FACULTY SURVEY ON TEACHING, LEARNING AND ASSESSMENT

Profile and Summary of Responses

Iowa State University Case Study



The "Faculty Survey on Teaching, Learning and Assessment" (FSTLA) survey has been developed by the research program on Academic Programs and Students for the National Center for Postsecondary Improvement^{*} (NCPI). The primary purpose of the FSTLA is to examine how your institution supports teaching, learning, and assessment in the classroom. We are interested in your perception of how your institution approaches teaching, learning and assessment, how it provides support for it, the types of policies and practices used to promote it, and the uses and impacts of that information. We are interested in your perception of these topics whether or not you are directly involved with them.

Student assessment refers to various ways of evaluating students' learning. Instructors, departments, schools, states and employers assess students in many ways. Please refer to this definition of assessment when completing the survey.

This survey is part of an intensive case study that examines your institution's approach to and strategy for supporting teaching, learning and assessment. The case study report describing your institution's teaching, learning and assessment strategy will include a profile of responses to this survey. This information should be helpful both in better understanding and in enhancing support for teaching, learning and assessment at your institution. Any questions concerning the survey can be addressed to:

Eric L. Dey, Project Director Sylvia Hurtado, Project Director National Center for Postsecondary Improvement, Project on Institutional Support for Student Assessment University of Michigan School of Education 610 E. University, Room 2339 Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259 Phone: 734-647-1653 / Fax: 734-936-2741

*NCPI is funded by the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Educational Research and Improvement under grant number R309A600012 The University of Michigan is a non-discriminating employer.

© 2000, The Regents of the University of Michigan

Faculty Survey on Teaching, Learning and Assessment Research Program on Academic Programs: Faculty and Students National Center for Postsecondary Improvement

> Mean Scores April, 2001

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Section I - Background

1. In the space below, indicate:

Your college/school affiliation (e.g., College of Engineering, School of Business).

Mean: 2; All are in Art and Science or equivalent.

The department in which you hold your primary appointment (e.g., Sociology, Music Education).

2. What percentage of time is your current appointment at this institution? _____ Mean: 99.26 _____ % time

3. The length of my contract is: (Circle <u>one</u>.)

One term contract	1 (0.0%)
Nine to twelve months contract	2 (6.4%)
Multiyear contract	3 (12.8%)
Continuous appointment	4 (80.9%)
11	· · · · · ·

4. Have you had any of the following experiences? (Circle one for each.)

Yes	No				
Held a teaching assistantship as a graduate student?2	1	Mean: 1.94			
Held a research assistantship as a graduate student?2	1	Mean: 1.65			
Co-authored papers or participated in intensive					
projects with other students as a graduate student? . 2	1	Mean: 1.51			
Been a department chairperson?	1	Mean: 1.11			
Held a major faculty-wide office, such as a deanship?2	1	Mean: 1.11			
Served on a committee charged with implementing					
assessment of student learning?	1	Mean: 1.38			
Received at least one firm job offer from somewhere					
else in the past two years?	1	Mean: 1.17			
Supervised student teaching assistants?	1	Mean: 1.79			
Received an outstanding teaching award?	1	Mean: 1.45			
Supervised student research assistants?	1	Mean: 1.66			
Taken a sabbatical?2	1	Mean: 1.51			
Received a research award?	1	Mean: 1.49			
Been a staff member or fellow of a campus teaching					
and learning center?	1	Mean: 1.09			
Held a joint appointment across departments?2	1	Mean: 1.13			
Served on an institutional program review board?2	1	Mean: 1.28			
Revised my courses based on student assessment information	ation?	2 1	Mean: 1.87		

5. What is the approximate size of the <u>largest</u> class you taught last year? (Number of students)

Mean: 3.45 (where 1: less than 10; 2: 10-25; 3: 26-50; 4: 51-100; 5: 101 or more)

6. What is the approximate size of the <u>smallest</u> class you taught last year? (Number of students)

Mean: 1.75 (where 1: less than 10; 2: 10-25; 3: 26-50; 4: 51-100; 5: 101 or more)

7. What proportion of your teaching load is comprised of the following types of courses in a typical academic year? (Circle one for each.) All - 4 Most - 3 Some - 2 None - 1

Remedial and developmental classes1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.18
Courses that meet general education requirements1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.00
Lower-division undergraduate classes1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.02
Upper-division undergraduate classes1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.20
Graduate student classes1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.02

8. How frequently do you participate in the following activities?

(Circle one for each.) Frequently – 3 Occasionally – 2 Never – 1

Conduct research on teaching and learning1	2	3	Mean: 1.77
Evaluate the effectiveness of new teaching and learning practices for my department	2	3	Mean: 1.62
Help determine the performance standard for	2	5	Wiedii. 1.02
students graduating from my department	2	3	Mean: 1.74
Evaluate faculty in their use of new teaching and			
learning practices 1	2	3	Mean: 1.60
Assist faculty peers in their use of new teaching			
and learning practices1	2	3	Mean: 1.66
Evaluate students on capstone experiences such			
as exams, portfolios, theses, or performances 1	2	3	Mean: 2.17
Test students entering my department	2	3	Mean: 1.40
Make recommendations to administrative offices			
about new teaching and learning practices1	2	3	Mean: 1.57
Assess students for course placement purposes1	2	3	Mean: 1.53

Section II - Institutional perspective

9. How do you perceive your current campus approach on a scale ranging from "top down" (initiatives emerging from central campus administrators) to "bottom up" (initiatives emerging from faculty)? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.)

]	Bottom up				Top down					
Areas	1			-	5					
Assessment activity	1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.48				
New teaching/learning initiat	ives1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.81				
Assessment techniques	1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.00				
Plan for improving student le	earning1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.74				

10. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the following aspects of your job at this institution? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Very satisfied - 4 Somewhat satisfied - 3 Somewhat dissatisfied - 2 Very dissatisfied - 1

Your work load1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.91
Your job security1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.52
Opportunity for advancement1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.89
Department support for promotion and tenure1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.14
Quality of students you teach1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.49
Collegiality in your department1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.78
Relationships with administrators1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.54
Support for teaching and learning1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.41
Freedom to do outside consulting1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.40
Support for assessment activities1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.50
Your salary/benefits1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.69

11. What is the level of faculty involvement in your institution on the following? (Circle one for each.)

Very strong involvement – 5 Strong involvement – 4 Moderate involvement – 3 Little involvement – 2 No involvement – 1

Academic planning for undergraduate

2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.67
2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.24
2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.53
2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.69
2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.33
2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.18
2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.13
2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.78
2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.40
2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.93
2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.98
2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.29
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	2 3 4 2 3 4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

12. How centralized are each of the following activities at your institution? (Circle one for each.)

Institution -5 College or school -4 Department -3 Voluntarily by ad-hoc faculty groups -2 No coordination -1

Criteria for student grading1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.62
Criteria for assessment of student learning 1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.10
Development of new classroom assessment					
strategies1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.07
Goals for student learning1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.21
Curricular requirements 1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.90
Development of teaching techniques	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.29
Decisions regarding course content	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.05
Use of student assessment data1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.22
Determination of coursework requirements 1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.05
Development of final exams	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.49
Student evaluations of teaching	2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.36
Faculty peer evaluations of teaching1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.59
· · ·					

13. Please indicate your level of agreement on statements about students in your courses. (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Agree strongly-4 Agree somewhat - 3 Disagree somewhat - 2 Disagree strongly - 1

2	3	4	Mean: 3.27
2	3	4	Mean: 2.74
2	3	4	Mean: 2.60
2	3	4	Mean: 3.20
2	3	4	Mean: 2.69
2	3	4	Mean: 2.16
2	3	4	Mean: 2.41
2	3	4	Mean: 1.98
2	2	4	M
2	3	4	Mean: 2.93
r	2	1	Moon: 151
2	3	4	Wieall. 1.51
2	3	Δ	Mean: 2.86
2	5	Ŧ	Wiedii. 2.00
2	3	4	Mean: 1.53
-	5	•	Wieun: 1.55
2	3	4	Mean: 2.62
	-		
2	3	4	Mean: 2.67
2	3	4	Mean: 2.64
2	3	4	Mean: 2.20
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

14. Please indicate your level of agreement on statements about rewards at your institution. (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Agree strongly-4 Agree somewhat - 3 Disagree somewhat - 2 Disagree strongly - 1

The tenure/promotion system is flexible in				
terms of weight given to teaching, research,				
and service1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.02
Merit/salary increases are adequate here1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.93
Faculty evaluation for promotion considers evidence of student performance in their				
classes (not just student teaching evaluations) 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.78
Scholarship on teaching is considered in				
promotion, tenure, and salary reviews1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.61
The most highly rewarded faculty are those				
oriented primarily toward research1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.89
Faculty evaluation for annual salary and merit				
increases incorporates evidence of student				
performance1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.11
One can be promoted and tenured primarily on				
the basis of teaching1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.04
Faculty receive public recognition and rewards				
for innovative or effective use of student				
assessment1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.11
Teaching excellence is very difficult to				
systematically evaluate for salary and				
promotion1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.84
Faculty scholarship on or innovative uses of				
student assessment is considered in				
promotion, tenure, or salary reviews1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.20
Collaborative work is too difficult to evaluate				
for the promotion and tenure of faculty1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.28

15. In the last five years, how have each of these changed at your institution? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.)

Ve	ery mucl	h improved	– 5	Somewh	at improved	l – 4	About t	he same – 3	S	Somewl	hat wor	se – 2	2 1	Very muc	h worse –	- 1
----	----------	------------	-----	--------	-------------	-------	---------	-------------	---	--------	---------	--------	-----	----------	-----------	-----

The quality of undergraduate students who choose to pursue majors in your field 1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.67
The ability of this institution to meet the					
educational needs of entering		_		_	
undergraduate students 1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.91
The quality of learning that students in my					
program achieve1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.05
The quality of undergraduate education1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.88
The preparedness of undergraduate students					
for courses in your department	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.47
The effort undergraduate students devote to					
their studies in your department1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.37
The quality of education that a bachelor's					
degree represents 1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.60
Undergraduate students' development of					
necessary competencies in your field1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.70
Knowledge about how to better prepare					
undergraduates1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.16

16. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your institution. (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Agree strongly - 4 Agree somewhat- 3 Disagree somewhat- 2 Disagree strongly - 1

Administrators work collaboratively with faculty 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.96
My institution is trying to increase its research				
reputation at the expense of teaching1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.91
Female faculty are treated fairly here1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.00
Grade inflation is prevalent1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.11
Administrators are collegial1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.41
Faculty who are members of racial or ethnic				
minority groups are treated fairly here1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.07
There has been pressure to increase faculty				
workload here1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.22
My institution is trying to increase its				
national ranking1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.83
Coordination of assessment activities among				
faculty and administrators has improved1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.93
The administration is often autocratic1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.27
There is a concerted institutional effort to				
increase grant-funded research1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.78
There are clear policies that support				
collaborative work here1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.16
My institution is striving for a national				
reputation for high quality teaching1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.36

17. At this institution, how would you evaluate the facilities, resources, or personnel supporting your work? (Circle one for each.) Excellent - 5 Good - 4 Fair - 3 Poor - 2 Not available - 1

Colleagues in the department	1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.65
Resources for student assessment activity 1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.60
Funds to develop teaching/learning initiatives1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.91
Release time for course development or						
faculty development1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.48
Funding opportunities for research1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.33
Department funds for teaching1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.30
Institutional funds for teaching1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.55
Faculty development workshops1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.05
Classrooms1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.00
Technology for teaching1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.36
Assistance with teaching skill development1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.95
Laboratories	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.14
Research equipment and instruments1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.56
Computer facilities	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.91
Library holdings1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 3.43
Secretarial support	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.98
Travel funds	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.39
Funds and administrator support for						
collaborative work1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.57
Support to implement changes in teaching1	2	3	4	5		Mean: 2.60

Section III - Assessment

18.	. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following views about assessment. (Circle one for	or each.)
1	Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1	

