrong with this LP?

It is always infeasible

The objective function is always 0

It has a trivial solution regardless of whether the data is separable

All of the above

min 0 s.t. $-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha \leq 0$ $\forall m = 1, ..., M$ $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha \leq 0$ $\forall k = 1, ..., K$

• What is wrong with this LP?



- Data
 - Positive samples $\mathbf{u}^1, ..., \mathbf{u}^M \in \Re^N$
 - Negative samples $\mathbf{v}^1, ..., \mathbf{v}^K \in \Re^N$
- Linear program

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \min & 0 \\ \text{s.t.} & -\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha + 1 & \leq & 0 \\ & & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha + 1 & \leq & 0 \\ \end{array} & \forall k = 1, \dots, K \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \min & 0 \\ \text{s.t.} & -\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha + 1 & \leq & 0 \\ & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha + 1 & \leq & 0 \\ \end{array} \quad \forall m = 1, ..., M \\ \forall k = 1, ..., K \end{array}$$

• Why did we choose "1"? What would go wrong with another choice?

The number "I" stands for unity, and is perfect

The separation must be integral

Since x and alpha are scalable, the constant doesn't matter

Since x and alpha are scalable, the constant doesn't matter as long as it is positive

$$\begin{array}{lll} \min & 0 \\ \text{s.t.} & -\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha + 1 & \leq & 0 \\ & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha + 1 & \leq & 0 \\ \end{array} \quad \forall m = 1, ..., M \\ \forall k = 1, ..., K \end{array}$$

• Why did we choose "1"? What would go wrong with another choice?

The number "I" stands for unity, and is perfect

The separation must be integral

Since x and alpha are scalable, the constant doesn't matter

Since x and alpha are scalable, the constant doesn't matter as long as it is positive

What if Data is not Completely Separable

- Data
 - Positive samples $\mathbf{u}^1, ..., \mathbf{u}^M \in \Re^N$
 - Negative samples $\mathbf{v}^1, ..., \mathbf{v}^K \in \Re^N$



• But what penalty?

Notation Clarification



- This should be negative for the point to be "correctly classified"
- Can not minimize the "violation" directly, since we don't want a point that is correctly classified to contribute a "negative amount" to the objective function
- If violation is positive, then the point is incorrectly classified and there should be a penalty
- Penalty: This must be 0 when the violation is "negative"

Inseparable Data

- Minimize number of misclassifications?
 - That is, penalty = 1 if violation > 0 and 0 otherwise
 - NP-complete
- Another natural penalty function: = violation when the violation is positive; zero otherwise (later: quadratic)
 - Penalty: $\max(0, -\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{u}^m + \alpha + 1)$, $\max(0, \mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{v}^k \alpha + 1)$
 - Objective:

$$\min\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \max(0, -\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha + 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max(0, \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha + 1)\right)$$

Inseparable Data



• Introduce additional decision variables:

$$\delta_m^+ \leftrightarrow \max(0, -\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha + 1)$$

$$\delta_k^- \leftrightarrow \max(0, \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha + 1)$$

• Linear program

$$\min \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \delta_m^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \delta_k^- \right)$$

s.t. $-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{u}^m + \alpha + 1 \leq \delta_m^+ \quad \forall m = 1, ..., M$
 $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v}^k - \alpha + 1 \leq \delta_k^- \quad \forall k = 1, ..., K$
 $\delta_m^+ \geq 0 \quad \forall m = 1, ..., M$
 $\delta_k^- \geq 0 \quad \forall k = 1, ..., K$

Breast Cancer Diagnosis

radius	perimiter	area	•••	class
18	122.8	1001	•••	malignant
		•••		•••
		•••		•••
20.6	132.9	1326		benign
		•••		•••
		•••		•••
19.7	130	1203		malignant