FACULTY SURVEY ON TEACHING, LEARNING AND ASSESSMENT

Profile and Summary of Responses

Wake Forest University Case Study



The "Faculty Survey on Teaching, Learning and Assessment" (FSTLA) survey has been developed by the research program on Academic Programs and Students for the National Center for Postsecondary Improvement (NCPI). The primary purpose of the FSTLA is to examine how your institution supports teaching, learning, and assessment in the classroom. We are interested in your perception of how your institution approaches teaching, learning and assessment, how it provides support for it, the types of policies and practices used to promote it, and the uses and impacts of that information. We are interested in your perception of these topics whether or not you are directly involved with them.

Student assessment refers to various ways of evaluating students' learning. Instructors, departments schools, states and employers assess students in many ways. Please refer to this definition of assessment when completing the survey.

This survey is part of an intensive case study that examines your institution's approach to and strategy for supporting teaching, learning and assessment. The case study report describing your institution's teaching, learning and assessment strategy will include a profile of responses to this survey. This information should be helpful both in better understanding and in enhancing support for teaching, learning and assessment at your institution. Any questions concerning the survey can be addressed to:

Eric L. Dey, Project Director
Sylvia Hurtado, Project Director
National Center for Postsecondary Improvement,
Project on Institutional Support for Student Assessment
University of Michigan
School of Education
610 E. University, Room 2339
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259
Phone: 734-647-1653 / Fax: 734-936-2741

^{*}NCPI is funded by the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Educational Research and Improvement under grant number R309A600012

The University of Michigan is a non-discriminating employer.

© 2000, The Regents of the University of Michigan

Faculty Survey on Teaching, Learning and Assessment

Research Program on Academic Programs: Faculty and Students
National Center for Postsecondary Improvement

Wake Forest University

Mean and Percentage Scores April, 2001

Section I - Background

1. In the space below, indicate:

Your college/school affiliation (e.g., College of Engineering, School of Business).

Arts and Sciences: 100.0% Not in A & S: 0.0%

The department in which you hold your primary appointment (e.g., Sociology, Music Education).

English...... 18.8% Chemistry 18.8% Mathematics . 18.8% Physics...... 0.0% Psychology... 37.5% Computer Sci..6.3

2. What percentage of time is your current appointment at this institution?

Mean: 100%

3. The length of my contract is: (Circle one.)

One term contract	0.0%
Nine to twelve months contract	12.5%
Multiyear contract	6.3%
Continuous appointment	81.3%

4. Have you had any of the following experiences? (Circle one for each.)

MEAN
2.00
1.63
1.69
1.31
1.00
1.25
1.13
1.62
1.31
1.69
1.69
1.63
1.13
1.38
1.50
1.94

5.	What is the approximate size of the largest class you tau	ight last vear?	(Number of students)
J.	Triat is the approximate size of the largest class you tau	iziit iast year .	(1) difficer of students,

MEAN 26-50

6. What is the approximate size of the smallest class you taught last year? (Number of students)

MEAN Less than 10

7. What proportion of your teaching load is comprised of the following types of courses in a typical academic year? (Circle one for each.) All - 4 Most - 3 Some - 2 None - 1

	MEAN
Remedial and developmental classes	1.00
Courses that meet general education requirements.	1.73
Lower-division undergraduate classes	2.07
Upper-division undergraduate classes	2.13
Graduate student classes	1.87

8. How frequently do you participate in the following activities? (Circle one for each.) Frequently – 3 Occasionally – 2 Never – 1

	MEAN
Conduct research on teaching and learning	1.38
Evaluate the effectiveness of new teaching and learning practices for my department	1.31
Help determine the performance standard for students graduating from my department	1.62
Evaluate faculty in their use of new teaching and learning practices	1.44
Assist faculty peers in their use of new teaching and learning practices.	1.62
Evaluate students on capstone experiences such as exams, portfolios, theses, or performances	2.25
Test students entering my department	1.38
Make recommendations to administrative offices about new teaching and learning practices	1.38
Assess students for course placement purposes	1.44

Section II - Institutional perspective

9. How do you perceive your current campus approach on a scale ranging from "top down" (initiatives emerging from central campus administrators) to "bottom up" (initiatives emerging from faculty)? (Circle one for each.)

(Bottom up=1 Top down=5)

	MEAN
Assessment activity	2.67
New teaching/learning initiatives	2.60
Assessment techniques	2.20
Plan for improving student learning	2.33

10. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the following aspects of your job at this institution? (Circle one for each.)

Very satisfied – 4 Somewhat satisfied – 3 Somewhat dissatisfied – 2 Very dissatisfied – 1

	MEAN
Your workload	2.93
Your job security	3.73
Opportunity for advancement	3.36
Department support for promotion and tenure	3.47
Quality of students you teach	3.47
Collegiality in your department	3.33
Relationships with administrators	2.73
Support for teaching and learning	3.13
Freedom to do outside consulting	3.46
Support for assessment activities	3.43
Your salary/benefits	3.07

11. What is the level of faculty involvement in your institution on the following? (Circle one for each.)

Very strong involvement - 5 Strong involvement - 4 Moderate involvement - 3 Little involvement - 2 No involvement - 1

	MEAN
Academic planning for undergraduate	4.33
education	
Resource allocation	2.53
Student recruitment policies and decisions	2.40
Undergraduate curriculum development	4.00
Use of educational technology	3.80
Faculty development activities	3.14
Teaching/learning workshops for	2.93
undergraduate education	
Faculty promotion and evaluation	3.87
Student academic support services	3.00
Student assessment policies and procedures	3.47
Interdisciplinary teaching initiatives	3.27
Innovations in undergraduate education	3.53

12. How centralized are each of the following activities at your institution? (Circle one for each.)

Institution – 5 College or school – 4 Department – 3 Voluntarily by ad-hoc faculty groups – 2 No coordination – 1

	MEAN
Criteria for student grading	2.14
Criteria for assessment of student learning	1.93
Development of new classroom assessment	2.07
strategies	
Goals for student learning	2.33
Curricular requirements	3.50
Development of teaching techniques	2.40
Decisions regarding course content	2.33
Use of student assessment data	2.36
Determination of coursework requirements	2.20
Development of final exams	1.87
Student evaluations of teaching	2.87
Faculty peer evaluations of teaching	2.13

13. Please indicate your level of agreement on statements about students in your courses. (Circle one for each.) Agree strongly- 4 Agree somewhat - 3 Disagree somewhat - 2 Disagree strongly - 1

Most students	MEAN
Are capable of learning the basic concepts	4.00
Understand material better when they also hear course concepts explained by peers	2.60
Learn best when they are given a test on course content	3.00
Have a better grasp of course concepts when they discuss concepts with peers	3.20
Do not question the readings or textbook Often make connections across concepts I had	2.67
not anticipated	2.20
Learn best through repetition of material in lectures, texts, and exams	2.73
Like to think about questions for which no single authoritative solution exists	1.87
Understand the complexity of a topic better after exchanging ideas with peers	2.87
Will never master the concepts if they do not	
grasp them right away	1.33
Want more feedback than grades or exam scores provide	2.60
Learn more when I keep questions and discussions to a minimum	1.53
Get credit for effort demonstrated on exams and assignments.	2.60
Are less prepared for college level work than they were five years ago	2.00
Can communicate what they learn through methods outside of formal tests or assessment	2.73
Do not have the ability to ask good questions	1.73

14. Please indicate your level of agreement on statements about rewards at your institution. (Circle one for each.)

Agree strongly - 4 Agree somewhat - 3 Disagree somewhat - 2 Disagree strongly - 1

