# <u>tutti - Assignment 5</u> on-demand peer-to-peer tutoring

Jennifer Lew, Jonah Blaydes Greenberg, Alex Yansouni, Meghana Paturu



# Meet the Team!







## Jennifer Lew ('26)

Computer Science (AI) Palos Verdes, CA

## Alex Yansouni ('26)

Computer Science (HCI) Los Altos, CA

## Meghana Paturu ('26)

## Jonah Blaydes-Greenberg ('26)

Computer Science (HCI) & Economics Belle Mead, NJ

Computer Science (HCI) & Music Los Altos, CA



# Table of Contents / Outline

1.Intro 2.Sketching explorations 3.Selected interface 4.Low-fi prototype construction 5.3 task flows 6.Testing methodology 7. Testing results 8.Discussion 9.Appendix



# Value Proposition:

# On-Demand Tutoring You Can Trust

tutti provides reliable and convenient on-demand tutoring, connecting college students with knowledgeable peers who can offer convenient academic support.



# Identifying the Problem and Solution

The Problem:

Students struggle to find reliable peer tutors, leading to inconsistent and inefficient

academic support experiences.



**Our Solution:** 

tutti offers a seamless platform connecting students for on-demand tutoring, using ai features to enhance learning





# **Sketching Exploration**

Initial brainstorming involved exploring diverse interface possibilities, analyzing pros and cons of each to determine the most effective solution for tutti.

# **Concept 1: Web Application**



# **Concept 2: Wearable Application**





# **Concept 3: Mobile Application**





# **Concept 4: Augmented Reality**









## **Top 2 Diverse Realizations**

Our sketching exploration led us to focus on two primary interface options: a wearable application designed for smartwatches and a mobile application for smartphones.











## Pros

Cons

- **Convenient:** Immediate access, especially for notifications.
- Intuitive and Quick: Very easy for receiving requests.
- Easy Notifications: Simple alerts that users won't miss.

- Expensive & Low Adoption: Fewer users own smartwatches compared to mobile phones.
- Limited Display: Not enough room for detailed information



## Pros

- **Convenient & Accessible**: Always available in your pocket.
- Internet Connectivity: Easy to connect anywhere.
- Frequent Usage: Users check their phones frequently, which ensures responsiveness.

## Cons

- Limited Detail: Screen size limits the information displayed.
- File Upload Complexity: More challenging to upload large files compared to desktop.
- Lower Battery Life: Frequent app usage can affect battery life.
- Multiple Operating Systems: Requires design considerations for iOS and Android.

# Selected Interface: Mobile Application



## **Mobile Application**

Convenient & Accessible:

Support available anywhere, anytime.

Frequent Usage:

More likely to see and use tutoring notifications.

**Broad Adoption**:

The most accessible option.



# Wearable Application

Low Adoption:

Few students own smartwatches

Limited Screen Size:

Small screens are not ideal for detailed tutoring content.

High Cost:

Wearables are expensive, which limits accessibility.

Limited Functionality:

Not suitable for complex interactions



# Low-Fidelity Prototype







## Log in and Create a Profile



## **Request a Tutoring Session**



## **Request a Tutoring Session**



## **During the Session**



## Schedule a Recurring Session



**Tutor POV: Receiving Requests** 



## **Tutor POV: Receiving Requests**



tti ng pol can
y Sexions
e Sessin Johnny Doe ** (RECORREND)   e: 6:20ym - 7:00ym [WEDNESDN3] - 10/23/0007 War Green Line and 65: nombro 24 he: Midvarno 1 review [mm.es]]
Sessions
Create near

## Birds-eye view image of the entire system





# Task Flows



# Simple Task: Creating and Setting up Profile

## ALL USER SCREENS:



# Moderate Task: Request Tutoring Session

## TUTEE SCREENS:



## Moderate Task: Complete Tutoring Session and Receive AI-Generated Reports

## TUTEE SCREENS:



## TUTOR SCREENS:



## **Complex Task: Process Recurring Session Request**

## TUTEE SCREENS!





# **Testing Methodology**







ZO

# **Test Participants**



## **Environment and Apparatus**

3





Manual System Response

Team members acted as the system to manually respond to user actions, mimicking the interactions.

Participant Interaction Participants interacted with paper screens laid out in front of them.



# **Team Member Roles**

Facilitator (Alex)

Conducted the session and provided prompts.



Note-takers (Jenn, Jonah)

Two team members observed and noted the participant's actions, behaviors, and comments.



## Tutti (Meghana)

Another member acted as the system, changing paper screens according to user interactions.

# **Description of Procedure**

Introduced participants to *tutti* and the paper prototype.

Provided a hypothetical scenario: Participants want to find tutoring support quickly and have downloaded the *tutti* app.

Simple Task: Create a profile.

Moderate Task: Request a tutoring session.

Complex Task: Set up a recurring tutoring session.

Play Tutor: Receive a tutoring request.

- Participants shared their thoughts on what was intuitive or confusing.
- After all tasks, participants provided general feedback and suggestions.
- Participants were encouraged to think aloud to gauge understanding and intentions.

# Usability Goals and Key Measurements

Intuitive Navigation

Number of "mis-clicks" made

while interacting with the

paper prototype.

User Engagement Participants were asked to rate their likelihood of using different features

## Ease of Task Completion

Observed the number of actions and questions participants took to complete each task.



# **Testing Results: Process Data**



## **General Navigation**

Users found the app

"generally intuitive" - John

"Recurring Session" setup caused confusion



## **Profile Creation**

Users could easily **create** 

profiles without guidance

Forgot password was

"similar to other apps" - Nara



Confusion about tutor

"Does the tutor rate us?"

was a frequent question

# ratings, leading to mis-clicks

# **Usability Goals Achieved: Bottom Line**



## **Intuitive Navigation**

Average of 2 mis-clicks

per user, primarily during

session requests



## **User Engagement**

Users rated the

likelihood of app usage

at 7.5/10

Ease of Task Completion

Session booking took an

average of **3 questions**,

and 6 actions







# Misc. Observations

**Recurring Session** Complexity

Users found it difficult to locate the **recurring session** option, suggesting a more prominent position

Request

session tracking was a

## **Customization Features**

While users appreciated profile customization, they desired more tutor filtering options.

# **Calendar Integration**

Integrating a calendar feature

## on the **home page** for

frequent suggestion.

# How well did we achieve our

goals?



Intuitive Navigation

Navigation received positive feedback, with minor concerns regarding button labeling.

## **User Engagement**

Participants showed a strong interest in using the app, particularly for its **convenience** and ai features



## Ease of Task Completion

## The average of 3 questions

on average to book a

session, we need a more

intuitive flow



# **Implications of Findings**



**Improving Navigation** 

2

Clearer button labels,
especially for <b>complex</b>
asks like recurring
sessions



## **User Interest in Customization**

Enhanced customization options, including calendar integration and tutor filtering, can significantly improve user satisfaction.

## **Feature Visibility**

- Prioritizing and increasing
- the visibility of key
- features, such as
- recurring sessions





## **Enhanced Button Labels**

Updating button labels will improve clarity and reduce mis-clicks.

## **Prominent Feature Placement**

Moving the recurring session feature to the book a

session screen will improve its accessibility.

## **Calendar Integration**

A calendar integration on the home page will allow users

to easily manage session schedules.

## **More Filter Options**

Adding more tutor filtering options, such as availability and specific skills, will enhance the user experience.

# Shortcomings/Limitations of

# Testing

Long-Term Engagement



The short testing duration couldn't determine long-

**Group Session Dynamics** 



Testing focused on individual sessions; the effectiveness of group sessions remains unknown.

Scalability



The prototype didn't consider **high user volume**. Further testing is required to evaluate scalability.

term app usage. Longer-term studies are needed.



# Appendix

The following sections contain additional information and details about our testing process, including a full list of pros and cons for our selected interface rationale, critical incidents observed during testing, and the script outline used for conducting the usability tests.

# Full list of Pros and Cons for Selected Interface Rationale

## **Pros**:

## Convenient & Accessible: •

Mobile phones are something students always carry, making tutoring support accessible anytime, anywhere. This means students can easily reach out for academic help during breaks, on the go, or even in-between classes, which enhances the likelihood of frequent engagement.

## • Frequent Usage:

Smartphones are used multiple times throughout the day, and this habitual usage increases the likelihood that students will see tutoring-related notifications. This frequent exposure encourages users to take action, such as booking sessions, and keeps the tutoring service top of mind.

## Broad Adoption: •

Nearly all college students own a smartphone, making a mobile app the most accessible platform compared to wearables or web-only solutions. This universal accessibility ensures that *tutti* can reach its target market without requiring students to purchase new technology or adapt to unfamiliar devices.

Internet Connectivity: ٠

> The mobile app can leverage mobile data and Wi-Fi, ensuring that students can connect with a tutor anywhere—whether on campus or at home. This connectivity also allows seamless updates, such as real-time notifications, ensuring users are always in the loop regarding session reminders or changes.

## User-Familiarity: ٠

Most students are already comfortable navigating mobile applications, which reduces the learning curve. This familiarity means students can start using the app with little to no instruction, leading to better initial engagement and lower dropout rates during onboarding.

## Cons

## Screen Size Limitations:

The smaller screen size on mobile devices limits how much information can be displayed at one time. This is particularly challenging for showing detailed tutor profiles, in-depth session notes, or analytics about a student's progress. It requires careful design to avoid overwhelming the user while still providing all necessary information.

Battery Consumption: •

> Frequent app usage may lead to increased battery consumption, which can deter users, especially those with limited charging access throughout the day. Battery-intensive features, such as live video tutoring or location tracking, could further exacerbate this issue.

- File Upload Complexity: Handling larger files, like assignments or detailed study materials, can be more challenging on mobile compared to desktop. Uploading documents might be cumbersome due to slower mobile processing speeds, network limitations, or the general inconvenience of navigating file structures on a smaller screen.
- Distractions and Competing Apps:

Mobile devices are filled with distractions such as social media, games, and other notifications. When students are using *tutti*, they are prone to interruptions, which could impact the effectiveness of tutoring sessions or disrupt the booking flow.

Multiple Operating Systems: •

> Developing for both iOS and Android presents unique challenges. Each operating system has different design guidelines, functionalities, and restrictions. Ensuring a consistent user experience across these platforms requires additional resources in development and testing, and discrepancies could impact the user experience for one group of users.

Privacy Concerns: •

> Mobile apps often request permissions (e.g., location, camera, storage) that can raise privacy concerns among users. Addressing these concerns through transparent data practices and securing sensitive information is essential to build trust with the user base.

## **Critical Incidents**



## Incident Severity Details 2 Participants were confused when selecting their role between Difficulty in differentiating user type 'Tutor' and 'Tutee' during account setup. 2 Users weren't sure which button would provide more information Mis-clicking on about a tutor, leading to multiple mis-clicks. tutor profiles Confusion when filling 3 Participants thought they had saved their availability, but the out availability data was missing when they moved forward. 2 Several participants were unclear about what the "AI-generated Unclear meaning of AI-generated report report" meant and whether it was related to tutor feedback. 3 The recurring session setup option was not intuitive to find, Recurring session setup being buried under multiple menu layers. was hard to find 1 Participants reported not receiving an explicit No clear confirmation after confirmation screen after creating their account. account creation Confusing feedback when 2 Decline messages were unclear, leading users to question session request declined whether the issue was with their schedule or the tutor's availability. Struggled to edit tutor profile 2 Difficulty was reported when attempting to edit tutor after setting availability profiles after setting initial availability, requiring multiple steps.

## **Critical Incidents**

Participants who intended to cancel one session inadvertently Accidentally canceled 3 ended all future recurring sessions. There was no clear distinction a recurring session between single and all cancellations. 2 Users missed notifications about incoming tutoring requests Missed notification about incoming request until they reopened the app, indicating poor notification visibility. The 'Rate Session' option was buried at the end of the workflow, Difficulty using 'Rate 1 resulting in participants almost missing it for providing feedback. Session' option Mis-click when 2 Some participants mistakenly selected the wrong day for assigning availability availability, and there was no visible undo function. Difficulty understanding 2 The 'AT-generated report' feature was confusing, with 'AT-generated report' participants unsure whether it pertained to session reports feature or some other form of analytics. Limited guidance on 'Create Creating an account lacked clarity-participants didn't - 1 know which fields were mandatory. Account' process Confusion over 3 Participants didn't understand the 'Recurring Progress' metric during recurring session setup-was it tracking overall progress 'Recurring Progress' or individual sessions? metric Tutee didn't get notified when 2 When a tutor modified session times, participants did not receive a notification, which led to confusion about session request was modified changes.

Miss-clicked on 'Message'	<ol> <li>Some participants accidentally clicke</li></ol>
instead of 'Request Session'	when they intended to 'Request Sessi
Missed seeing tutor reviews	1 Participants struggled to locate tutor review
during session request	booking sessions. They expected these to b
Unclear recurring 3 request acceptance flow	Participants found the recurring request accep confusing—uncertain if it covered all upcomin just one.
Missing causation link	2 The tutee-to-tutor feedback loop wasn't cle
(tutee to tutor feedback	leaving users uncertain about the purpose or
loop)	reviews.
No prompt for changing user type (tutor to tutee)	<ul> <li>2 Switching from 'Tutor' to 'Tutee' after in not intuitive, leading to frustration.</li> </ul>
Tutor confirmation too 3 P	articipants felt the delay between submitting av
delayed g	etting tutor confirmations was too long.
Cumbersome 'Fill 2	Selecting time blocks on the availability screw
Availability' interface	with many users experiencing issues saving s
Incomplete recurring 2	The recurring session setup lacked details—
request information	tell if it was set up for weekly or bi-weekly r
Confusion over tutee to tutor communication (causation)	<ol> <li>Users did not understand the dashed lin to tutor'—they were unsure if it implied another function.</li> </ol>

ed 'Message' ion'.

ws while be more visible.

otance ng sessions or

early explained, of immediate

nitial signup was

vailability and

en was finicky, selections.

users couldn't meetings.

e linking 'tutee l feedback or

## Script Outline

## Introduction

"Hi, thank you for joining us today. We're going to test a low-fidelity version of our tutoring app, tutti. The goal is to see how easily you can use the app to complete common tasks, such as finding a tutor or managing a session. Feel free to ask questions as we go along."

## Scenario Setup

"Imagine that you're a college student looking for some extra academic help. You've just downloaded tutti to quickly find tutors for your coursework. Let's see how you get started!"

Tasks

2

3

4

5

- 1. Profile Creation
- 2. Request a Tutoring Session
- 3. Recurring Session Setup

## **Post-Task Reflection**

"Great! Now that we're done, could you tell me which features felt clear and intuitive, and which features you found confusing or hard to use? How likely are you to use this app in your daily routine?"

## Closing

"Thanks again for your time and feedback today. We really appreciate your insights as they will help us make tutti better for students like you."