Mandated student assessment limits the

academic freedom of faculty 1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.52
The results of student evaluations of my teaching				
influence my approach to assessing student				
work	2	3	4	Mean: 2.84
State or federally mandated assessment				
requirements improve the quality of				
undergraduate education	2	3	Δ	Mean: 1.95
Student accogramment reduces the quality of	2	5	7	Medil. 1.95
Student assessment reduces the quality of	2	2	4	M 1.05
education	2	3	4	Mean: 1.95
I am completely free to implement my own				
approach to assessment	2	3	4	Mean: 2.82
Student assessment limits the amount of time I				
have to devote to other academic activities1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.66
Student assessment is more effective when				
determined by the faculty member rather				
than by the institution 1	2	3	4	Mean: 3 23
Student assessment has improved the quality	-	2	•	11100111 0120
of education at this institution	2	3	1	Mean: 2.17
Studente te devi ere legring mane due te en	2	5	4	Wicaii. 2.17
Students today are learning more due to an				
institutional focus on the assessment	~	2		1.0
of student learning	2	3	4	Mean: 1.75
From an educational standpoint, it is necessary				
for us to monitor what students learn	2	3	4	Mean: 3.32
The effectiveness of teaching is enhanced				
when faculty regularly assess students1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.16
Student assessment techniques accurately				
measure student learning 1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.36
Regular assessment of students accurately	-	2	•	1100011. 2100
captures what they are learning in my				
classroom	2	2	1	Maan: 2.72
	2	3	4	Mean. 2.72
I use more student assessment techniques than	~	2		11 2 (0
I did five years ago I	2	3	4	Mean: 2.60
Monitoring student assessment is a distraction				
and competes with essential academic work1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.28
Faculty have a professional obligation to				
regularly assess what students are learning1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.30
What I learn by assessing student learning has				
immediate relevance to what takes place				
in the classroom	2	3	4	Mean: 2.98
Frequent communication with colleagues	-	5	•	1010uii: 2.90
improves my student assessment practices	2	2	1	Maan: 260
An effective teacher is and who regularly	2	5	4	Mcan. 2.00
An effective teacher is one who regularly	~	2	4	M 2.00
assesses what students are learning	2	3	4	Mean: 3.00
Faculty are reluctant to engage in student				
assessment for fear that student assessment	ŗ			
results will be used in evaluations1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.48

19. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about student assessment at this institution. (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

Students have little say about assessment				
techniques used here1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.18
Student grades reflect the standards of this				
institution1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.79
Most faculty do a good job of assessing what				
students are learning in the classroom1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.67
Grading practices are increasingly influenced by				
results from new forms of student				
assessment 1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.16
Most faculty regularly assess what their				
students are learning in the classroom1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.83
This institution does very little to use student				
assessment in shaping academic planning				
and policy-making1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.76
Faculty should spend more time assessing				
student learning1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.24
Student grades provide the best measure				
of what students learn1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.16
Assessment instruments provide a better gauge				
of student learning than course grades1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.26

Section IV - Department and discipline

20. Please indicate your agreement with each of the following statements about your department? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

My department . . .

Has standard criteria for student performance1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.98
Coordinates its student assessment activity				
with campus administrators1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.95
Has an atmosphere conducive to faculty				
cooperation and interaction1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.77
Is recognized by faculty on other campuses for				
its approach to teaching, learning and	2	2	4	M
	2	3	4	Mean: 2.33
Has an effective plan for monitoring student	2	3	1	Mean: 1.05
Demonstrates a great deal of consensus on its	2	5	т	Wiedii. 1.95
approach to student learning	2	3	4	Mean: 2.09
Collects information about employer needs for	2	5	т	Wiedii: 2.09
specific skills and knowledge among our				
graduates 1	2	3	4	Mean [.] 2.14
Is perceived as a campus leader on issues of	-	5	•	1010uii: 2.1 1
student assessment	2	3	4	Mean: 1.89
Has influence on assessment techniques I use1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.28
Allows student input on academic program				
issues1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.21
Encourages faculty to work with other campus				
units to improve student learning1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.52

21. In your opinion, how much have the following aspects of academic work <u>in your discipline</u> changed in the past 15 to 20 years? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.)

Greatly changed -4 Somewhat changed -3 Slightly changed -2 Not at all changed -1

Basic concepts	2	3	4	Mean: 2.91
The nature of inquiry1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.70
Conceptions about teaching1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.95
Kinds of work performed by scholars1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.18
Uniformity of research methods1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.12
Conceptions of knowledge 1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.95
Differentiation from other disciplines	2	3	4	Mean: 3.05
Kinds of work performed by scholars 1 Uniformity of research methods 1 Conceptions of knowledge 1 Differentiation from other disciplines 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4	Mean: 3.18 Mean: 3.12 Mean: 2.95 Mean: 3.05

22. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following views about scholarship in your discipline? (Circle one for each.)