	MEAN
The tenure/promotion system is flexible in terms of weight given to teaching, research,	2.53
and service	
Merit/salary increases are adequate here	2.53
Faculty evaluation for promotion considers	2.13
evidence of student performance in their	
classes (not just student teaching evaluations)	
Scholarship on teaching is considered in	2.73
promotion, tenure, and salary reviews	
The most highly rewarded faculty are those oriented primarily toward research	3.20
Faculty evaluation for annual salary and merit	2.00
increases incorporates evidence of student	
performance	
One can be promoted and tenured primarily on	1.53
the basis of teaching	
Faculty receive public recognition and rewards	2.07
for innovative or effective use of student	
assessment	
Teaching excellence is very difficult to	2.67
systematically evaluate for salary and	_,,
promotion	
Faculty scholarship on or innovative uses of	1.87
student assessment is considered in	1.07
promotion, tenure, or salary reviews	
Collaborative work is too difficult to evaluate	2.07
for the promotion and tenure of faculty	2.07
for the promotion and tenure of faculty	

15. In the last five years, how have each of these changed at your institution? (Circle one for each.)

 $Very \ much \ improved - 5 \ Somewhat \ improved - 4 \ About \ the \ same - 3 \ Somewhat \ worse - 2 \ Very \ much \ worse - 1$

	MEAN
The quality of undergraduate students who choose to pursue majors in your field	3.27
The ability of this institution to meet the educational needs of entering undergraduate students	3.60
The quality of learning that students in my program achieve	3.53
The quality of undergraduate education	3.60
The preparedness of undergraduate students	3.07
for courses in your department	
The effort undergraduate students devote to	2.73
their studies in your department	
The quality of education that a bachelor's	3.13
degree represents	
Undergraduate students' development of	3.13
necessary competencies in your field	
Knowledge about how to better prepare	3.47
undergraduates	

16. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your institution. (Circle one for each.) Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

	MEAN
Administrators work collaboratively with faculty	2.20
My institution is trying to increase its research	2.13
reputation at the expense of teaching	
Female faculty are treated fairly here	2.93
Grade inflation is prevalent	3.20
Administrators are collegial	2.80
Faculty who are members of racial or ethnic	3.07
minority groups are treated fairly here	
There has been pressure to increase faculty	2.60
workload here	
My institution is trying to increase its	3.80
national ranking	
Coordination of assessment activities among	2.33
faculty and administrators has improved	
The administration is often autocratic	3.00
There is a concerted institutional effort to	3.40
increase grant-funded research	
There are clear policies that support	2.53
collaborative work here	
My institution is striving for a national	3.53
reputation for high quality teaching	

17. At this institution, how would you evaluate the facilities, resources, or personnel supporting your work? (Circle one for each.) Excellent – 5 Good – 4 Fair – 3 Poor – 2 Not available – 1

	MEAN
Colleagues in the department	4.53
Resources for student assessment activity	3.29
Funds to develop teaching/learning initiatives	3.80
Release time for course development or	2.67
faculty development	
Funding opportunities for research	3.73
Department funds for teaching	3.13
Institutional funds for teaching	3.53
Faculty development workshops	3.07
Classrooms	4.20
Technology for teaching	5.00
Assistance with teaching skill development	3.53
Laboratories	4.31
Research equipment and instruments	4.36
Computer facilities	4.93
Library holdings	4.40
Secretarial support	3.87
Travel funds	3.20
Funds and administrator support for	3.33
collaborative work	
Support to implement changes in teaching	3.07

Section III - Assessment

18. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following views about assessment. (Circle one for each.)

Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

	MEAN
Mandated student assessment limits the	2.44
academic freedom of faculty	
The results of student evaluations of my teaching	2.19
influence my approach to assessing student	
work	
State or federally mandated assessment	1.62
requirements improve the quality of	
undergraduate education	
Student assessment reduces the quality of	2.06
education	2.06
I am completely free to implement my own	3.06
approach to assessment.	2.44
Student assessment limits the amount of time I	2.44
have to devote to other academic activities.	
Student assessment is more effective when	
determined by the faculty member rather	2.04
than by the institution	2.94
Student assessment has improved the quality of education at this institution	2.33
Students today are learning more due to an	1.87
institutional focus on the assessment	1.6/
of student learning	
From an educational standpoint, it is necessary	2 93
for us to monitor what students learn	2.93
The effectiveness of teaching is enhanced	2.94
when faculty regularly assess students	2.74
Student assessment techniques accurately	2.44
measure student learning	2.11
Regular assessment of students accurately	2.56
captures what they are learning in my	2.50
classroom	
I use more student assessment techniques than	2.38
I did five years ago	
Monitoring student assessment is a distraction	2.25
and competes with essential academic work	
Faculty have a professional obligation to	2.94
regularly assess what students are learning	
What I learn by assessing student learning has	2.75
immediate relevance to what takes place	
in the classroom	
Frequent communication with colleagues	2.88
improves my student assessment practices	
An effective teacher is one who regularly	2.75
assesses what students are learning	
Faculty are reluctant to engage in student	2.38
assessment for fear that student assessment	
results will be used in evaluations	

19. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about student assessment at this institution. (Circle one for each.) Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

Students have little say about assessment	MEAN 2.75
techniques used here.	2.75
Student grades reflect the standards of this institution	2.75
Most faculty do a good job of assessing what students are learning in the classroom	2.69
Grading practices are increasingly influenced by results from new forms of student	2.13
assessment	3.13
students are learning in the classroom	5.15
This institution does very little to use student assessment in shaping academic planning and policy-making	2.50
Faculty should spend more time assessing student learning	2.06
Student grades provide the best measure	2.56
of what students learn	2.13

Section IV - Department and discipline

20. Please indicate your agreement with each of the following statements about your department? (Circle one for each.) Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

My department Has standard criteria for student performance	MEAN 2.13
Coordinates its student assessment activity with campus administrators	1.87
Has an atmosphere conducive to faculty cooperation and interaction	3.06
Is recognized by faculty on other campuses for its approach to teaching, learning and	3.19
assessment	2.56
outcomes	2.62
approach to student learning Collects information about employer needs for	2.13
specific skills and knowledge among our graduates	
Is perceived as a campus leader on issues of student assessment	2.13
Has influence on assessment techniques I use	2.87
Allows student input on academic program issues	2.94
Encourages faculty to work with other campus units to improve student learning	2.50

21. In your opinion, how much have the following aspects of academic work in your discipline changed in the past 15 to 20 years? (Circle one for each.)

Greatly changed – 4 Somewhat changed – 3 Slightly changed – 2 Not at all changed – 1

	MEAN
Basic concepts	2.73
The nature of inquiry	2.73
Conceptions about teaching	2.73
Kinds of work performed by scholars	3.00
Uniformity of research methods	3.00
Conceptions of knowledge	2.40
Differentiation from other disciplines	2.67

22. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following views about scholarship in your discipline? (Circle one for each.)

Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

A single paradigm informs most current inquiry Today's complex research problems require the multiple perspectives that collaborative	MEAN 1.87 2.63
scholarship can provide	2.47
Relativism in recent scholarship sacrifices rigor Traditional scholarship as it was practiced in general 20 years ago is no longer relevant	2.47 2.13
Expanding and improving a central set of facts is the main focus of scholarship	2.25
In general, students now emerge with an inferior command of essential facts	2.19
Recent important advances in my field are the result of collaboration	2.56
Recent departures from traditional research are fundamentally important to the discipline	2.75
An increase in interdisciplinary scholarship would revitalize current work	2.50
Employer and professional association requirements influence what is taught	2.31
Scholarship operates more or less on the assumption that knowledge is absolute regardless of context	2.06
Integrating the methods, style, and approaches of colleagues is a major obstacle to collaboration	2.69

