"The most common reason participants cited for discontinuing or switching methods of contraception was side effects (48.3%, 70/145)"

### The Team







Shreya S.

#### Allie C.

User Researcher and Mobile Developer User Researcher and Mobile Developer Emily B.

User Researcher and UX Designer

Eric M.

User Researcher and Mobile/Web Developer



### **Problem Overview**

Primary User

Menstruators who are seeking to better understand their period symptoms and treatment options.

Problem Tackling

The same treatments are often offered to menstruators with very different symptoms, preferences, and needs  $\rightarrow$  menstruators need to be their own period expert and advocate.



### **Solution Overview**

Preferences & Medical History Questionnaire

Understand a user based on their medical history and hormone preferences.

Select right contraception choice

Find the **best contraception** for each woman.

# Find contraception that is right for you, and minimize the side effects of contraception.

# Values in Design



### Values Encoded

-

- Medical Reliability: Provide individuals with effective and safe choices for family planning.
- Accessibility: Access to contraception should be available to all regardless of background, location or socioeconomic status.
  - Privacy: Individuals should have the freedom to make their family planning decisions without judgement. Personalization: Contraceptive solutions should be tailored to meet each person's needs, preferences, and medical preferences.



### Value Expression Through Design

- Medical Reliability: The check-in process ensures iterative approach to contraception to minimize side-effects.
- Accessibility through Location: Location-based services help users find nearby pharmacies to get contraceptives.
  Data Encryption: To ensure privacy protection, data minimization and encryption should be utilized.
  Personalized Contraception: After filling in your profile, every individual produces a contraceptive choice.

# <u>بر</u> 22

### Value Tensions

- Privacy vs. Personalization

Minimize data collection, but also have enough data for perfect contraceptive fit.

- Accessibility vs Personalization

Want to find the best contraceptive fit, but a less common contraceptive might not be accessible in all geographies.





### Task 1

Simple

Please log your symptoms.



### Task 2

Moderate

Find a pharmacy that carries your current prescription.



### Task 3

Complex

Change your current contraceptive recommendation.



### **Changes From the Lo-Fi Prototype**

- The button to log symptoms is no longer called 'check-in' and is now 'log symptoms'
- Words on the 'prescriptions' page are less wordy and more direct
- We specified that the location pins need to be clicked on the 'find your pharmacy/treatment' map
- Added back and submit buttons on all the pages
- Changed the size of buttons to emphasize importance





# Usability Goals & Key Measurements



### Usability Goals & Key Measurements

#### Key Measurements

- # of Clicks: Measure of intuitiveness and ease.
- # of Errors: Measure of user-friendliness.

Usability Goals

- Complex clicks =< 4
- Moderate clicks =< 3
- Simple clicks =< 2

### **Progress towards Goals**

### - Hitting Usability Goals

Task Flow	# Clicks
Simple	2
Moderate	3
Complex	3

- All task flows meet usability goals.

# Revised Interface Sketches

#### Updated Simple Task Sketch



#### Updated Moderate Task Sketch



#### Updated Complex Task Sketch





### **Major Interface Changes**

- **Addition of Back Buttons:** It is now possible to navigate from home to every page and back.
- Movement of Complex Task to Within Prescriptions: The complex task of changing prescription used to be housed in the profile section, but now it makes more sense for the prescription tab.
- Switch "Check-In" to "Log Symptoms": The check-in terminology was hard to understand so we have switched to "log symptoms".



### Changes v. Usability Goals

- a. *Back buttons* will reduce the number of clicks as a mistaken click will no longer significantly increase the number of clicks.
- b. Complex task moved into prescriptions which will increase intuitiveness.
- c. *Switch up of Check-In to Log* will make it easier to understand what to do at that step, reducing mistaken clicks and increasing intuitiveness.



### Feedback

-

-

- Be color blind accessible: Light blue coloring on light brown is not color blind friendly.
- Create consistent clickable indicators: There are two types of clickable indicators (buttons vs underline), which is confusing.
- Generate consistent colors: The new recommendation page has new blue and pink colors, not consistent with rest of app.
- Optimize for easy navigation: Make sure back arrows are big enough. Ensure that it is clear which page you are on, using highlighting.

# Medium-fi Task Flows





### B→B B B E

#### Moderate: Find pharmacy that carries your prescription



### B→B B B E



# Prototype Implementation



### Figma Tool Review

Pros

- Figma supports a large and robust component library, which allowed for design consistency.
- Version control was also key to enable fast prototyping.



-

Large design files degrade Figmas performance leading to slower response times.



### Limitations

Figma can only show specific task flows.

Missing task flows:

- 1. How to fill out the initial profile.
- 2. How to sign up for the service.
- 3. How to change symptoms once daily symptoms have been logged.

# \*>

### Hard-coded & Wizard-of-Oz Features

- a. Certain Symptoms Logging:
  - We had to hard-code one version of the symptoms that could be selected but a much larger range should be allowed.
- b. Non-Personalized Recommendation:

Only one recommendation is outputted but based on symptoms and preferences one should generate a personalized medication.