Agree strongly - 4 Agree somewhat- 3 Disagree somewhat- 2 Disagree strongly - 1

A single paradigm informs most current inquiry .1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.76
Today's complex research problems require the				
multiple perspectives that collaborative				
scholarship can provide1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.98
Relativism in recent scholarship sacrifices rigor 1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.49
Traditional scholarship as it was practiced in				
general 20 years ago is no longer relevant1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.86
Expanding and improving a central set of				
facts is the main focus of scholarship1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.28
In general, students now emerge with an				
inferior command of essential facts1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.82
Recent important advances in my field are the				
result of collaboration1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.53
Recent departures from traditional research are				
fundamentally important to the discipline1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.91
An increase in interdisciplinary scholarship				
would revitalize current work1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.91
Employer and professional association				
requirements influence what is taught1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.56
Scholarship operates more or less on the				
assumption that knowledge is absolute				
regardless of context1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.00
Integrating the methods, style, and approaches				
of colleagues is a major obstacle to				
collaboration1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.44

Section V - Teaching and learning

23. How frequently have you engaged in each of the following activities? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Frequently - 3 Occasionally - 2 Never - 1

Team taught a course	2	3	Mean: 1.78
Stopped lectures to make sure students understood			
the material1	2	3	Mean: 2.80
Collaborated with colleagues from outside your			
discipline on teaching issues1	2	3	Mean: 1.98
Provided feedback to students immediately after			
each assessment1	2	3	Mean: 2.59
Drew on theories and scholarship from other			
disciplines to enhance your own work1	2	3	Mean: 2.30
Met with students outside of formally scheduled			
times1	2	3	Mean: 2.83
Participated in intensive writing or research			
projects with other faculty	2	3	Mean: 2.37
Provided feedback more than three times each term			
to all students1	2	3	Mean: 2.84
Collaborated with colleagues from the same field of			
study1	2	3	Mean: 2.46
Spent a good amount of class time addressing			
student questions	2	3	Mean: 2.63
Included reading on theories and scholarship from			
other fields in your teaching	2	3	Mean: 2.00
Received high teaching evaluations from students 1	2	3	Mean: 2.85
Utilized short class activities to assess whether			
students have grasped concepts	2	3	Mean: 2.43
Consulted literature on teaching and learning to			
inform your teaching	2	3	Mean: 2.15
Limited all student feedback to final course grades 1	2	3	Mean: 1.09
Offered a course listed in more than one department. 1	2	3	Mean: 1.72
Received above average merit increases	2	3	Mean: 236
Participated in interdisciplinary course	-	-	
development or projects	2	3	Mean: 1.91

23. Please indicate your agreement about what students expect of you. (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) Agree strongly – 4 Agree somewhat– 3 Disagree somewhat– 2 Disagree strongly – 1

A challenging learning experience1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.83
Clearly articulated expectations for coursework 1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.70
High grades1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.22
Opportunities to pursue subject matter further1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.39
Frequent summaries of key concepts1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.09
Extensive one-on-one interaction	2	3	4	Mean: 2.52
Extensive use of audio/visual aids1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.22
An entertaining lecture style	2	3	4	Mean: 3.30
Outlines and other printed course aids	2	3	4	Mean: 3.24
Opportunities to redo assignments to improve				
grades1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.70
Multiple out-of-classroom gatherings1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.77
Availability during office hours1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.74

25. How important are each of these activities at your institution? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.)

Essential -4 Very important -3 Somewhat important -2 Not important -1Using student assessment data to improve

togehing and logrning	r	2	4	Maan: 2.00
Line student near means to minfered course	2	3	4	Mean. 2.00
Using student peer groups to reinforce course	r	2	4	Moon: 2.12
Conditionation the improvement of stations	2	3	4	Mean. 2.12
Coordinating the improvement of student	2	2	4	M 2 40
writing skills across all disciplines	2	3	4	Mean: 2.49
Encouraging faculty to do research on how	~	2		1 01
students learn in their classes	2	3	4	Mean: 1.81
Assisting cohorts of students in taking a series				
of linked introductory courses/sections1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.38
Changing the way students learn mathematics1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.97
Encouraging more faculty involvement in				
discussions about teaching improvement1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.52
Changing the way students learn science1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.08
Using standard measures of student learning				
in all departments1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.39
Including multicultural issues/readings in the				
general education curriculum	2	3	4	Mean: 2.52
Providing students with experiential learning				
opportunities to supplement course content	2	3	4	Mean: 2.19
Encouraging faculty to use student performance	-	2	•	.
information to reflect on their own teaching 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.98
Creating small communities where students'	-	5		1010ull: 1.90
academic and social environments are				
seemless 1	2	3	1	Mean: 2.45
Complementing student evolutions with other	4	5	4	Mcall. 2.45
fourty toophing norformance information	r	2	4	Maan: 2.22
Line at data and and a second data to most a tampi	2	3	4	Mean. 2.55
Using student assessment data to meet external	h	2	4	Maam. 2.09
agency requirements	2	3	4	wiean: 2.08

26. Regarding new teaching and learning practices, to what extent do you feel that each of the following is a concern of faculty in your department? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.)