Section V - Teaching and learning

23. How frequently have you engaged in each of the following activities? (Circle one for each.)

Frequently -3 Occasionally -2 Never -1

Team taught a course. Stopped lectures to make sure students understood	MEAN 1.69 2.94
the material	2.06
Provided feedback to students immediately after each assessment	2.63
Drew on theories and scholarship from other disciplines to enhance your own work	2.31
Met with students outside of formally scheduled times	2.88
Participated in intensive writing or research projects with other faculty	2.25
Provided feedback more than three times each term to all students	2.81
Collaborated with colleagues from the same field of study	2.63
Spent a good amount of class time addressing student questions	2.69
Included reading on theories and scholarship from other fields in your teaching	2.13
Received high teaching evaluations from students	2.81
Utilized short class activities to assess whether students have grasped concepts	2.25
Consulted literature on teaching and learning to inform your teaching	1.94
Limited all student feedback to final course grades	1.31
Offered a course listed in more than one department	1.38
Received above average merit increases	2.67
Participated in interdisciplinary course development or projects	1.88

23. Please indicate your agreement about what students expect of you. (Circle one for each.)

Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

	MEAN
A challenging learning experience	3.25
Clearly articulated expectations for coursework	3.88
High grades	2.94
Opportunities to pursue subject matter further	2.50
Frequent summaries of key concepts	3.00
Extensive one-on-one interaction.	2.94
Extensive use of audio/visual aids	2.44
An entertaining lecture style	3.37
Outlines and other printed course aids	2.94
Opportunities to redo assignments to improve	2.44
grades	
Multiple out-of-classroom gatherings	1.75
Availability during office hours	3.69

25. How important are each of these activities at your institution? (Circle one for each.)

 $Essential-4\ \ Very\ important-3\ \ Somewhat\ important-2\ \ Not\ important-1$

	MEAN
Using student assessment data to improve teaching and learning	2.07
Using student peer groups to reinforce course learning	1.80
Coordinating the improvement of student writing skills across all disciplines	2.80
Encouraging faculty to do research on how students learn in their classes	1.60
Assisting cohorts of students in taking a series	1.64
of linked introductory courses/sections	1.04
Changing the way students learn mathematics	1.64
Encouraging more faculty involvement in	2.33
discussions about teaching improvement	
Changing the way students learn science	1.71
Using standard measures of student learning	1.27
in all departments	
Including multicultural issues/readings in the	
general education curriculum	2.80
Providing students with experiential learning	2.27
opportunities to supplement course content	
Encouraging faculty to use student performance	2.20
information to reflect on their own teaching	
Creating small communities where students'	2.27
academic and social environments are seamless	
Complementing student evaluations with other	1 73
faculty teaching performance information	1.75
Using student assessment data to meet external	2.07
agency requirements	

26. Regarding new teaching and learning practices, to what extent do you feel that each of the following is a concern of faculty in your department? (Circle one for each.)

Major concern – 3 Minor concern – 2 Not a concern – 1

Faculty think	MEAN
New practices seem too time-consuming	2.53
New practices seem ill-founded or ill-researched	2.13
They do not feel they can perform this practice well	2.13
There is pressure from peers to resist new practices	1.47
They feel the new practice is less effective than	2.27
traditional teaching techniques	
They feel that following teaching trends or fads	2.20
is dangerous	
They feel that such practices make them give up	1.93
too much classroom control	
New practices are too time-consuming for students	1.87
New practices are typically dictated by the needs	2.13
of administrators	

27. Please rate the growth undergraduate students demonstrate in your department from college entry to graduation. (Circle one for each.)

Greatly increase - 5 Increase somewhat - 4 Stay about the same - 3 Decrease somewhat - 2 Greatly decrease - 1

	MEAN
Critical thinking ability	4.29
Knowledge of other cultures	3.57
Leadership ability	3.79
Mathematical ability	4.08
Oral communication skills	3.93
Written communication skills	4.21
Ability to work cooperatively with others	3.93
to accomplish goals	
Understanding others' points of view	3.71
General self-confidence.	4.07
Competitiveness on the job market	4.64
General academic ability	4.07
Problem solving ability	4.14
Ability to interact in multicultural	3.36
environments	
Acceptance of people with different beliefs	3.36
Understanding their own strengths,	3.62
weaknesses and learning processes	

- 28. What is the average time that your students spend preparing for each session of a class? MEAN 1.29 hours
- 29. What is the average amount of time that your students spend in preparation the day before an exam in one of your classes? (Write N/A if not applicable.) MEAN 5.62 hours
- 30. In a typical week during the past term, how many hours did you spend pursuing the following activities? (Circle one for each.)

