Short Baseline Neutrino Program ICARUS and ICAR-US

Introduction Motivation(musings on SBL data) SBN and ICARUS

> Geoffrey Mills Los Alamos NL

First LSND...

- Data runs 1993-1998
- LSND found an excess of ν_e in ν_μ beam
- Signature: Cerenkov light from e⁺ with delayed n-capture (2.2 MeV)
- Excess: 87.9 ± 22.4 ± 6.0 (3.8s)
- The data was analysed under a two neutrino mixing hypothesis*

$$P(\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}) = \sin^{2}(2\theta) \sin^{2}\left(\frac{1.27 \ L \ \Delta m^{2}}{E}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= 0.245 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.045 \ \%$$

Beam Excess 17.5 Beam Excess p(v̄_u→v̄_e,e⁺)n 15 p(v_∞e⁺)n 12.5 other 10 20017.5 result 5 2.5 0 0.020 0.8 0.6 1.2 1.4 1 L/E, (meters/MeV) 0.015 $P(\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \longrightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})$ 0.010 0.005 0.000 -0.0050.5 $1 \overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 1 5 L/E_{ν} (meters/MeV)

KARMEN at a distance of 17 meters saw no evidence for oscillations $\rightarrow low \Delta m^2$

Then MiniBooNE...



LSND-MiniBooNE Oscillations?

Use L/E representation of data to plot data on same footing Recast "excess events" to "oscillation probability"

 $P_{osc} = \frac{\text{Excess } v_e \text{ events}}{\text{Expected } v_e \text{ events for completely oscillated source } (v_\mu \text{ or } \overline{v}_\mu)}$

LSND Antineutrino Data



MiniBooNE Nu Mode



MiniBooNE NuBar Mode



3+2 Model

$$P\begin{pmatrix} {}^{(-)}_{\nu} \rightarrow {}^{(-)}_{\nu}_{e} \end{pmatrix} = 4A^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2} L}{E_{v}} \right) + 4B^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2} L}{E_{v}} \right)$$
$$+ 8AB \sin \left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2} L}{E_{v}} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2} L}{E_{v}} \right) \cos \left(\frac{\left(\Delta m_{51}^{2} - \Delta m_{41}^{2} \right) L}{E_{v}} \pm \varphi_{CP} \right)$$

where

$$A = |U_{e4}U_{\mu4}|, B = |U_{e5}U_{\mu5}|, and \begin{cases} + \text{ neutrinos} \\ - \text{ antineutrinos} \end{cases}$$

3+2 Model Cont.

Difference of Probabilities:

$$P(v_{\mu} \to v_{e}) - P(\overline{v}_{\mu} \to \overline{v}_{e}) = -16 AB \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2} L}{E_{v}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2} L}{E_{v}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{54}^{2} L}{E_{v}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Phi m_{54}$$

Average of Probabilities:

$$\frac{\left(P\left(v_{\mu} \to v_{e}\right) + P\left(\overline{v}_{\mu} \to \overline{v}_{e}\right)\right)}{2} = 4A^{2}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right) + 4B^{2}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)$$
$$-8AB\sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\Delta m_{54}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\Delta m_{54}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)$$

where

$$A = \left| U_{e4} U_{\mu 4} \right|, \ B = \left| U_{e5} U_{\mu 5} \right|$$

• INFO 2015, Santa Fe, New Mexico

3+2 Difference

$$P(v_{\mu} \to v_{e}) - P(\overline{v}_{\mu} \to \overline{v}_{e}) = -16 AB \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2} L}{E_{v}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2} L}{E_{v}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{54}^{2} L}{E_{v}}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\Phi m_{54}$$



INFO 2015, Santa Fe, New Mexico

$$\frac{\left(P\left(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}\right) + P\left(\overline{v}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{v}_{e}\right)\right)}{2} = 4A^{2}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right) + 4B^{2}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right) - 8AB\sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{51}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\Delta m_{54}^{2}L}{E_{v}}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\Delta m_{54}^{2}L}{E_{v}$$



3+2 Fit

- Fit to MiniBooNE data only
- Average and difference probabilities simultaneously
- Use independent, constrained covariance matrices
- NDOF = 22 bins 5 parameters = 17

 φ_{CP}

Solution 1: (of several...) $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0.1 \text{ eV}^2$ Δm_{51}^2 4.4 eV² A 0.19 B 0.01 2.2 rad

3+2 Fit Results: Average



INFO 2015, Santa Fe, New Mexico

3+2 Fit Results: Difference



INFO 2015, Santa Fe, New Mexico

3+2 Fit Results: Nu Mode



3+2 Fit Results: NuBar Mode



3+2 MiniBooNE-only Fit Results





Bugey & MINOS Disappearance

Bugey 15 meter 1.4 While a deficit exists in the Bugey, the ratio of 15m to 40 m is insensitive to 1.2 the reactor neutrino flux, and cannot $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e)$ be easily accommodated in a 3+2 1.0model. MINOS+ should yield higher 0.8 statistics. 0.6 10 4 8 6 2.0MINOS N/F ratio Bugey 40 meter 1.4 1.5 1.2 $P(\nu_{\mu} \longrightarrow \nu_{\mu})$ $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e)$ 0.50.6 0.0 200 400 600 800 1000 10 15 20 25 $\frac{L}{E} \left(\frac{m}{M} \right)$ $\frac{L}{E} \left(\frac{m}{MeV}\right)$



- $\label{eq:linear} \square \quad \mbox{Three Liquid Argon TPC detectors to search for sterile neutrinos with $\Delta m^2 \sim 1eV^2$ in both v_{μ} disappearance and v_e appearance oscillation channels.}$
- Joint proposal by ICARUS, MicroBooNE and Short-Baseline Near Detector collaborations to Fermilab PAC in January 2015 (<u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.01520</u>). Granted stage 1 approval in February 2015.