Major concern -3 Minor concern -2 Not a concern -1

Faculty think . . .

New practices seem too time-consuming1	2	3	Mean: 2.42
New practices seem ill-founded or ill-researched1	2	3	Mean: 2.21
They do not feel they can perform this practice well. 1	2	3	Mean: 2.12
There is pressure from peers to resist new practices 1	2	3	Mean: 1.34
They feel the new practice is less effective than			
traditional teaching techniques1	2	3	Mean: 1.93
They feel that following teaching trends or fads			
is dangerous1	2	3	Mean: 1.86
They feel that such practices make them give up			
too much classroom control1	2	3	Mean: 1.64
New practices are too time-consuming for students1	2	3	Mean: 1.93
New practices are typically dictated by the needs			
of administrators1	2	3	Mean: 2.02

27. Please rate the growth undergraduate students demonstrate in your department from college entry to graduation. (Circle <u>one</u> for each.)

Greatly increase - 5 Increase somewhat - 4 Stay about the same - 3 Decrease somewhat - 2 Greatly decrease - 1

Wiedling using usi	4.12
Knowledge of other cultures1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.73
Leadership ability 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.41
Mathematical ability 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.59
Oral communication skills 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.83
Written communication skills 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	4.17
Ability to work cooperatively with others	
to accomplish goals 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.88
Understanding others' points of view1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.90
General self-confidence1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.93
Competitiveness on the job market 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	4.13
General academic ability 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.78
Problem solving ability 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	4.07
Ability to interact in multicultural	
environments 1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.73
Acceptance of people with different beliefs1 2 3 4 5 Mean:	3.54
Understanding their own strengths,	
weaknesses and learning processes	3.87

- 28. What is the average time that your students spend preparing for each session of a class? ____ Mean: 1.73_____ hours
- 29. What is the average amount of time that your students spend in preparation the day before an exam in one of your classes? (Write <u>N/A</u> if not applicable.) <u>Mean: 3.96</u> hours
- 30. In a typical week during the past term, how many hours did you spend pursuing the following activities? (Circle one for each.)
 16 or more hours 5: 11 to 15 hours 4: 5 to 10 hours 3: 2 to 4 hours 2: 1 hour or less 1

	10 11	oun		,	2 to 1 hours	2,
Teaching (including class time, grading,						
lab, preparing for class)1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 4.12	
Research (activities leading to a product)1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 3.27	
Scholarship/professional growth (expanding						
your knowledge of the field)1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.57	
Institutional service (committees,						
administrative duties)1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 2.82	
External service (including professional						
organizations and civic projects)1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.55	
Professional consulting for pay1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.20	
Undergraduate education committees						
(including thesis and examinations)1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.32	
Graduate education committees						
(including thesis and examinations)1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.79	
Undergraduate student advising1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.33	
Graduate student advising1	2	3	4	5	Mean: 1.86	

31. How frequently do you do each of the following? (Circle one for each.)

Frequently- 3 Occasionally - 2 Not at all-1

Encourage students to collaborate on course work			
through study groups or internet discussions1	2	3	Mean: 2.32
Ask students directly whether they understand course			
material1	2	3	Mean: 2.66
Encourage students to act as "peer mentors" to			
others in review or discussion sections1	2	3	Mean: 2.05
Create regular assignments that have many			
different correct answers or approaches1	2	3	Mean: 2.59
Make an effort to mentor graduate students			
and junior faculty in their own teaching	2	3	Mean: 2.25
Talk with colleagues regularly about ways	_		
in which we can improve our teaching	2	3	Mean: 2.14
Have a network of colleagues with whom I			
discuss teaching issues1	2	3	Mean: 2.05
Depend on the same teaching routines year after			
year1	2	3	Mean: 1.86
Design classes to be highly interactive	2	3	Mean: 2.52
Listen to students' concerns, and take them into			
account in my teaching1	2	3	Mean: 2.73
Work to get students to ask questions during class 1	2	3	Mean: 2.84
Expect students to guide the discussion and			
activities for a majority of class time1	2	3	Mean: 1.93
Introduce new or experimental teaching strategies			
in class 1	2	3	Mean: 2.23

