February 9, 2012

ENGR110/210 Perspectives in Assistive Technology



David L. Jaffe, MS

Professor Drew Nelson

John Thiemer



Announcement by Ben Strong



VIA – Stanford Programs Director

2012 Exploring Social Innovation (ESI) Projects

Exploring Social Innovation

- 2-week program on social innovation (Mar 18-30)
- Social entrepreneurs in Stanford,
 Silicon Valley, and San Francisco
- 25-30 Japanese participants
- Organized by VIA staff and student team (Stanford, Berkeley, & Japan)





Social Innovation: new ideas and solutions to improve lives

ogra Coals:

Ex plot = social

tion

Design solutions

ake action

2 Project Types

<u>Partner-driven:</u>

work in a small group on an issue presented by one of our partner organizations

Specifications:

Project must adhere to the stated mission of the partner

organization

Must work together in a group of 3-4

Must respond to input and feedback of partner organization and advisors

Student-Initiated:

propose a project you want to work on and form a small group to help you develop this.

Specifications:

Project must have a clearly defined Vision , Mission–.Plan for Leadership and Sustainability Must appeal to the interests of other students in the program

- Submit project proposal for review
- Recruit a team of 3-4 students

2012 ESI Partners



(two teams):

Project focus: On operational aspects of the school, such as recruitment and fundraising



(two teams):

Project focus: 1) to get more users on PIRIKA site. 2) encourage ongoing use of PIRIKA. 3) to change the negative perception of cleaning up trash. (two teams):

Project focus: To generate ideas for solutions to difficulties people with disabilities are facing everyday (see Wingle handout for more details).

... Create an impact beyond the 2 weeks

Timeline

- Jan Feb Field research on partner organizations
- Mar 18-24 Week 1 (in San Francisco)
- Mar 25 Design-thinking Bootcamp (at Stanford)
- Mar 28 Creating accessibility in the Workplace
- Mar 29 Teams Present Project Ideas (at Stanford)

Team Mid-term Reports

- **Cover page** include course name & year, project title, team name, team member's names, and team member's photos
- **Abstract** one paragraph summary of objectives, approach taken, and results of the project so far
- Introduction problem / need to be addressed, problem / need background
- **Objectives** project goals and rationale
- Design criteria background research, interviews with project suggestors and potential users, design specifications, brainstormed design alternatives (at least 3)
- Methods what did your team do and why include any sketching, prototyping, model building, preliminary testing, analyses of design alternatives

Team Mid-term Reports

- Results discuss specifics of your design alternatives such as features, benefits, aesthetics, cost, safety, reliability, usability, test results, feedback from users, etc.
- **Discussion** include engineering challenges and suggestions to further develop and fabricate a chosen design
- Timetable provide a timetable of tasks for the remainder of the quarter
- References bibliographic citations and websites visited
- Acknowledgements mention all individuals and facilities who helped you
- **Appendices** detailed sketches, calculations, testing notes, relevant vendor information, etc. that are referenced in the main body of the report

Team Mid-term Presentations

- Grade includes:
 - Quality of presentation
 - Process employed
 - Project visualizations
- Mid-term report due Monday, Feb 20th at 5pm in Dave's office
- See: http://engr110.stanford.edu/assignment1.html

All Projects

- Updates
 - Continue to send updates, including photos
 - Report on Room 36 experiences
- Problems?

Toyota and IIT have invented a robotic walking stick that offers users a way to right themselves when they begin to fall



The device consists of a bidirectional, chunky rubber wheel that is driven by motors at the foot of a stick that is packed with balance-sensing accelerometers.



The hand grip has a force sensor with which the user controls the strength of the wheels forward motion or backspin.



If the user starts to fall forwards, gripping the handle causes the wheel to slowly-but-strongly push back on the user's arm, hopefully righting them.



If they start to fall backwards, the multi-axis accelerometers sense the direction needed to push them back upright.



Comment on the design, its potential usefulness, the user populations that may benefit, and chances for commercial success.



Tuesday



Nancy Frishberg, PhD MSB Associates

What's up with the Telephone?

Today



Annuska Perkins *Microsoft*

Designing Inclusive User Experiences

Short Break