16 or more hours -5; 11 to 15 hours -4; 5 to 10 hours -3; 2 to 4 hours -2; 1 hour or less -1

	MEAN
Teaching (including class time, grading,	4.33
lab, preparing for class)	
Research (activities leading to a product)	3.00
Scholarship/professional growth (expanding your knowledge of the field)	2.87
Institutional service (committees,	2.73
administrative duties)	
External service (including professional	1.60
organizations and civic projects)	
Professional consulting for pay	1.20
Undergraduate education committees	1.53
(including thesis and examinations)	
Graduate education committees	1.73
(including thesis and examinations)	
Undergraduate student advising	1.80
Graduate student advising	1.47

31. How frequently do you do each of the following? (Circle one for each.)

Frequently-3 Occasionally-2 Not at all-1

	MEAN
Encourage students to collaborate on course work through study groups or internet discussions	2.25
Ask students directly whether they understand course material	2.88
Encourage students to act as "peer mentors" to others in review or discussion sections	2.00
Create regular assignments that have many different correct answers or approaches	2.00
Make an effort to mentor graduate students and junior faculty in their own teaching	1.88
Talk with colleagues regularly about ways in which we can improve our teaching	2.00
Have a network of colleagues with whom I discuss teaching issues	1.81
Depend on the same teaching routines year after year	2.19
Design classes to be highly interactive	2.31
Listen to students' concerns, and take them into account in my teaching	2.62
Work to get students to ask questions during class Expect students to guide the discussion and	2.88
activities for a majority of class time	1.62
Introduce new or experimental teaching strategies in class	1.94

32. In how many of your undergraduate courses do you do each of the following? (Circle one for each)

All - 4 Most - 3 Some - 2 None - 1

Grade on a curve Collect and evaluate portfolios of student work Use short in-class writing exercises (e.g. one	MEAN 2.00 1.73 1.75
minute papers) to quickly assess student understanding of course material	
Use a department-wide examination	1.27
Use a standardized test	1.25 1.44
Use computer-based practice exercises	1.44
Provide a course web page	2.44
Lecture extensively	3.13
Use small group work/group projects	2.31
Have another faculty member review my teaching for feedback	1.06
Use service learning experiences	1.31
Require multiple drafts of student written work for progressive feedback	1.88
Explicitly state to students your goals for student learning	3.44
Require student in-class presentations	2.31
Use student performance information to evaluate my own teaching	2.88
Try new teaching practices	2.44

33. How do you learn to use new teaching, learning or assessment techniques? (Circle one for each.)

Almost always – 4 Frequently – 3 Occasionally – 2 Never – 1

Disciplinary conferences 1.81 Faculty development workshops 2.00 Presentations by faculty in your department 1.75 Discussion in faculty meetings 2.00 A designated master teacher in your department 1.00 Publications in my discipline 2.06 General higher education publications 1.81 Your graduate students 1.56 Your undergraduate students 1.75 Conversations with faculty colleagues 2.25		MEAN
Presentations by faculty in your department 1.75 Discussion in faculty meetings 2.00 A designated master teacher in your department 1.00 Publications in my discipline 2.06 General higher education publications 1.81 Your graduate students 1.56 Your undergraduate students 1.75	Disciplinary conferences	1.81
Discussion in faculty meetings 2.00 A designated master teacher in your department 1.00 Publications in my discipline 2.06 General higher education publications 1.81 Your graduate students 1.56 Your undergraduate students 1.75	Faculty development workshops	2.00
A designated master teacher in your department 1.00 Publications in my discipline 2.06 General higher education publications 1.81 Your graduate students 1.56 Your undergraduate students 1.75	Presentations by faculty in your department	1.75
Publications in my discipline2.06General higher education publications1.81Your graduate students1.56Your undergraduate students1.75	Discussion in faculty meetings	2.00
General higher education publications1.81Your graduate students1.56Your undergraduate students1.75	A designated master teacher in your department	1.00
Your graduate students	Publications in my discipline	2.06
Your undergraduate students	General higher education publications	1.81
	Your graduate students	1.56
Conversations with faculty colleagues	Your undergraduate students	1.75
	Conversations with faculty colleagues	2.25

