ENGR110/210 Course Comments

Winter Quarter 2013

la	m: □ - a student in the class □ - not a student in the class □ - undergrad □ - grad □ - Stanford-affiliated □ - Community □ - Other: □ - ME □ - PD □ - CS □ - EE □ - STS □ - Other:								
	General Course Comments								
1.	Why did you decide to take the course or attend the lectures?								
2.	How well did the course or the lectures meet your expectations?								
3.	(Students only) Why did you decide to work on or not work on either a team or individual project?								
4.	Please comment on the number of lectures and choice of lecture topics.								
5.	What aspects of the course did you particularly like?								
6.	How do you think the course could be improved?								
7.	What other topic areas would you have liked presented?								
	Please rate the value of the pre-lecture items. (Who is Disabled?, Failure, Save the World, Famous cople with Disabilities, Hurry Cane, 6-Dot Braille Labeller, and Old Assistive Technology)								
	Students only) Please comment on the policy of reviewing online lecture material to make up for missectures.								

10. If you did NOT participate in a student project or are a member of the community, please comment on your overall course experience including the knowledge you gained.
11. Did you visit the course website? Did you find it useful and helpful? Why or why not?
12. Please comment on classroom location, size, comfort, viewing, lighting, acoustics, and accessibility.
13. Please comment on the length of the class sessions (75 minutes) and the course timeslot (4:15pm - 5:30pm on Tuesdays and Thursdays).
14. Would you recommend this course to fellow students or friends?
15. (Students only) Please comment on the number and value of the emailed Weekly Informational Updates, including recent assistive technology articles.
Comments on Guest Lecture Presentations
Please comment briefly on lectures or tours that were especially successful in helping you understand the broad scope of assistive technology.
Course Overview & Introduction to Assistive Technology - David L. Jaffe, MS Project Bitches by Assistive Technology - David L. Jaffe, MS

- 2. Project Pitches by Assistive Technology Project Suggestors
- 3. Need Finding for Assistive Technologies Gayle Curtis & John C. Tang
- 4. Bridging the Gap between Consumers and Products in Rehabilitation Medicine Deborah E. Kenney, MS, OTR/L
- 5. Perspectives of Stanford Students with a Disability Vivian T. Wong, Emily Kelly, Morgan Duffy, Fiona Hinze, and Page Ive
- 6. Tour of Willow Garage Dave Robson, Matei Ciocarlie, Kaijen Hsiaso, Henry Evans, and PR2
- 7. ROTA Mobility Inc.: From Development to Commercialization Chris Bayne & Michael Bayne
- 8. Perspectives of Stanford Graduates Nicole Torcolini & Jules Sherman
- 9. Stanford's Office of Accessible Education Teri A. Adams, JD
- 10. Prosthetics Sakti Srivastava, MBBS, MS & Gary M. Berke, MS, CP, FAAOP
- 11. Memories, Lost & Found: Engaging Families, Caregivers & Those with Memory Loss Rita Ghatak, PhD & Philip R. von Stade, Jr.
- 12. Rehabilitation Robotics Allison M. Okamura, PhD & David L. Jaffe, MS
- 13. Assistive Technology Faire Twelve Vendors

- 14. Tour of Motion & Gait Analysis Lab Jessica Rose, PhD & Katelyn Cahill-Rowley
- 15. Designing Beyond the Norm to Meet the Needs of All People Peter W. Axelson, MSME, ATP, RET
- 16. Tour of VA Spinal Cord Injury Center and Assistive Technology Lab Graham H. Creasey, MD, FRCSEd, Evi Klein, MA, CCC-SLP, ATP; and Debbie J. Pitsch, MPT, GCS, CBIS, ATP
- 17. Wheelchair Fabrication in Developing Countries Ralf Hotchkiss

Lecture number - Comments

- 16. Please comment on access to the teaching staff and assistance given. Would you have preferred more frequent formal interactions and/or meetings?
- 17. Which pre-lectures and discussions, presentations, or tours were particularly interesting or helpful with some aspect of your project?

•	Quality and choice of suggested projects:								
•	Pace of the course and assignments:								
•	Quality of the course website, online syllabus, handout materials, emails, and assignments:								
•	Quality and availability of resources (including Room 36):								
•	Effort and time spent on interactions with project suggestor and users:								
•	Effort and time spent on a team	or individual p	roject:						
•	Effort and time spent on team or individual project presentations:								
•	Effort and time spent on final project report and individual reflection:								
•	• About how many hours, on average, did you spend on your team or individual project per week?								
Overall Ratings									
(Students only) – The University Registrar values your thoughtful responses about the course. (Please note that the content provided by guest lecturers is attributable to the course instructor and your ratings impact course changes and decisions as average scores of <u>Very Good</u> or better are required to guarantee the continuation of the course and reappointment of the instructor.)									
Th	e quality of the course content:	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor			
The instructor's overall teaching:		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor			
Final Thoughts									
19. Please share any additional comments, suggestions, and observations:									

18. Please comment on these elements of the overall course structure: