

MS&E 111X/MS&E 211X Suggested Course Project I: Graph-Realization/Sensor-Network-Localization

October 24, 2021

1 Introduction

Sensor Network Localization (SNL), also closely related to Data Dimensionality Reduction, Phase Retrieval, Molecular Confirmation, Graph Realization, is a major topic in Data Science and Machine Learning. The SNL problem is: Given possible anchors $\mathbf{a}_k \in R^d$, distance information d_{ij} , $(i, j) \in N_x$, and \hat{d}_{kj} , $(k, j) \in N_a$, find $\mathbf{x}_i \in R^d$ for all i such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 &= d_{ij}^2, \quad \forall (i, j) \in N_x, \quad i < j, \\ \|\mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 &= \hat{d}_{kj}^2, \quad \forall (k, j) \in N_a, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $(i, j) \in N_x$ ($(k, j) \in N_a$) connects points \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j (\mathbf{a}_k and \mathbf{x}_j) with an edge whose Euclidean length is d_{ij} (\hat{d}_{kj}). N_x and N_a denote the pairs of points whose distances are known.

We established in class an SOCP relaxation for solving (1): Find vectors \mathbf{x}_i to solve

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}_i} \quad & \sum_i \mathbf{0}^T \mathbf{x}_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 \leq d_{ij}^2, \quad \forall (i, j) \in N_x, \quad i < j, \\ & \|\mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 \leq \hat{d}_{kj}^2, \quad \forall (k, j) \in N_a. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We also established in class an SDP relaxation for solving (1): Find a symmetric matrix $Z \in S^{d+n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_Z \quad & \mathbf{0} \bullet Z \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Z_{1:d,1:d} = I, \\ & (\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j)(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j)^T \bullet Z = d_{ij}^2, \quad \forall i, j \in N_x, \quad i < j, \\ & (\mathbf{a}_k; -\mathbf{e}_j)(\mathbf{a}_k; -\mathbf{e}_j)^T \bullet Z = \hat{d}_{kj}^2, \quad \forall k, j \in N_a, \\ & Z \succeq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Note that $Z_{1:d,1:d} = I \in S^d$ can be realized through $d(d+1)/2$ linear equations. For example, if $d = 2$, we have $Z_{11} = 1$, $Z_{22} = 1$, and $Z_{12} = 0$.

There is a simple nonlinear least squares approach to solve (1):

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_i} \sum_{(i,j) \in N_x} (\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 - d_{ij}^2)^2 + \sum_{(k,j) \in N_a} (\|\mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 - d_{kj}^2)^2 \quad (4)$$

which is an unconstrained nonlinear minimization problem.

Question 1: Run some randomly generated problems in 2D with 3 or more anchors, respectively, and ten sensors to compare the three approaches. You may set up a threshold radius such that the distance between two points is known when the distance is below the threshold.

2 SNL with Noisy Data

In practical problems, there is often noise in the distance information. To deal with possible noise, the SDP relaxation approach (3) can be modified to minimize the L_1 norm of the errors:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{Z, \delta', \delta'', \hat{\delta}', \hat{\delta}''} & \sum_{(i,j) \in N_x} (\delta'_{ij} + \delta''_{ij}) + \sum_{(k,j) \in N_a} (\hat{\delta}'_{kj} + \hat{\delta}''_{kj}) \\ \text{s.t.} & Z_{1:d,1:d} = I, \\ & (\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j)(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j)^T \bullet Z + \delta'_{ij} - \delta''_{ij} = d_{ij}^2, \quad \forall i, j \in N_x, \quad i < j, \\ & (\mathbf{a}_k; -\mathbf{e}_j)(\mathbf{a}_k; -\mathbf{e}_j)^T \bullet Z + \hat{\delta}'_{kj} - \hat{\delta}''_{kj} = \hat{d}_{kj}^2, \quad \forall k, j \in N_a, \\ & Z \succeq \mathbf{0} \\ & \delta', \delta'', \hat{\delta}', \hat{\delta}'' \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The SDP solution from the relaxation

$$\bar{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} I & \bar{X} \\ \bar{X}^T & \bar{Y} \end{pmatrix}$$

often may not be rank d so that $\bar{X} \in R^{d \times n}$ cannot be the best possible localization of the n sensors.