32. In how many of your undergraduate courses do you do each of the following? (Circle one for each) All - 4 Most - 3 Some - 2 None - 1

Grade on a curve	2	3	4	Mean: 1.88
Collect and evaluate portfolios of student work1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.88
Use short in-class writing exercises (e.g. one minute papers) to quickly assess student				
understanding of course material1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.39
Use a department-wide examination1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.33
Use a standardized test1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.12
Use an electronic assessment method1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.23
Use computer-based practice exercises1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.60
Provide a course web page1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.29
Lecture extensively	2	3	4	Mean: 2.38
Use small group work/group projects1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.55
Have another faculty member review my				
teaching for feedback1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.95
Use service learning experiences1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.30
Require multiple drafts of student written work				
for progressive feedback1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.23
Explicitly state to students your goals for				
student learning1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.21
Require student in-class presentations1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.74
Use student performance information to				
evaluate my own teaching1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.07
Try new teaching practices1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.93

33. How do you learn to use new teaching, learning or assessment techniques? (Circle one for each.)

Almost always - 4 Frequently - 3 Occasionally - 2 Never - 1

Disciplinary conferences 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.91
Faculty development workshops 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.91
Presentations by faculty in your department 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.95
Discussion in faculty meetings 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.91
A designated master teacher in your department. 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.26
Publications in my discipline1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.16
General higher education publications	2	3	4	Mean: 1.66
Your graduate students 1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.66
Your undergraduate students1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.50
Conversations with faculty colleagues 1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.57

34. In the past *three* years, how many times have you performed each of these activities? (Circle <u>one</u> for each.) More than once a year - 4 Annually - 3 Every two or three years - 2 Never - 1

Attended a faculty development workshop presented by your institution's teaching

p= • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
and learning center1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.37
Presented a faculty development workshop1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.70
Attended a faculty development workshop				
sponsored by your department1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.18
Attended a teaching workshop sponsored by				
your disciplinary association1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.89
Presented a teaching workshop sponsored by				
your disciplinary association1	2	3	4	Mean: 1.50
Participated in informal teaching development				
activities with colleagues1	2	3	4	Mean: 2.50
Discussed teaching with colleagues1	2	3	4	Mean: 3.39

Section VI - Demographics

35. Indicate your first position at this institution and your present academic rank. (Circle one for each column.)

First Pre Position Ra	sent First Present Ink Position Rank
Professor 8 8	Instructor 4 4
Associate Professor77	Lecturer 3 3
Assistant Professor 6	Visiting 2 2
Research Scientist 5	Other 1 1

Mean: 6 Mean: 7.23 Mean: 3.57 Mean: 0

36. What is your tenure status? (Circle <u>one</u>.)

Currently hold tenure at this institution.....4 (80.9%) Currently on tenure-track at this institution...3 (19.1%) Not on tenure-track at this institution......2 (0.0%) Tenure is not available at this institution.....1 (0.0%)

37. Regarding your own preferences, do your interests lie primarily in teaching or in research? (Circle one.)

Primarily in research	.1 (19.6%)
In both, but leaning toward research	2 (41.3%)
In both, but leaning toward teaching	3 (30.4%)
Primarily in teaching	.4 (8.7%)

38. When were you first hired at this institution? (Circle one.)

1965 or before8	1981 to 19854
1966 to 19707	1986 to 19903
1971 to 19756	1991 to 19952
1976 to 19805	1996 or after 1

Mean: 4.15

- **39.** How many years you have been employed as a professional in higher education? _____Mean: 21.68____years
- 40. Do you currently hold positions at two or more institutions? (Circle one.)

Yes..... 2 No... 1

Mean: 1.02

41. Are you a US citizen or permanent resident?

(Circle <u>one</u>.)

Yes..... 2 No... 1 Mean: 2.00

42. Did you obtain your highest degree in the U.S.? (Circle one.)

Yes..... 2 No... 1 Mean: 1.94

43. How do you identify your racial/ethnic background? (Circle all that apply.)

African American or Black	1 Percentage: 0.0%
Asian or Asian American	
Hispanic or Latino	
Native American	
White or Anglo	
Other	6 Percentage: 2.1%

44. What is your sex/gender? (Circle one.)

45. What is your current marital status? (Circle <u>one</u>.)

Single, never married (2.1%) Married (83.0%) Living with someone in a marriage-like relationship (4.3%) Separated (0.0%) Divorced (10.6%) Widowed (0.0%)

46. How many children do you have? __Mean: 1.79____

47. What is the highest degree you have received? Vocational Certificate....2.1%

Thank you for taking the time to share your experiences and perspectives with us. Please review the questionnaire to be sure you have not skipped any questions you can answer. We look forward to receiving your responses via the enclosed envelope.

Copyright © 2000, The Regents of the University of Michigan.

SUMMARY

This report summarizes some general insights from the following frequency distribution profile of faculty responses to the "Faculty Survey on Teaching, Learning and Assessment" questionnaire distributed as part of our case study of student assessment at Iowa State University. The survey was designed to obtain respondent perceptions of the institution's patterns of undergraduate student assessment in four departments: English, Chemistry, Mathematics, and Psychology.

A total of 163 surveys were distributed, and 47 (29%) of usable responses were received. Although the percent return is small, a comparison of respondents by rank and by faculty role suggests it is representative of the original sample.

The following comments are organized by sections of the questionnaire but readers are encouraged to review the item by item results for the actual mean score or frequency distribution on each item.

I. Background

Responses by faculty indicated their contracts are largely continuous appointments (80.9%) with the sample showing a mixture of English (53.2%), Mathematics (21.3%), Chemistry (10.6%), and 14.9% in Psychology, respectively. These faculty indicate highest responses in terms of responses in the following areas: held a teaching assistantship as a graduate student, revised courses based on student input, supervised student teaching assistants, and evaluated students on capstone experiences such as exams, portfolios, theses or performances.

II. Institutional Perspective

Faculty responses show moderate levels of satisfaction and dissatisfaction across various job-related variables. Most favorably rated items are levels of job security and freedom to do outside consulting; lowest rated items include support for teaching and learning and quality of students they teach. Faculty felt most strongly involved in the academic planning process for undergraduates and the development of curriculum. Conversely, they felt least involved (mean of 2.53 on a 5 point scale) in institutional resource allocation.

Faculty sensed the university values research more than teaching, as is indicated by the high means in statements like "The most highly rewarded faculty are those oriented primarily toward research" and "There is a concerted institutional effort to increase grant-funded research", both are the highest means in the section. Conversely, "department funds for teaching", "faculty evaluation for promotion considers evidence of student performance in their class" both scored the lowest means in their individual question. Faculty also reported the good computer facilities (3.91 on a 5 point scale) and increase of knowledge about how to better prepare undergraduates in the university (3.16 on the same scale).

III. Assessment

Faculty generally believe that assessment is necessary from an educational standpoint. They also agree with the idea that assessment would be more effective if determined by the faculty member as opposed to the institution. Likewise, faculty tend to disagree most with the statement "students today are learning more due to an institutional focus on the assessment of student learning." Responses also indicate that faculty agree that grades are not the best method for measuring student learning, but responses are mixed to the statement "assessment instruments provide a better gauge of student learning than course grades," indicating some ambiguity in faculty

consensus regarding best assessment practices. Faculty agree that students have little say about assessment techniques being used.

IV. Department and Discipline

Responses indicate a moderate level of agreement about change within specific disciplines, with mean scores above three (Agree Somewhat) to statements regarding the kind of work performed by scholars, uniformity of research methods within the field, and differentiation from other disciplines. Faculty also tend to agree strongly with the importance of collaborative teaching and interdisciplinary scholarship.

V. Teaching and Learning

Congruent with the student profile, faculty believe that students want clearly articulated expectations for coursework and availability of their professors during office hours. Responses to the importance of specific teaching and learning goals within the institutional environment yielded interesting results; none of the mean responses is above three (Very Important). The highest mean is 2.52. Scoring lowest in this section are statements regarding the use of standard measures of student learning in all departments and encouraging faculty to do research on student learning.

Faculty spend their biggest amount of time teaching. They feel their students increase substantially in critical thinking and problem solving abilities. Faculty work to get students to ask questions during the class, they state to students clearly their goals for student learning, and they use student performance information to evaluate their teaching. They normally discuss teaching with colleagues. However, faculty seem not to be interested in learning to use new teaching, learning, or assessment techniques, and if they do, they do that by conversation with faculty colleagues.

VI. Demographics

Most respondents to this survey are Caucasian Americans (91%), and have a doctoral degree (93.6%); 83% of them are married, and 64% of them are male. These faculty have been employed as a professional in higher education for an average of 21.68 years, and mean scores indicate that on average respondents are associate or full professors whose interests are primarily in research or at least leans toward research.