

The Neural Basis of Financial Risk-Taking*

Supplementary Material

Camelia M. Kuhnen¹ and Brian Knutson²

Stanford Graduate School of Business¹ and Department of Psychology²

Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305

Draft (07/28/05): Please do not cite or distribute

¹Stanford Graduate School of Business, 518 Memorial Way, S479, Stanford, California, 94305, camelia@stanford.edu, (650) 724-4842.

²Department of Psychology, Bldg. 420, Jordan Hall, Stanford University, knutson@psych.stanford.edu, (650) 724-2965

*Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Optimal Portfolio Selection Strategy

During trial τ in each block, a rational risk-neutral agent should pick stock i if he/she expects to receive a dividend D_τ^i at least as large as the bond earnings, that is, if:

$$E[D_\tau^i | I_{\tau-1}] \geq E[D_\tau^B | I_{\tau-1}] = 1, \text{ where } I_{\tau-1} \text{ is the information set up to trial } \tau-1.$$

That is: $I_{\tau-1} = \{D_t^i \mid \forall t \leq \tau-1, \forall i \in \{\text{Stock T, Stock R, Bond C}\}\}$.

Let $x_\tau^i = \Pr\{\text{Stock } i = \text{Good} \mid I_{\tau-1}\}$. Then:

$$E[D_\tau^i | I_{\tau-1}] = x_\tau^i [0.5 * 10 + 0.25 * (-10) + 0.25 * 0] + (1 - x_\tau^i) [0.5 * (-10) + 0.25 * 10 + 0.25 * 0] = 2.5 * (2x_\tau^i - 1)$$

Hence, a risk-neutral agent will pick stock i only when his belief x_τ^i is such that:

$$2.5 * (2x_\tau^i - 1) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow x_\tau^i \geq 0.7$$

If the agent's beliefs are weak, that is: $x_\tau^i < 0.7, \forall i \in \{\text{Stock T, Stock R}\}$, then the optimal strategy for the risk-neutral agent is to pick the bond in trial τ .

A rational agent should update his or her beliefs x_τ^i according to Bayes' rule.

In this paper, we refer to the *uncertainty* of a trial τ , defined as $\min(x_\tau^i, x_\tau^j)$, where $i, j \in \{StockT, StockR\}$ and $i \neq j$. Hence, the uncertainty is highest (and equal to 0.5) at the beginning of a block, because at that point the probability of either one of the stocks being the good one is 50%. The uncertainty decreases as more information about dividends is revealed and it becomes clearer which stock dominates.

Tables

Table S1: Determinants of left NAcc, anterior insula and MPFC activation during the OUTCOME period, for trials where a STOCK was chosen. $CumEarnings_t$ is wealth accumulated during the task up to and including trial t . $Uncertainty_t$ is defined as $\min(\Pr\{\text{Stock T} = \text{Good} \mid \text{History}\}, \Pr\{\text{Stock R} = \text{Good} \mid \text{History}\})$. $+10.00_t$ is an indicator variable equal to 1 if the dividend paid by the stock on trial t was +10. -10.00_t is an indicator variable equal to 1 if the dividend paid by the stock on trial t was -10. Subject fixed effects included.

Dependent variable	$INAcc_t^{OUT}$	$linsul_t^{OUT}$	$IMPFC_t^{OUT}$
	Coef.	Coef.	Coef.
-10.00_t	-0.0138 (0.56)	0.0224 (1.21)	-0.0076 (0.26)
$+10.00_t$	0.0838 (3.85)***	0.0013 (0.08)	0.1408 (5.23)***
$Uncertainty_t$	-0.0322 (0.49)	0.0063 (0.14)	-0.1090 (1.59)
$CumEarnings_{t-1}$	-0.0000 (0.16)	0.0001 (1.07)	0.0001 (1.30)
Constant	0.1083 (2.27)**	0.0992 (2.57)**	-0.0219 (0.27)
Observations	2036	2036	2036
R-sq	0.0581	0.0518	0.0434

Robust t statistics in parentheses. Robust standard errors. * significant at 10%, ** significant at 5%, *** significant at 1%.

