

Essentially Non-Oscillatory Schemes

Given the following data for ϕ^n , write down the interpolating polynomial that third order HJ ENO would construct in order to compute ϕ_i^{n+1} in approximating the equation $\phi_t + \phi_x = 0$.

$$\phi_{i-3}^n = 5, \phi_{i-2}^n = 5, \phi_{i-1}^n = 4, \phi_i^n = 5, \phi_{i+1}^n = 1, \phi_{i+2}^n = -2, \phi_{i+3}^n = 0$$

Recall that the interpolating polynomial for 3^{rd} order requires Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 ; Q_0 will be calculated, but then promptly discarded since $(Q_0)_x = 0$. Next, we calculate the divided difference table, below:

$i-3$	$i-2$	$i-1$	i	$i+1$	$i+2$	$i+3$
5	5	4	5	1	-2	0
	0	$\frac{-1}{\Delta x}$	$\frac{1}{\Delta x}$	$\frac{-4}{\Delta x}$	$\frac{-3}{\Delta x}$	$\frac{2}{\Delta x}$
	$\frac{-1}{2\Delta x^2}$	$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}$	$\frac{-5}{2\Delta x^2}$	$\frac{1}{2\Delta x^2}$	$\frac{5}{\Delta x^2}$	
	$\frac{3}{6\Delta x^3}$	$\frac{-7}{6\Delta x^3}$	$\frac{6}{6\Delta x^3}$	$\frac{4}{6\Delta x^3}$		

We are evaluating ϕ_x at i , so $Q_0 = \phi_i = 5$. We required an upwind direction, which gives us Q_1 , and ENO gives Q_2 and Q_3 as:

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta x}(x - x_i)$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(x - x_i)(x - x_{i-1})$$

$$Q_3 = \frac{1}{2\Delta x^3}(x - x_i)(x - x_{i-1})(x - x_{i-2})$$

Putting it all together, we get:

$$\boxed{P^3(x) = 5 + \frac{1}{\Delta x}(x - x_i) + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(x - x_i)(x - x_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{2\Delta x^3}(x - x_i)(x - x_{i-1})(x - x_{i-2})} \quad (1)$$

We'll go a few steps further now, to find out what $\phi_x(x_i)$ approximately is. We evaluate $P_x^3(x_i)$ to be:

$$P_x^3(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta x} + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} [(x - x_i) + (x - x_{i-1})] + \frac{1}{2\Delta x^3} [(x - x_i) [(x - x_{i-1}) + (x - x_{i-2})] + (x - x_{i-1})(x - x_{i-2})]$$

$$P_x^3(x_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta x} + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} (x_i - x_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{2\Delta x^3} (x_i - x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i-2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta x} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} = \boxed{\frac{3}{\Delta x}}$$

If we happened to have chosen that $\Delta x = .5$, then $\phi_x \approx 6$.

Weighted ENO

If we consider an upwind discretization of ϕ_x , we have three possible third-order interpolating polynomials, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x^1 &= \frac{v_1}{3} - \frac{7v_2}{6} + \frac{11v_3}{6} \\ \phi_x^2 &= -\frac{v_2}{6} + \frac{5v_3}{6} + \frac{v_4}{3} \\ \phi_x^3 &= \frac{v_3}{3} + \frac{5v_4}{6} - \frac{v_5}{6}\end{aligned}$$

Where $v_j = D^* \phi_{i+j-3}$, and $D^* \phi$ is the first-order upwind discretization of ϕ_x .

However, the philosophy of picking exactly one of the three candidate stencils is overkill in smooth regions of ϕ where ϕ is well-behaved. Instead, we can take a convex sum of the three stencils,

$$\phi_x = \omega_1 \phi_x^1 + \omega_2 \phi_x^2 + \omega_3 \phi_x^3 \quad (2)$$

Where $0 \leq \omega_i \leq 1$, $\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 = 1$. It has been shown that we can pick $\omega_1 = .1, \omega_2 = .6, \omega_3 = .3$ and achieve a 5th order accurate approximation of ϕ_x .

1. Show that if we perturb ω by $\mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ we still get a 5th order approximation to ϕ_x .

we know that each of ϕ_x^j for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are third-order accurate schemes, so $\phi_x^j = \phi_x + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^3)$. If we take $\epsilon_j = \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ to be our perturbations to ω_j , then our WENO scheme for ϕ_x becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x &= \bar{\omega}_1 \phi_x^1 + \bar{\omega}_2 \phi_x^2 + \bar{\omega}_3 \phi_x^3 \\ &= (\omega_1 + \epsilon_1) \phi_x^1 + (\omega_2 + \epsilon_2) \phi_x^2 + (\omega_3 + \epsilon_3) \phi_x^3 \\ &= \omega_1 \phi_x^1 + \omega_2 \phi_x^2 + \omega_3 \phi_x^3 + \epsilon_1 \phi_x^1 + \epsilon_2 \phi_x^2 + \epsilon_3 \phi_x^3 \\ &= \phi_x + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^5) + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) \phi_x + \epsilon_1 \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^3) + \epsilon_2 \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^3) + \epsilon_3 \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^3) \\ &= \phi_x + (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) \phi_x + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^5)\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 = 0$ since we still want $\sum_j \bar{\omega}_j = 1$, and this scheme is 5th order accurate.

2. Why is this a bad idea in non-smooth areas of the flow? In order to demonstrate this, consider $\phi_t + \phi_x = 0$ for a heaviside step function, with initial data given by:

$$\phi_{i-3}^n = 0, \phi_{i-2}^n = 0, \phi_{i-1}^n = 0, \phi_i^n = 1, \phi_{i+1}^n = 1, \phi_{i+2}^n = 1, \phi_{i+3}^n = 1$$

We've discussed in class that any scheme which adds over-shoots to a problem can lead to non-physical oscillations near discontinuities. With that in mind, consider the WENO approximation which is made for ϕ_x at x_{i-1} . The divided difference table takes the form:

$i-4$	$i-3$	$i-2$	$i-1$	i	$i+1$	$i+2$	$i+3$
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{\Delta x}$	0	0	0

If we read off the table, we get:

$$v_1 = 0 \quad v_2 = 0 \quad v_3 = 0 \quad v_4 = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \quad v_5 = 0$$

*Both ϕ_x^2 and ϕ_x^3 give a non-zero approximation to ϕ_x , even though both the ENO approximation as well as the analytical solution gives $\phi_{i-1} = 0$ for $t > 0$. In HJ-WENO there is **no way** to avoid pulling in bad information near a discontinuity, which is why it is not a good method to use near non-smooth regions of the flow.*