34. In the past three years, how many times have you performed each of these activities? (Circle one for each.)

More than once a year -4 Annually -3 Every two or three years -2 Never -1

	MEAN
Attended a faculty development workshop	2.25
presented by your institution's teaching	
and learning center	
Presented a faculty development workshop	1.25
Attended a faculty development workshop	1.88
sponsored by your department	
Attended a teaching workshop sponsored by	1.50
your disciplinary association	
Presented a teaching workshop sponsored by	1.31
your disciplinary association	
Participated in informal teaching development	2.63
activities with colleagues	
Discussed teaching with colleagues	3.50

Section VI - Demographics

35. Indicate your first position at this institution and your present academic rank. (Circle one for each column.)

I	First Pro Position R			First Position	Present n Rank
Professor	r7	7	Lecturer	3	3
	r6	6	Visiting	2	2

First Position Mean: 6.29 Present Rank Mean: 7.20

36. What is your tenure status? (Circle one.)

Currently hold tenure at this institution......4 Currently on tenure-track at this institution...3 Not on tenure-track at this institution......2 Tenure is not available at this institution.....1

MEAN 3.75

37.	Regarding your own preferences, do your interests lie	primarily in teaching or in research? (Circle one.)
	Primarily in research	
	MEAN 2.38	
38.	When were you first hired at this institution? (Circle	one.)
	1965 or before 8 1981 to 1985 4 1966 to 1970 7 1986 to 1990 3 1971 to 1975 6 1991 to 1995 2 1976 to 1980 5 1996 or after 1	MEAN 3.44
39.	How many years you have been employed as a profes MEAN 18.13	ssional in higher education?
40.	Do you currently hold positions at two or more institu	utions? (Circle one.)
	Yes 2 No 1	
	MEAN 1.06	
41.	Are you a US citizen or permanent resident? (Circle one.)	
	Yes 2 No 1	
	MEAN 1.87	
42.	Did you obtain your highest degree in the U.S.? (Circ	ele <u>one</u> .)
	Yes 2 No 1	
	MEAN 1.87	
43.	How do you identify your racial/ethnic background?	? (Circle all that apply.)
		Response Percentage
	African American or Black1.	0.00
	Asian or Asian American	0.00 6.3
	Native American4.	0.00
	White or Anglo	93.8 0.00
44.	What is your sex/gender? (Circle one.)	
	Male	
	MEAN 1.44	

45. What is your current marital status? (Circle one.)

Response Percentage
6.3
93.8
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0

46. How many children do you have? Mean 1.50

47. What is the highest degree you have received?

	Response Percentage
Master's	0.0
Doctorate	100.0
Medical	0.0

Copyright © 2000, The Regents of the University of Michigan.

SUMMARY

This report summarizes some general insights from the following frequency distribution profile of faculty responses to the "Faculty Survey on Teaching, Learning and Assessment" questionnaire distributed as part of our case study of student assessment at Wake Forest University. The survey was designed to obtain respondents' perceptions of the institution's patterns of undergraduate student assessment in departments focusing on the arts and sciences. The instrument was distributed to 61 faculty members in the departments of Chemistry, English, Mathematics and Psychology at the College of Arts and Sciences. A total of 31 usable responses were received for a return rate of 51 percent. The following comments are organized by sections of questionnaire but readers are encouraged to review the item-by-item results for the actual frequency distribution of each item.

I. Background

All of the faculty had full time appointments, and the majority of faculty had a continuous appointment (81.3 percent). Most faculty held an assistantship as a graduate student, and have revised their courses based on student assessment information. Faculty indicated that their teaching load consisted of courses in lower-division undergraduate courses, and upper-division undergraduate courses. Very few taught general education requirement courses and graduate student classes, and none of the respondents taught remedial and developmental classes. Faculty occasionally participate in evaluating capstone experiences such as exams, portfolios, theses or performances.

II. Institutional Perspective

Most faculty felt the various initiatives on campus generally emerge from a "bottom up" perspective originating from faculty; especially in the areas of assessment techniques and planning for improving student learning. Faculty were most satisfied with their job security, freedom to do outside consulting, department support for promotion and tenure, and quality of students that they teach. Faculty noted strong involvement in the academic planning for undergraduate education, as well as undergraduate curriculum development. The least involvement was noted in student recruitment policies and decisions.

Faculty agree strongly that their students are capable of learning the basic concepts. Most respondents found that the most highly rewarded faculty are those oriented primarily toward research. In the last five years, most changes at the institution were the ability of the institution to meet the educational needs of entering undergraduate students and the quality of undergraduate education. Faculty recognized that the institution is trying to increase its national ranking, and that there is a concerted institutional effort to increase grant-funded research. Faculty are satisfied with technology for teaching, and computer, colleagues in the department and library holdings in the University.

III. Assessment

Personal views on assessment were strongest around the notions that student assessment is more effective when determined by the faculty member rather than by the institution, the effectiveness of teaching is enhanced when faculty regularly assess students, and faculty have a professional obligation to regularly assess what students are learning. Many faculty believe that students have little to say about assessment techniques, and that most faculty do a good job of assessing what students are learning in the classroom. Many faculty agree somewhat that their department is recognized by faculty on other campuses for its approach to teaching, learning and assessment. However they also noted that their departments generally do not coordinate their student assessment activities with campus administration. In the last 15 to 20 years, faculty feel the greatest changes in aspects of academic work have occurred in the kinds of work performed by scholars, and the uniformity of research methods. On a discipline level, many respondents agreed somewhat that recent departures from traditional research are fundamentally important to the discipline.

IV. Teaching and Learning

The top activities faculty are frequently engaged in include: stopping lectures to make sure students understand the material, meeting with students outside of formally scheduled times, providing feedback more than three times each term to all students, and receiving high teaching evaluations from students. Faculty believe that students expect

availability during office hours and clearly articulated expectations for coursework. Faculty frequently engage in coordinating the improvement of student writing skills across all disciplines and including multicultural issues/readings in the general education curriculum. Faculty have some concern that new practices in teaching seem too time consuming, but feel little concern that there is pressure from peers to resist new practices.

Faculty feel their students have demonstrated the most growth in their competitiveness on the job market and critical thinking ability. Faculty believe students spend a mean of 1.29 hours preparing for each class session, and a mean of 5.62 hours in preparation the day before an exam. Faculty themselves spend 11 to 15 hours in a typical week teaching. Faculty frequently ask students directly whether they understand course material, and work to get students to ask questions during class. In undergraduate courses, faculty most of the time to all of the time explicitly state to students their goals for student learning. Faculty learn about new teaching, learning or assessment techniques through conversations with faculty colleagues. In the last three years, faculty annually discuss teaching with colleagues.

V. Demographics

Most faculty respondents started at Wake Forest University as Assistant Professors, and are currently between the Associate Professor and Professor rank. Interests lie in both teaching and research, but lean more towards research. Very few hold positions at two or more institutions. Most respondents are U. S. citizens and most obtained their highest degree in the U. S. Ninety-four percent of respondents identify their racial/ethnic background as White, and 6.3 percent of them Hispanic. More males than females responded and 93.8 percent of respondents are married with a mean of 1.50 children.