For the NOvA Collaboration



Joao Coelho





OUTLINE

- > Neutrino Oscillations
- > NuMI Beam
- » Bi-probability plot
- > NOvA Experiment
- > Numu Disappearance
- > Nue Appearance
- > What to expect







In general: n(n-1)/2 angles and (n-1)(n-2)/2 phases



 $\mathcal{A}_{\mu e} = \sum_{j} U_{\mu j} e^{i m_j \tau_j} U_{ej}^*$













- Flip $CP \implies$ Flip T (CPT theorem)
- Triangle is not symmetric (CP violation)





NEUTRINO OSCILLATION

□ $v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\alpha}$ is T invariant, so it must be CP invariant (CPT theorem) □ Also, only even orders in $\Delta \equiv \Delta m^2 L/E$

 v_{μ} Disappearance

v_e Appearance

 $\mathcal{P}(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}) \approx \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \sin^{2} (2\theta_{13}) \sin^{2} \Delta_{\mu e}$ $\pm \tilde{J} \sin \delta \sin \Delta_{21} \sin^{2} \Delta_{\mu e} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{21})^{2}$

CP violation (sin δ term) Breaks θ_{23} symmetry Different effective Δm^2

GOING THROUGH THE EARTH

- Earth is transparent to neutrinos
- It has a neutrino "refractive index"
- Main effect is change in θ_{13}

$$A \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F n_e}{\Delta m_{32}^2/2E} \sim \frac{E}{11.5 \text{ GeV}}$$
$$\tan(2\tilde{\theta}_{13}) \equiv \frac{\sin(2\theta_{13})}{\cos(2\theta_{13}) - A}$$

- Depends on sign of Δm^2_{32}
- Different signs for v and \overline{v}
- Apparent CP violation





THE NUMI BEAM



RAMPING UP

- Capable of 700 kW
- Currently at ~470 kW
- Full power by mid 2016
- 6.4 x 10²⁰ PoT delivered





BASELINE



OFF-AXIS

WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW



NUE APPEARANCE



NUE APPEARANCE



NUE APPEARANCE



NUE APPEARANCE



NUE APPEARANCE



NUE APPEARANCE



NUE APPEARANCE



NUE APPEARANCE



NOVA







NOVA



DETECTORS COMPLETE Joao Coelho 13 July 2015







DETECTORS COMPLETE Joao Coelho 13 July 2015







DETECTORS COMPLETE Joao Coelho 13 July 2015







FAR DETECTOR Joao Coelho 13 July 2015



FD DATA



FD DATA

13 July 2015



FD DATA



NEAR DETECTOR



ND DATA



ND DATA



ND DATA



ND DATA



ND DATA



NUMU DISAPPEARANCE

NOVA TOPOLOGIES



NC REJECTION



COSMIC REJECTION

| Selection | ν_{μ} -CC Signal | NC Background | Cosmics |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| No Cuts | 127 | 380 | 19.1 M |
| Containment | 109 | 195 | 120 k |
| NC Rejection | 86 | 5 | 44 k |
| Cosmics Rejection | 75 | 4 | 1 |

- Track information used in BDT
- Achieve a 19M : 1 rejection ratio

• Numbers based on 1 nominal year (6 x 10²⁰ PoT x 14 kt)



NUMU DISAPPEARANCE



NUMU DISAPPEARANCE



13 July 2015

NUE APPEARANCE

NOVA TOPOLOGIES



FINDING NUE'S

Library Event Matching

- Compare hit distribution with a library of MC simulated events
- Perform multivariate analysis on properties of best matches to event



Likelihood v_e Identifier

- Compare LogL of energy deposition with different particle assumptions
- Perform multivariate analysis on LogL differences and shower properties



COSMIC REJECTION

| Cosmic Background* | LID | LEM | |
|-----------------------|-------|------|--------|
| No Cuts | 15 M | 15 M | *Base |
| Containment | 0.6 M | 1 M | d on S |
| Cosmics Rejection | 5 k | 6 k | % live |
| Preselection | 40 | 60 | -seco |
| PID | 0.3 | 0.3 | nds |

- Cosmic rejection essential
- Similar performance from both selection methods
- •Achieve a 50M : 1 rejection ratio



HOM WANAS

- Far detector predictions are corrected using near detector data
- Predictions are scaled to a full detector exposure equivalent to approx. 1/2 nominal year, representative of expected first results
- The oscillation model assumed no matter effect and:
 - $\delta_{CP} = 0$
 - $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.095$

PRELIMINARY

| | Osc. v _e -CC | Total Bkg. | ν_{μ} -CC | NC | Beam v _e -CC | Cosmics |
|-----|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------------|---------|
| LEM | 4.7 | 1.5 | 0.07 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| LID | 4.4 | 1.3 | 0.07 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

WHAT TO EXPECT

THE TENSION





WHAT WE KNOW



WHAT WE'LL FIND OUT

DISCLAIMER: NO OFFICIAL NOVA DATA OR SIMULATION USED IN THESE PLOTS.

What if NOvA seesevents?

- Average expectation
- Lower than T2K
- **No clear answer** on mass ordering, but still prefer maximal CP violation due to T2K

Normal Ordering Inverted Ordering



WHAT WE'LL FIND OUT

DISCLAIMER: NO OFFICIAL NOVA DATA OR SIMULATION USED IN THESE PLOTS.

What if NOvA sees9 events?

- Higher than expected
- Similar to T2K
- Some tension with standard oscillation
- Improved sensitivity to mass ordering, θ_{23} octant and CP violation



WHAT WE'LL FIND OUT

DISCLAIMER: NO OFFICIAL NOVA DATA OR SIMULATION USED IN THESE PLOTS.

What if NOvA sees3 events?

- Lower than expected
- Opposite of T2K
- Relatively strong
 tension with standard
 oscillation picture



THE END GOAL



NOVA ♥ T2K





SUMMARY

• NOvA will be releasing exciting first results in the upcoming weeks

• About half a nominal year of full detector equivalent data already collected (~3 x 10²⁰ PoT)

- Predict anywhere from 3 to 9 candidate v_e events depending on exact oscillation parameters

- Will NOvA prefer MINOS or T2K?
- Place your bets!

BACKUP SLIDES

NON-STANDARD INTERACTIONS



NON-STANDARD INTERACTIONS