Table S2: Determinants of left NAcc, anterior insula and MPFC activation during the MARKET period, for trials where a STOCK was chosen. $CumEarnings_t$ is wealth accumulated during the task up to and including trial t . $Outcome_t$ are earnings made on trial t . $Uncertainty_t$ is defined as $\min(\Pr\{\text{Stock T} = \text{Good} \mid \text{History}\}, \Pr\{\text{Stock R} = \text{Good} \mid \text{History}\})$. $+20_t$ is an indicator variable equal to 1 if the difference between the dividend paid by the chosen stock and that paid by the not chosen stock is equal to +20. -20_t is an indicator variable equal to 1 if the difference between the dividend paid by the chosen stock and that paid by the not chosen stock is equal to -20. $+10_t$ and -10_t are defined similarly. Subject fixed effects included.

Dependent variable	$INAcc_t^{MKT}$	$linsul_t^{MKT}$	$IMPFC_t^{MKT}$
	Coef.	Coef.	Coef.
-20.00_t	-0.0159 (0.46)	0.0551 (1.87)*	0.0295 (0.64)
-10.00_t	0.0227 (0.80)	0.0388 (1.75)*	-0.0519 (1.63)
$+10.00_t$	0.0525 (2.23)**	-0.0174 (0.83)	0.0732 (2.43)**
$+20.00_t$	0.0531 (2.10)**	-0.0619 (2.78)***	0.0918 (2.83)***
$Outcome_t$	0.0015 (1.08)	0.0021 (1.80)*	-0.0009 (0.51)
$Uncertainty_t$	0.0619 (1.04)	-0.0898 (1.70)*	0.0513 (0.71)
$CumEarnings_{t-1}$	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000

	(0.85)	(1.76)*	(0.15)
<i>Constant</i>	-0.1496	-0.1505	-0.2006
	(3.94)***	(3.82)***	(2.42)**
Observations	2036	2036	2036
R-sq	0.0237	0.0314	0.0347

Robust t statistics in parentheses. Robust standard errors. * significant at 10%, ** significant at 5%, *** significant at 1%.

Table S3: Determinants of left NAcc, anterior insula and MPFC activation during the MARKET period, for trials where the BOND was chosen. $CumEarnings_t$ is wealth accumulated during the task up to and including trial t . $Uncertainty_t$ is defined as $\min(\Pr\{\text{Stock T} = \text{Good} \mid \text{History}\}, \Pr\{\text{Stock R} = \text{Good} \mid \text{History}\})$. Since subjects chose the bond, which yielded +1, we define $+11_t$ as an indicator variable equal to 1 if the maximum dividend paid by either stock was -10 (1-(-10)). -9_t is an indicator variable equal to 1 if the maximum dividend paid by either stock was +10 (1-10). Subject fixed effects included.

Dependent variable	$INAcc_t^{MKT}$	$linsul_t^{MKT}$	$IMPFC_t^{MKT}$
-9.00_t	-0.0280 (1.23)	0.0062 (0.32)	-0.0153 (0.63)
$+11.00_t$	-0.0318 (0.96)	0.0352 (1.24)	0.1199 (3.26)***
$Uncertainty_t$	-0.0788 (1.16)	-0.1976 (3.40)***	-0.1531 (2.04)**
$CumEarnings_{t-1}$	-0.0000 (0.06)	0.0001 (0.62)	-0.0001 (0.68)
$Constant$	0.0615 (1.22)	0.0928 (1.96)**	0.1307 (1.58)
Observations	1708	1708	1708
R-sq	0.0161	0.0387	0.0548

Robust t statistics in parentheses. Robust standard errors. * significant at 10%, ** significant at 5%, *** significant at 1%.