SBN Ingredients

- Robust Booster Neutrino Beam (BNB)
 - Efforts are underway to upgrade the BNB
 - A two-horn system promises higher event rates

MicroBooNE

- Successful liquid argon fill achieved last week!
- Will address low energy excess of MiniBooNE

SBND

- Will act as near detector for SBN
- R&D platform for DUNE liquid argon technology

• ICARUS (SBFD?)

- 600T far detector at 600 meters (directly downstream of MiniBooNE)
- Installation in 2017, operation in 2018



Stable Neutrino Flux Over 10 Years



SBN and ICARUS

- The unresolved issues surrounding LSND and MiniBooNE have generated a desire to develop a multi-detector program to explore this region of Δm^2 and extend the existing sensitivities greatly.
- With the shutdown or the CNGS (CERN-Grand Sasso) beam, the 600T ICARUS detector was decommissioned
- Efforts to construct a SBL beam at CERN were deemed to costly, and a solution presented itself
- CERN, INFN, Fermilab, and DOE have agreed to promote both long-term (DUNE) and short-term (SBN) neutrino oscillation experiments at Fermilab

SBN Program Physics

- Build on 10+ years of neutrino oscillation physics with the Booster Neutrino Beam: MiniBooNE and SciBooNE experiments
- Address LSND and MiniBooNE anomalies
- 2015-18: MicroBooNE to address the MiniBooNE low energy excess (e or g)
- 2015-18: refurbish ICARUS, Design and construct SBND; install and commission
- 2018-2021: three detector SBN program operations to address LSND anomaly and search for sterile neutrinos
- SBN program projected sensitivities (6.6x10²⁰ P.O.T. three years nominal operation)
 - v_e appearance: ~5s coverage of LSND 99% CL Region in neutrino mode
 - ν_µ disappearance: factor of 10 better than MiniBooNE+SciBooNE



ICARUS-T600

Far Detector @ Fermilab Short-Baseline Neutrino Program

The ICARUS-WA104 Collaboration

M. Antonello¹⁶, B. Baibussinov³¹, V. Bellini⁵, P. Benetti³², S. Bertolucci⁶, H. Bilokon¹⁶, F. Boffelli³², M. Bonesini¹⁷, J. Bremer⁶, E. Calligarich³⁰, S. Centro³¹, A.G. Cocco¹⁹, A. Dermenev¹⁰, A. Falcone¹⁰, C. Farnese¹¹, A. Ferrari⁴, D. Gibin¹¹, S. Gninenko²⁰, N. Golubev²⁰, A. Guglielmi¹¹, A. Ivashkin²⁰, M. Kirsanov¹⁰, J. Kisiel¹⁰, U. Kose⁴, F. Mammoliti⁴, G. Mannocchi¹⁰, A. Menegolli¹⁰, G. Meng¹¹, D. Mladenov⁴, C. Montanari³¹, M. Nessi⁴, M. Nicoletto¹¹, F. Noto⁶, P. Picchi¹⁸, F. Pietropaolo¹⁸, P. Płoński⁴, R. Potenza⁴, A. Rappoldi¹², G. L. Raselli¹³, M. Rossella¹³, <u>C. Rubbia⁴</u>^{(4),14}, P. Sala¹¹, A. Scaramelli¹⁴, J. Sobczyk", M. Spanu", D. Stefan", R. Suley", C.M. Sutera', M. Torti", F. Tortorici', F. Varanini", S. Ventura", C. Vignoli", T. Wachala", and A. Zani"

New US members from: Argonne National Lab, Colorado State University, Los Alamos National Lab, Fermilab, Univ. of Pittsburgh and

High sensitivity to eV-scale sterile neutrinos at L= 600 m



Sensitivity improves from 2s to 5s in 1 eV region



Liquid Argon TPC Pioneered by ICARUS team World's largest LArTPC (760 t total/476 t active)



Unique detection capabilities: ~1 mm³ 3D imaging and accurate dE/dx measurement



WA104: CERN-INFN for relocation to CERN for refurbishment and upgrades







July 2015

50x more compact

Then to Fermilab as Short-Baseline Neutrino Program Far Detector



- Refurbishment proceeding well at CERN on schedule for transport to Fermilab Dec. 2016
- New Aluminum cold vessels and thermal insulation, re-shaping of cryogenics and purification system
- New (expanded coverage) photomultiplier tubes for LAr photon system ordered
- Far detector building design is completed beneficial occupancy Nov. 2016
- Conceptual designs for cosmic ray tagger (CRT) underway
- US groups incorporated in high need areas: CRT design, TPC+CRT electronics, DAQ, software; assisting integration with other short-baseline detectors; ways to support these efforts being investigated
- Data-taking with beam Apr. 2018; 3-year data run anticipated



• INFO 2015, Santa Fe, New Mexico

15 28

First T300 wireframe in the CERN clean room (Feb 2015)

1

exico



ICARUS Refurbishment at CERN

- New PMTs are on order from Hamamatsu
 UV coating will be applied at CERN
- Cathode plane replacement underway
 Tighter flatness tolerance
- Construction of new cryostats at CERN
 - Engineering nearly complete
- New electronics
 - CAEN involved in readout
 - Warm front-end is likely
- First module scheduled to complete end of 2015
 - Second module to complete end of 2016 or early 2017
- Modules are scheduled to arrive at Fermilab when detector hall is finished

Conclusions

- SBN offers a bright future for near-term neutrino physics at Fermilab
- ICARUS will provide a powerful far detector for SBN on a short time scale
- Program is designed to lay the foundations for European-US collaboration in future long baseline experiments like DUNE