Question 2: Generate some random problems with slightly noisy sensor data. Use the SDP solution $\bar{X} = [\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n]$ of (5) as the initial solution for solving model (4) by the Steepest Descent Method for a number steps. Are you able to estimate the position of the sensors well? Compare this to using Steepest Descent on (4) with random initialization.

3 Steepest Descent and Projection Method

Unfortunately, the current available SDP solvers are still too time consuming for solving large-scale SDP problems. In this part, you are asked to implement one of the first-order SDP methods described in class to solve the SDP relaxation problem for SNL.

The SNL problem can be casted as

$$\min f(X) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{A}X - \mathbf{b}\|^2 \text{ s.t. } X \succeq \mathbf{0},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \bullet X \\ \dots \\ A_m \bullet X \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}^T \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i A_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla f(X) = \mathcal{A}^T (\mathcal{A}X - \mathbf{b}).$$

The SDM projection method described in class is to compute

$$\hat{X}^{k+1} = X^k - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(X^k),$$

then project \hat{X}^{k+1} back to the cone. One way for the projection is to use the eigendecomposition $\hat{X}^{k+1} = V\Lambda V^T$, where V are the eigenvectors and Λ the eigenvalues, and let

$$X^{k+1} = \text{Proj}_K(\hat{X}^{k+1}) = V \max\{\mathbf{0}, \Lambda\} V^T.$$

In Matlab, you can apply eig function to find the corresponding matrices Λ and V . The drawback is that the eigendecomposition may be costly in each iteration.

Question 3: Try just computing the few largest eigenpairs, say six largest λ_i with corresponding eigenvectors \mathbf{v}_i and let:

$$X^{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^6 \max\{0, \lambda_i\} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T.$$

Typically, a few extreme eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix can be computed more efficiently. Here, we assume that the problem has only one anchor at the origin. One can find the true position later using two more anchor information.

4 ADMM Method for Sensor Network Localization

Another speed-up may be using ADMM approach. One can reformulate the nonlinear least squares model (4) as

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{(i,j) \in N_x} [(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^T (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j) - d_{ij}^2]^2 + \sum_{(k,j) \in N_a} [(\mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{x}_j)^T (\mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{y}_j) - d_{kj}^2]^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall j. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

For fixed \mathbf{y} 's, the objective function is a linear square function of \mathbf{x} 's; and for fixed \mathbf{x} 's, the objective function is a linear square function of \mathbf{y} 's.

Question 4(Optional):

- Develop an ADMM method to minimize the objective function by treating \mathbf{x} s and \mathbf{y} s as two blocks of variables so that each block optimization problem within any ADMM iteration is a convex quadratic minimization problem.

References

- [1] P. Biswas and Y. Ye, “Semidefinite programming for ad hoc wireless sensor network localization,” in *Proceedings of the third international symposium on Information processing in sensor networks*, ACM Press, 2004, pp. 46–54.
- [2] Pratik Biswas, T-C Liang, K-C Toh, Y. Ye, T-C Wang, “Semidefinite programming approaches for sensor network localization with noisy distance measurements,” *IEEE transactions on automation science and engineering*, 3(4), 2006, pp. 360-371.
- [3] L. Doherty, L. E. Ghaoui, and K. S. J. Pister, “Convex position estimation in wireless sensor networks.” in *Proceedings of IEEE Infocom*, Anchorage, Alaska, April 2001, pp. 1655 –1663.
- [4] A. So. A Semidefinite Programming Approach to the graph realization problem: Theory, Applications and Extensions *Phd Thesis, Stanford University, 2007.*